THE WILD TRIBES IN INDIAN HISTORY

BY

Dr. B. A. Saletore, M. A., Ph. D., (Lond. et Giessen)

PROFESSOR OF HISTORY

SIR PARASHURAMBHAU COLLEGE

POONA



LAHORE

Motilal Banarsi Das Panjab Sanskrit Book Depôt

TRICHUR, MOCHIN STATE. 1 8FEB 1950



THE WILD TRIBES IN INDIAN HISTORY

BY

Dr. B. A. Saletore, M. A., Ph. D., (Lond. et Giessen)

PROFESSOR OF HISTORY

SIR PARASHURAMBHAU COLLEGE

POONA



LAHORE

Motilal Banarsi Das Panjab Sanskrit Book Depôt

THE WILD TRIBES IN INDIAN HISTORY

60 ABY32]

Dr. B. A. Saletore, M. A., Ph. D.,

All rights are reserved by the Author

PREFACE

The continual havor which forest tribes caused in the Karnāṭaka and Tamil lands in early and mediaeval times, made me enquire whether they were native only to the southern and western parts of India. The following work is the result of my investigations. Its aim is to give a short history of such of the tribes which, so far as the available information about them is concerned, have added to the annals of the country. Their activities have been described with special reference to the history of western and southern India, from the earliest times to the end of the eighteenth century A. D.

10th April 1935 198/11, Tilak Road Poona 2

B. A. S.

CONTENTS

						Page			
Preface									
Chapter I Policy of the Hindu Rulers towards									
		th	e Wild	Tribes	•••	1			
II	The Kirātas	•••	•••	•••	•••	13			
III	The S'abaras	•••	•••	• • •	•••	38			
IV	The Bedars	•••	•••	•••	•••	60			
V	Miscellaneous '	Γ ribes	• • • •	•••	•••	97			
	Notes	•••	•••	•••	•••	137			
	Index 3.00	TUJQ(non:	•••		Ί			
	(ma	1321 1321							

CORRIGENDA

Page	Line	For	\mathbf{Read}
9	20	Choḍas	Coḍas
16	22	was	were
24	8	slughter	slaughter
25	9	elephans	elephants
25	16	Bedar	Kirāta
30	13	shape	shapes
31	7	played	played with
64	8	serpant	serpent
72	28	Helagavatti	Belagavatti
80	23	Näganda	Nāgaņņa
84	18	A. D. 1135	A. D. 1235
87	4	slove	solve
93	13	Kumāara	Kumara

THE WILD TRIBES IN INDIAN HISTORY

CHAPTER I

POLICY OF THE HINDU RULERS TOWARDS THE WILD TRIBES

Summary: — Nature of the subject – Sources of information-Ktesias on the policy of the Indian rulers towards the wild tribes–Kauṭalya's regulations–S'ukrācārya on the contingents of wild tribes and their corporations – As'oka's policy towards the wild tribes – Kṛṣṇa Deva Rāya's injunctions in regard to them.

Ever since the dawn of Indian history, statesmen and citizens alike have had to count on one turbulent element which caused them not only grave concern but oftentimes much peril as well. This was the presence of a great many wild tribes who, dispersed by the continual influx of superior and more cultured peoples. proved always to be a source of infinite danger to the State. From earliest times Hindu legists and monarchs made, on the whole, conscious efforts more for enlisting the services of these disturbing factors than for exterminating them altogether from the land. Whether the marked sympathy which the rulers showed to the wild tribes was itself responsible for the continual havoc which the latter caused in the country, whether it was a confession on the part of the kings to deal satisfactorily with the uncompromising agents of disorder who, both because of their insurmountable numbers and the nature of their inaccessible homes in the mountain fastnesses and forests of the land, eluded

the clutches of law, one cannot say with definiteness, especially because of the paucity of materials dealing with this particular phase of the question. But it must be confessed that Hindu rulers did not always show the wild tribes consideration: when the latter stubbornly resisted the imperialistic designs of the former, they were conquered, and, in a few instances, annihilated.

The story of the significant part which the wild tribes have played in Indian history is gathered from a great many sources, the most prominent among which are literature, both Hindu and Buddhist, writings of foreign travellers, traditional accounts, and epigraphical records.

That the Hindu monarchs extended to the wild tribes their hand of friendship is clear from the observations of foreign writers. Ktesias, who calls them by the general appellation of Kynokephaloi (and also Kynomolgoi), describes them at some length.2 He informs us that they stood in a free friendship with the Indian king. To him they brought annually two hundred and sixty talents of dried fruit of the Siptakhora tree on rafts, and as many talents of a red dye-stuff and one thousand of elektron or the gum exuding from the Siptaknora tree. To the Indians they sold their wares, and obtained from them in exchange bread, oatmeal, cotton clothes, bows, and lances, which they required in hunting and killing wild animals. Every fifth year the king presented them with three hundred bows, three thousand lances, one hundred and twenty thousand small shields, and fifty thousand swords.3

Evidently the Hindu kings reckoned them more than mere hunting agents in the wilds. Kauṭalya enlightens us on the question of the amity that existed between the kings and the wild tribes. Unlike the previous legists, who treated them under the general designation of Mlecchas, he calls them aranyacarāh.⁴ While describing the attitude of a conqueror, Kauṭalya relate's the following:—"He should observe the policy of conciliation by promising the protection of villages, of those who live in forests....By instigating any one of a neighbouring king, a wild chief, a scion of the enemy's family, or an imprisoned prince, he should sow the seeds of dissension...Whoever helps him (the king) against his enemy, his enemy's ally, his neighbour, and wild tribes, is a friend affording enjoyment on all sides (sarvatobhogi).

"If he happens to have an enemy in the rear, or a wild chief, or an enemy, or a chief enemy capable of being propitiated with the gift of land, he should provide such an enemy with a useless piece of land...a wild chief with a piece of land yielding no livelihood..."

It was essential for a ruler to conciliate the wild tribes. Kautalya explains to us why such a policy of friendship was extended to them. They could cause greater harm to the State than robbers. In the Arthasiastra we are told that "robbers carry off the property of the careless, and can be put down as they are easily recognized and caught hold of, whereas wild tribes have their own strongholds, being numerous and brave, ready to fight in broad daylight, and seizing and destroying countries like kings." 6

The State, therefore, according to Kautalya, differentiated the wild tribes from the robbers. This is further proved by the careful manner in which Kautalya characterizes their depredations. He writes thus:"Obstruction to movements caused by a chief is internal obstruction; and obstruction to movements caused by an enemy or wild tribe is external obstruc-

tion." Then again-" The provocation of the chief of a district (rastramukhya), the officer in charge of the boundry, the chief of wild tribes, and a conquered is what is termed external trouble."

In the conduct of a campaign the wild tribes were an element which the ruler had to reckon. Kautalya lays down the following injunction:—
'Finance, the army, the strength of the armies of friends, enemies, and wild tribes, the prospect of rains, and the seasons should be thoroughly examined." Further we are told the following in the same work:—"...of strength, place, and time, each is helpful to the other; whoever is possessed of these three things should, after having placed one-third or one-fourth of his army to protect his base of operations against his rear enemy and wild tribes in his vicinity......march during the month of Mārgas'īrṣa (December)......"

Kautalya describes the time for the engagement of wild tribes thus :—" When he (the conqueror) thinks that he will have to make his strong enemy to fight against another enemy, on account of a city, a plain, or a wild tract of land, and that in that fight he will achieve one or the other of his objects.....that through the battle he will have the mischievous power of his enemy's allies or of wild tribes destroyed.....and that the time of battle between inferior kings has arrived, then is the time for the exercise of an enemy's forces."

"This explains the time for the engagement of wild tribes.

"When he thinks that the army of wild tribes is living by the same road (that his enemy has to traverse); that the road is unfavourable for the march of his enemy's army; that his enemy's army consists mostly of wild tribes.....then is the time for engaging the army of wild tribes".

Wild tribes could certainly be incorporated in the State army. They could form one of the five armies which the king commanded. "When a king achieves this or that object with the assistance of a friend who is to receive the help of his army in return later on, then he may send out his various kinds of army-such as hereditary army, hired army, army formed of corporations of people, his friend's army, and the army composed of wild tribes-either that kind of army which has experience of all sorts of grounds and of seasons or the army of enemies or of wild tribes, which is far removed in space and time." 12

The contingent of wild tribes had to receive definite remuneration from the State. "Of these armies, one has to pay the army of wild tribes either with raw produce or with allowance for plunder." ¹³

But a contingent of wild tribes was by no means a unit on which the king could always rely. "The army of an enemy under the leadership of an Ārya is better than the army of wild tribes. Both of them (the army of an enemy and of wild tribes) are anxious for plunder. In the absence of plunder and under troubles, they prove as dangerous as a lurking snake." 14

Inspite of the distrust with which the king had to view the wild tribes, it was advisable to use them for the safety of the State. "This (i.e., external danger) should be overcome by setting one against the other. Whoever among these has strongly fortified himself should be caught hold of through the agency of a frontier king or the chief of wild tribes....."

Further, the wild tribes were to be used against

one's own allies thus:—" When the ally has finished his work, he should, under some excuse, try to get back his army; or he may send to his ally that army which is composed of traitors, enemies, and wild tribes......." 16

The regulations pertaining to the wild tribes as laid down by Kautalya were transmitted to the later generations as a part of the domestic policy of the Hindu rulers. We thus find the following injunctions in the political manual of S'ukrācārya concerning the different kinds of armies and the lattitude which the kings had to give to the corporations of wild tribes. There is every reason to believe that these latter, while they maintained their inherent wild nature and continued to be a source of infinite trouble to the State. gradually came under the more humane influence around them, especially in the ages when the Sukraniti was composed. This we infer from what S'ukrācārya has to say in regard to them. While describing the manner in which a commander is to station his contingents, he says:- "The following are to be stationed in the order indicated below: first the lower officers and servants; then the commander; then infantry, then the cavalry, then the men of horse, men with elephants, then the guns and ordnances, then the mares, then the bodyguards and aides-de-camp, then the foresters." 17

The different kinds of armies mentioned by S'ukrācārya are not the same as those mentioned by Kauṭalya. S'ukrācārya informs us that "The army regimented by the State and the regiments formed among soldiers themselves; likewise the army receiving conveyances from the State (or not). The Kirātas and people living in the forests who are dependent on their own resources and strength (belong to the latter class) "18

S'ukrācāry's broad-mindedness is seen in the provision he made to try the foresters. "The foresters are to be tried with the help of foresters, merchants by merchants, soldiers by soldiers, and in the village (affairs are to be administered) by persons who live with both parties (i. e., neighbours)." 19

India produced monarchs who went far ahead of the maxims which the lawgivers had enunciated concerning the treatment that was to be meted out to the wild tribes. With them the primary need was not that of entertaining the wild tribes in State service but of weaning them from their savage habits and of leading them along the path of progress. The most celebrated name in this field of national uplift is that of Aśoka. His Rock-Edicts prove beyond any doubt the profound character of the new policy he inaugurated. To him there was no distinction between his own and other people. In the L separate Rock-Edict at Dhauli we read the following:- "All men are my children. As on behalf of (my own) children, I desire that they may be provided with complete welfare and happiness in this world and in the other world, the same I desire also on behalf of (all) men."20 In the II. Rock-Edict at Shahbazgarhi, we find a clue to the motive which may be said to underly such a noble declaration:- "But whatever effort king Devānampriya Priyadars'in is making, all that (is) only for the sake of (merit) in the other (world), (and) in order that all (men) may be free of danger."21

Inspite of this charter of impartiality, As'oka seems to have shown marked consideration to the border-land people. The II. separate Rock-Edict at Dhauli tells us what exactly Asoka intended to convey in regard to the wild tribes who lived on the borders of his vast

Empire. "It might occur to (my) unconquered borderers (to ask): 'What does the king desire with reference to us?' (This) alone is my wish with reference to the borderers, that they may learn that Devānampriya... that they may not be afraid of me, but may have confidence (in me); that they may obtain only happiness from me, not misery; that they may (learn) this: that Devānampriya will forgive them, what can be forgiven; that they may (be induced by me to) practise morality; (and) that they may attain (happiness in) this world and (in) the other world. For the following purpose I am instructing you, (viz, that) I may discharge the debt (which I owe to them), by this, that I instruct (you) and inform (you) of (my) will, i. e., my unshakable resolution and vow.

"Therefore, acting thus, you (i. e., Māhāmātrās) must fulfil (your) duty, and must inspire confidence to them, in order that they (i. e., the border-land people) may learn that Devānampriya is to them like a father, that Devānampriya loves them like himself, and that they are to Devānampriya like his own children." 22

And of the border people, the wild tribes living in the forests received particular favour at the hands of the monarch. A great law was passed regarding the safety of the inhabitants of the forests. "Forests must not be burnt, either uselessly or in order to destroy (living beings)"—so runs an order in the V. Pillar Edict at Delhi. 23 The success which crowned his efforts in this direction can be seen from the statements made in more than one Rock-Edict. The XIII. Rock-Edict at Shāhbazgarhi relates the following:— "And even (the inhabitants of) the forests which are (included) in the dominions of Devānampriya, even those he pacifies and converts." In the same Rock-Edict he informs

us that "Likewise here in the king's territory, among the Yonas and Kāmboyas, among the Nabhakas and Nabhitis, among the Bhojas and Pitinikas, among the Andhras and Palidas—everywhere (people) are conforming to Devānampriya's instruction in morality." ²⁵

The Rock-Edicts do not, it must be confessed, enlighten us on the particular names of the wild tribes who form the subject of this treatise. Nevertheless from the name of one of the many tribes dealt with in the above Rock-Edict-the Andhras-, we may conclude that Asoka must have bestowed equal care on the other wild tribes whom he does not mention in his edicts. The Andhras in the early ages were, as we shall see later on, according to Manu, a barbarous race; and we can only assume that the other kindred wild tribes must also have come in for their share of the monarch's unrivalled magnanimity. If, as the II. Rock-Edict at Shahbazgarhi relates, "Everywhere in the dominions of Devanampriya Priyadarśin, and (of those) who (are his) borderers, such as the Chodas, the Pandyas, the Satiyaputra, the Keralaputra, the Tamrapanni,...everywhere two-2-(kinds of) medicinal treatment were established by Devānampriya Priyadarśin..." 26, it is not unlikely that the diligent emissaries (i. e., the Mahāmātrās) entrusted with the duty of instructing the people in morality everywhere, 27 did not fail to give moral and material succour to the numerous wild tribes whose assistance, as we shall prove latter on, had been sought by no less a personage than the founder of the Maurya dynasty himself, and who had spread far and wide in the Empire of As'oka.

As'oka's principles concerning the wild tribes came to stay in the country. How far his immediate successors followed his instructions, it is difficult to say for

the present. But ages after the Mauryan Empire had crumbled, famous Karnātaka monarchs gave vent to similar views in regard to the wild tribes who had caused considerable damage to the kingdoms of south-India. One of them was the Hovsala king Vinayāditya Deva, whose diplomatic dealings with the wild tribes have been briefly described in epigraphs to which we shall advert later on in the course of this treatise. Another monarch who has written at some length on the same subject is Kṛṣṇa Deva Rāya the Great of Vijayanagara. In his well known work called Amuktamalyada, he has detailed the procedure which was meant for his own guidance as well as that of his successors. Kṛṣṇa Deva Rāya the Great was a monarch who was intimately acquainted with the habits of the wild tribes. He took a lesson even from the $ar{\mathbf{A}}$ bhiras and the Bhills. In the above work he says:- "It is essential that a king should be able to enforce his commands. Even the f Abhiras and the Bhills of the forests are able to enforce their orders, as by the sign of the arrow and the piece of thread. Much more is it necessary that an emperor (sārvabhauma) should be able to enforce his com-Then again,—" When the foresters promise to do anything after partaking of food mixed with milk in any man's house, they would under no circumstances swerve from their promise. If they observe any wrong, however small it might be, they would become angry and become enemies without considering the probable results of their action." 29

The Vijayanagara monarch was not averse to the wild tribes living within their own fastnesses so long as they did not prove a danger to his Government. He says thus in his work:—"Increase the forests

that are near your frontier fortresses (gadi-des'a) and destroy all those which are in the middle of your territory. Then alone you will not have trouble from robbers."

On the question of controlling the wild tribes, the Emperor writes thus:—"It is always advisable to entrust the government over wild tribes inhabiting hills and forests to heroes who have fallen from great positions. It would not affect the king much whoever succeeds in the struggle between them." The Emperor further remarks thus:—"The first wild tribes can be brought under control by truthfulness (keeping one's engagements with them)…" 32

In the above observations as well as in the following one, there is clear evidence of the Emperor's compassion and sincerity towards the wild tribes, which we noticed in the promulgations of As'oka. "If the people of the forest (wild tribes), " writes Kṛṣṇa Deva Rāya, "multiply in any state, the trouble to the king and his people would not be small. The king should make such people his own by destroying their fears. Because they are people of very little advancement, faith and want of faith, anger and friendship, bitter enmity and close friendship, result from (very little) insignificant causes.

"When a forester (Bhill) went with his bow to another's house, the other entertained him with food mixed in milk, he observed the bark of some tree boiling on the fire-place for making ropes and mistook it for flesh. Angry because he was not entertained with the flesh, the forester resolved to murder his host when he came to give him a send-off. But on that occasion the latter excused himself from following further saying that the fibre on the fire-place would

get spoiled. The man understood his mistake and parted with his host heartily. Do you not know this story?.....Minding the (small) faults of the forest chiefs who have not extensive power is like trying to clean a mud wall by pouring water over it. If he gets angry at them he cannot destroy them utterly. If (on the other hand) he attaches them to himself by kind words and charity, they would be useful to him in invading foreign territory and plundering their fortresses. It is inconceivable that a king would be able to meet a hundred faults with a thousand punishments." The non-observance of these noble maxims of Kṛṣṇa Deva Rāya the Great by his successors had a profound effect on the life of the powerful mediaeval Hindu Empire.

CHAPTER II

THE KIRATAS

Summary:— Indentification - Original home: foreign travellers' opinion confirmed by notices in Hindu epics and Purāṇas - Spread to the north, the north-west, the west, and the south - Description - Faith: their contribution to the Hindu religion - As historical factors: from the times of the Mahābhārata till the end of the seventeenth century A. D.

A great many septs comprised the wild tribes whom, as we remarked in the previous pages, Hindu rulers and legists were inclined to view with consideration and sympathy. It may reasonably be maintained that the wild tribes contained peoples of different This may to some extent explain why, as races. narrated above, Hindu lawgivers included under the general category of Mlecchas diverse peoples, some of whom, like the Yonas, as is well known, were civilized To the indiscrimination which the earlier writers made between foreign peoples who were by no means barbarous, and indigenous tribes of non-Indian extraction, we have to add another difficulty which prevents us from delineating the history of all or most of the wild tribes of India. This is the close resemblance which one sect of wild tribes bore to another and the consequent confusion in the accounts of Hindu writers in their description of these peoples. These limitations together with the paucity of materials dealing with those tribes not mentioned in this treatise, make it impossible for us to give a more thorough history of all the wild tribes who have figured in the annals of the land.

Of those particular tribes, however, about whom we have interesting details both in the accounts of foreign travellers and Hindu writers, prominence may be given to the Kirātas. Manu opines that the Kirātas together with other peoples were Kṣatriyaṣ, and that they became degraded because of the extinction of sacred rites:—

S'anakaiḥ-tu kṛiyālopādimāḥ Kṣatriyajātayaḥ I Vṛis'alatvam gatā loke Brāhmaṇa adars'anena-ca II Pauṇḍrakāḥ-ca-Oḍra-Drāviḍāh Kāmbojā Yavanāḥ S'akāḥ I Pāradāḥ-Pallavāḥ Cīnāḥ Kirātā Daradāḥ Khas'āḥ II

We cannot agree with the verdict of some that this statement of Manu reflects the opinion of a later age," since in the Mahābhārata too we find a detailed explanation of Manu's definition on two different occasions. We are told in that epic that the Mekalas, the Drāvidas the Lathas, the Paundras, the Konavas'iras, the Caundikas, the Daradas, the Darvas, the Cauras, the S'abaras, the Barbaras, the Kiratas, the Yavanas, and numerous other tribes of the Ksatriyas were degraded to the status of S'udras through the wrath of the Brahmans. Then, again, we are informed the following in the Asvamedha Parva:- On Rāma (son of Jamadagni) destroying the army of Karttavīrya, some of the Ksatrivas, afflicted with the terror of Jamadagni's son, entered mountain fastnesses, like deer afflicted by the lion. Of them that were unable, through the fear of Rama, to discharge the duties ordained for their order, the progeny became Vris'als owing to their inability to find Brahmanas. In this way the Drāvidas and Abhiras and Pundras together with the S'abaras became Vris'ālas through hose men

who had Kṣatriya duties assigned to them (in consequence of their birth), falling away (from their) duties.

The same epic enlightens us on the wide use of the the term Kṣatriya: The Kirātas, the Dar(a)das, the Darvas, the Ṣurus, the Vaiyāmakas, the Auḍumvars, the Durvibhāgas, the Pāradas, along with the Bāhlikas, the Kāśmīras, the Kumāras, the Ghorakas, the Hansakayanas, the Sivis, the Trigartas, the Yaudheyas, the ruler of the Madras, and the Kaikeyas, the Amvastas, the Kaukuras, the Tarkṣyas, the Vaṣtrapas, along with the Pahlavas, the Vaṣātyās, the Mauleyas, the Kṣudrakas, the Mālavas, the Paṇḍryas, the Sānavatyas, and the Gayas,—these good and wellborn Kṣatriyas distributed into regular classes and trained to the use of arms, brought tribute into king Yudhiṣṭira by hundreds and thousands.

But it is clear from the remarks of Kṛṣṇa in an earlier connection that these Kṣatriyas were of tainted origin. Kṛṣṇa tells Yudhiṣṭira the following:— I shall, however, still tell thee something. Those persons in the world that now go by the name of Kṣatriyas are inferior (in everything) to those Kṣatriyas that Rāma, the son of Jamadagni, exterminated.³

Whatever may be the interpretation given to the above explanation of the reduction of certain classes of people from a higher to a lower social level, there cannot be a doubt that in classical times the term Kṣaṭriya was applied to those of approved volour and ferocity, even though they were of distinct non-Indian origin; and that it was not restricted to a particular caste or sect of people who were entrusted with the sole duty of fighting and governing the country. We may bear this in mind, since it will elucidate a very

interesting detail concerning the origin of one of the most famous reigning families of ancient India.

We may proceed with the question of the identification of the Kirātas. McCrindle identified them with the Chirotisagi (Chisiotosagi) mentioned by Megasthenes as living on the hills, the Scyrites of Pliny, and the Kirrhadai of the Periplus Mari Erythræ. He also rightly remarked that they were of Mongolian origin. But his indentification of the Airrhadoi of Ptolemy with the Kirātas, has been rejected by Gerini who would place them not along the Ganges river but on the eastern coast of the Gulf of Bengal from the mouth of the Bṛahmaputṛā down to that of the Nāf. According to Gerini, the people of Tipperah, Kacā, and Silhet (Sylhet) were Kirātas.

Hindu epics and Puranas substantiate the above surmise that the Kirātas were originally of eastern extraction. In the course of his eastern wanderings, Bhīma sent expeditions from Videha, and conquered seven kings of the Kirātas living about the Indra mountain.8 We are also told in the same epic that the unassailable troops of Bhagadatta was crowded with the Cins and Kirātas, all looking like figures of gold and assuming a beauty like that of a forest of karnikāra trees.9 A similar statement coupling the Cins with the Kirātas is made in another connection where we are told that Arjuna attacked the kingdom of Prāgjyotiśa, and that the king of Pragjyotiśa was supported by a host of Kirātas and Cins and other warriors who dwelt by the sea-coast. 10 It is not unlikely that the Cins and the Kirātas were associated together because of their common Mongolian origin.

From the Mahābhārata we learn that there were many tribes among the Kirātas. Kṛṣṇa bɨholding the

sons of Pṛitha dressed in deep skins, became filled with rage, and addressing Yudhiṣṭira said that at the rājasūya sacrifice performed at Indraprastha, he (Kṛṣṇa) had seen all kings of the mainland, the islands, the countries on the sea-board, the frontier states, and "all the chiefs of the sea coast and the kings of the Pahlavas and the Daradas and the various tribes of the Kirātas and Yavanas and Cakras" and others who performed various offices on that memorable occasion. In the sabhā numerous kings waited on Yudhiṣṭira. Among them were Pulinda and Sumans, two kings of the Kirātas."

In the topographical list of the peoples given in the same epic as well as in the *Purāṇas* we have, on the whole, the fact of the Kirātas being located in the east. But it may be remembered that from the same works we can gather that by the time they were composed, the Kirātas had sought fresher fields of activity in the north and north-west of India. The diverse tribes of the Kirātas are mentioned in the *Mahābhārata* after the Poṣakas and the Kalingas and before the Tomaras, the Hamsamārgas, and the Karamanjakas. The kingdoms of these peoples are described to be in the east and north. In the same context they are classed together with the Puṇḍras, the Bhārgas, the Sudeṣanas, the Kuruvarṇakas, and the Barbaras.

The eastern home of the Kirātas is further proved by the statements in the *Viṣṇu Purāṇa*. On the east of Bhārata dwell the Kirātas, on the west, the Yavanasso says the *Viṣṇu Purāṇa*. In another passage we are told that to the north of the Mahi(Mahya) uttaras, were the Prāviṣeyas, the Bhārgavas, the Puṇḍras, and the Kirāta. The Mārkandeya Purāna, while it confirms the statement of the Viṣṇu Purāna regarding the eastern habitat of the Kirātas, also speaks of them as living in other directions, suggesting thereby that they had already begun to seek newer homes across the continent. While describing the nine divisions of the country, the Mārkandeya Purāna relates that the Jambudvīpa is a thousand yojanas in length from south to north. At its eastern end are the Kirātas and at its west, the Yavanas.¹⁷

The Agni Purāṇa has a similar account to give of the nine divisions of Bharatavarṣa and of the peoples who occupied them. It says that the length of the land from north to south was eight thousand miles; and that reckoned from the east were the land of the Kirātas, the land of the Yavanas, and the land of the Brāhmaṇas. 18

From the manner in which the Kirātas are described in the Mārkandeya Purāna, it is clear that when that work was composed, they had already scattered themselves on the northern, western, and even southern sides of the land. In one connection the Mārkandeya Purāna describes them to be between the Animadras and the Tāmasas. Their country is spoken of in the same Purāna as resting against the mountains. Further, they are said to be a people who were "situated in the right-hand foot" of the Tortoise. Then, again, one class of the Kirātas called the Adhama, or the rudest and basest races of the Kirātas, is located in the Tortoise's left flank. They are also mentioned as living in the Tortoise's north-east foot.

These remarks of the *Mārkāndeya Purāṇa*, especially those relating to the Kirātas being situated in the "right-hand foot" (of the Tortoise, far from

being "improbable" and "out of place," as Pargiter remarked, may be accepted as valid in so far as they point out the directions in which the Kirātas had dispersed ever since the times of the Mahābhārata. From the above accounts in the Mārkaṇḍeya Purāṇa, we are to understand that they had now inhabited probably the southern part of the Aravali Hills, and certainly the regions of the Vindhyan forests.

The Matsya Purāna corroborates our surmise. Accepting the confusion which the earlier writers made concerning the Mlecchas and the wild tribes, it relates that the former lived on the outskirts of Bharatavarsa, the Kirātas inhabiting the east, and the Yavanas, the west of it. 25 But while describing the various janapadas situated on the banks of the rivers of India, the Matsya Purāna remarks that in the madhya-desa, or central regions, were the janapadas of the Bhadrakasas, the Vahyas, Pattacaras, Matsyas, Kiratas, Kulyas, and the like.26 More details concerning the exact location of the kingdoms of the Kirātas are given in a later passage where it is stated that the Aryan janapadas of the Kirātas and the Pulindas were watered by the sacred Ganges which striking against the Vindhyan hills falls into the Hladini Ganges. The Hladini Ganges is described in the same context as running east ward into the sea after passing through the countries of Upaka, Niṣāda, Kirāta, Kālañjara, and others.27 The same Purāna relates that the Kirāta kingdom was situated on the mountains.28

Further notices in the *Purāṇas* and the *kāvyas* strengthen our belief that by the sixth century A. D., the Kirātas had not only colonized the Himālayas, the Panjab but also the Vindhyan forests. These last came to be associated with them in the works of the

Hindu writers. The Brihatsamhita locates them in the south-west as well as in the north-east division.29 Kālidāsa places them on the Himālayas; and Bāna. in the eastern regions. After the subjugation of the Pāraśikas and the Yavanas in the west, Raghu proceeded in a northerly direction. Halting for a while on the banks of the Sindhu, he conquered the Hunas (of the Panjab), broke the power of the Kāmbojas and the lord of the Kośalas. Then escorted by his cavalry, he reached the top of the mountain Himavat. Here his soldiers rested for a while. At the halting places left by Raghu the devadāru trees, whose barks had been bruised in places where the neck-ropes had been tied. indicated to the Kirātas the heights of the elephants. A battle ensued between the hill tribes and the forces of Raghu. The mountain tribes were subjugated. is in this connection that Kālidāsa gives us the names of two classes of wild tribes whom his hero had conquered: they were the Utsvasanketas and the Kinnaras.30

That even in the times of Bāṇa the eastern regions were associated with the Kirātas is proved by the following description of Candrāpīḍa's world-conquest in the Kādambarī. Candrāpīḍa directed his forces eastwards and conquered and occupied Suvarṇapura, not far from the eastern Ocean, the abode of those Kirātas that dwell near Kailāsa, and who were called Hemajakūṭas.³¹

We may continue our remarks on the diffusion of the Kirātas in the southern and western regions of India, before we allude to their traces in the country today. In the age of the *Mahābhārata* itself they had occupied the western coast. This is proved by the following statements in that epic. Arjuna's white

steeds yoked to his car, proceeded along the southern direction. The sacrificial horse entered the beautiful city of the Cedis called after the oyster (S'uktimatī) by S'arabha (the son of S'iśupāla). The steed then proceeded to the realm of the Kās'is, the Aṅgas, the Kos'alas, the Kirātas and the Taṅganas.³²

More substantial evidence of the Kirātas having settled in the south is given in the account of Nakula's conquests. He reduced the fierce Mlecchas residing on the sea-coast as also the wild tribes of the Pahlavas, the Kirātas, the Yavanas, and the S'akas.³³

The Niṣādas, who are spoken of as having been conquered by Sahadeva in the course of his southern conquests, were evidently a tribe kindred to the Kirātas, although, it must be confessed, we are unable for the present to point out the lines of resemblance between the two kinds of wild tribes. We may note, however, that in the passage under question Sahadeva is said to have conquered first the S'ūrasenas, then the Adhirājas, next the kings Sukumāra and Sumitra, then the Matsyas, the Paṭaccharas, next the country of the Niṣādas and also the high hill called Gos'riṅga and that lord of the earth known as S'reṇimat.³⁴

In the seventh century and after the Vindhyas were reputed to be the home of the Kirātas. We shall presently cite the evidence of Daṇḍin, the author of Daśakumāracarita, in connection with this assertion of ours. Another equally well known writer, Paṃpā, who wrote the Kannaḍa work called Vikramār-junavijaya or Paṃpā Bhārata in the S'aka year 863 (A. D. 941), introduces the Kirātas as messengers (Kirāta-dūtaṃ) who inform Dharmarāja of the movements of Duryodhana. In an equally celebrated work called Paripā Rāmāyaṇa, by a later writer named

Abhinava Pampa (twelfth century A. D.), the Kirātas are located on the Vindhyas. Vālakhilya, the ruler of the town of Kuravakas, is taken prisoner by Raudrabhūti, a Mleccha king of the Vindhyan forests, with the aid of countless army of Kirātas. Nijaguņayogi, a Kannada lexicographer of the later times, in his Vivekacintāmaņī, mentions a Kirāta kingdom.

The Kirātas have not at all disappeared from the history of India. They are called today Kirātis or Kirāntis; and they inhabit the southern slopes of the Himālayas and the eastern mountains, especially the Kirānt-des'a or the mountainous country lying between the Dud-Kosi and the Karki rivers in Nepāl. term includes the Khambhu, Limbu, and Yākhā tribes. The Danaur, Havu and Thami tribes also claim to be Kirāntis, although their claim is disputed by the first three which are superior. 38 The Rajamālā, or the analytical account of the royal family of Tipperah (Skt. Tripura), states that the ancient name of Tipperah was Kirāta. The people of Tripura, according to some, were of the same origin as those of the Kācāris. It may incidentally be observed here that the medicinal plant ciretta is a corruption of Kirāta. This plant which is also called anarya-tikta (the bitter of the non-Aryans), grows in the lower regions of the Himalayas which form the country of the modern Kirānti. 39

The term Kirāta is also applied to the tract from Bankut to Devagarh as well as to the western part of Gondwāna which, however, is distinguished by the appellation of Kirāta-Canda. 40

Kirātapur in the Panjab is associated with the activities of Har Govind and Har Rai, the gurus of the Sikhs. 41 Grierson identified Garhwal and Kumaun with the districts mentioned in the Māhābhārata as

containing settlements of the Kirātas. 42

Hindu writers have portrayed the Kirātas in some detail. The *Rāmāyaṇa* describes them as dwelling in islands, wearing thick top-knots, and subsisting on raw fish. 43

Mahābhārata contains more picturesque The descriptions of the Kirātas. Among the numerous tributary kings who paid tribute to Yudhistira were numberless chiefs of the Kirātas armed with cruel weapons, ever engaged in cruel deeds, eating fruits and roots. attired in skins, and living on the slopes of the Himavat and the region northern of the Kārusa on the sea-coast and on both sides of the Lohitya mountains. Although they had brought with them as tribute loads of sandal wood and aloe and also black aloe, heaps upon heaps of valuable skins and gold and perfumes, ten thousand serving girls of their own race, many beautiful animals and birds of remote countries, and much gold of great splendour procured from mountains, yet they had to wait at the gate being refused at first permission to enter.44

Sātyaki tells Yudhistira that he is eager to follow Arjuna, and gives an account of the army through which he has got to cut his way. "Crushing these in battle for thy good, O Pāṇḍava, I shall then follow the track of Savyasācin. Those other elephants, O King, seven hundred in number, that thou seest, all cased in armour and ridden by Kirātas, and decked with ornaments, the king of the Kirātas, desirous of his life, had formerly presented to Savyasācin, together with many servants in their train. These, O King, were formerly employed in doing thy business. Behold the vicissitudes that time brings about, for these are now battling against thee! Those elephants are ridden by

Kirātas difficult of defeat in battle. They are accomplished in fighting from elephants, and are all sprung from the race of Agni. Formerly they were all vanquished in battle by Savvasācin. They are now waiting for me carefully, under the orders of Duryodhana. Slaying with my shafts, O King, these Kirātas difficult of defeat in battle, I shall follow in the track of Arjuna who is intent on the slughter of the ruler of the Sindhus. Those (other) huge elephans, sprung from the race of Añjana, of impenetrable hides, well trained, and adown whose cheeks and from whose mouths the juicy secretions are trickling down, and which are well trained with armour and wholly of gold, are very effective in battle and resemble Airavat himself! They have come from the northern hills and are ridden by fierce robbers, that are of strong limbs, that are all foremost of warriors, and that are cased in steel coats of mail. There, amongst them, are persons born of the cow, or of the ape, or of diverse other creatures including those born of men. That division of the assembled Mlecchas that are all sinful and that come from the fastnesses of Himavat, seems at a distance to be of smoky colour." 45

The unnatural origin of some of the races of the Kirātas given in the above long and interesting description by Sātyaki, may have been perhaps only another mode of expressing what Megasthenes says in his account of the Kirātas, whom he calls Skiratai, and whose country he locates beyond India. According to him, they were snub-nosed, either because in the tender years of infancy their nostrils were pressed down, and continued to be so throughout their after lives; or because such was the natural shape of their organ. 46

The Kirātas in the forests of the Vindhyas men-

tioned by Dandin, referred to above, were Brāhman renegades, who had taken to the savage life of the foresters (vanacarāḥ). The son of one of these, by name Mātaṅga, gives us an account of what he used to do:—With a party of the Kirātas, he used to harass the neighbouring villagers, bring them to the forest, imprison them there and lead a life of lawlessness. ⁴⁷ A humane leader of the mountaineers (Kirāta-bhartṛi) is mentioned in a later connection in the same work. ⁴⁸ Dandin also describes an intelligent (Kirāta) forest messenger who sold tiger skins and leather bags and gathered news as well in the city of Māhiśmatī. ⁴⁹

For a description of the Kirāta women we go to the Kannada poet Vīrabhadrarāja (circa A. D. 1530) who writes thus:—

The Bedar women resembled the flamingos in their movements, the cuckoos in their tone, the parrots in their speech, the ruddy geese (or Brāhmany ducks) in their breasts, and the peacocks in the beauty of their braids. Their claims for being considered as vijāti are (thus) intelligible because they possessed the charms of the (above) birds:—

nadege-arasu-sañceyam sarake kokilamam bagevattu torppma me-l-l-nudige s'ukangalam kuca-yugangala permmege jakka-vakiyam ll-mudige naviglal-andavane poltu Kirāta-nitambinī-janam l-biḍade vijāti-embudu-adu sārthakam-āgi-esed-irppudu-āvagam ll-50

The most abiding gift which the Kirātas gave to the country was in the shape of a new deity to the Hindu pantheon. From epigraphical records as well as literature we know that the goddess whom the Kirātas and the kindred tribes worshipped was Caṇḍikā. Now, Caṇḍikā is, as is well known, no other than Durgā in the fierce form she assumed when she killed the demon Mahiṣa. The origin of Caṇḍikā or Durgā is

similar to that of Tārā Bhagavatī, the celebrated Buddhist goddess of the Mahāyāna school. Tārā, as we shall describe in another work,* was born in the Tibetan regions. The origin of Durgā or Caṇḍikā, as given in the Devī Māhātmyā quoted in the Mārkeṇḍeya Purāṇa, 51 also leads us to suppose that this goddess too was born in those regions. The Devī Māhātmyā relates that on Asura Mahiṣa becoming Indra, the gods in fear ran to Viṣṇu and S'iva. From these two issued forth great energy which gathering into one form became a female. This was Caṇḍikā or Durgā. 52 In other words, according to the Śāktās, Durgā is the female principle in the universe-the embodiment of the tejas or energy of the gods, the other goddesses being merely her froms.

She is the sakti of Siva; Tārā Bhagavatī is her counterpart and is the sakti of Avalokites'vara. 53

Durgā or Caṇḍikā is praised in the $M\bar{a}rkandeya$ $Pur\bar{a}na$ as protecting people from all evils. ⁴ In other words, she is a saviouress. This is exactly the nature of Tārā Bhagavatī in Mahāyāna Buddhism. ⁵⁵

Just as Durgā is represented to be the mother of the gods, so also is Tārā described in the Mahāyāna texts to be the mother of the Buddhas as well as of the Boddhisattvas. ⁵⁶

Durgā is Kālī, the first of the Mahāvidyās. And Tārā is the second of the Mahāvidyas. Tārā, Durgā, and Kālī, according to the S'āktās, are one and the same.

Scholars are of opinion that the Indo-Aryans worshipped at first the male spirit, the adoration of the highly developed s'akti or female spirit coming in later. It is not denied that the worship of the latter was to a

^{*} See the wirter's forth-coming book on Buddhism.

certain extent known to the Vedic Aryans. The question with which we are concerned is, firstly, which of the two forms is older- that of Durgā or Tārā; and who was responsible for the popularization of this essentially Kirāta deity in India. We say that Durgā or Candikā was primarily a Kirāta deity not merely from the fact of the place of the origin of this goddess being located more or less in the Kiranta-des'a we have described above, but also from the activities of a celebrated Buddhist teacher in Tibet as well as in India. This was Nāgārjuna, who has been assiged to the first or second century of the Christian era. We shall not go into the details of the history of this great Buddhist theologian but merely record the opinion that he, a southerner, revived the worship of Ekajața among the Bhotas of Tibet. 57 Ekajatā is another name for Tārā Bhagavatī.

That the worship of Tārā existed already in Tibet (and in the Kirānta-des'a) before the advent of Nāgarjuna is a point which cannot be disputed. He was chiefly instrumental in popularizing that goddess in India. He propitiated Caṇḍikā and she submitted to him and agreed to supply the great monastery at Nāļanda with provisions at his bidding. He fixed her in a thick pointed wooden club. She used to perform all the duties ascribed to her in the form of a beautiful woman. But, as the tradition goes, the chief cook of the monastery fell in love with her and burning the wooden club, set her at liberty. ⁵⁸

Whatever may be the truth attached to this tradition, we have the fact of Nāgārjuna being a great propounder of Tāntrism and of his having made famous the adoration of Tārā. It is generally accepted that the Tāntric mode of worship introduced from Tibet

either in the second century A. D. or a little later reached its zenith in the sixth and seventh centuries when the cult of Tārā spread far beyond the shores of India to the distant islands in the southern seas. We have no evidence to prove that prior to the age when Tara was introduced from Tibet, there existed her Brahmanical counterpart Candikā or Durgā among the deities of the Hindus. We have seen above that it was somewhere in the sixth century or thereabouts that the Kirātas moved gradually from their eastern and northern homes towards the Aravali Hills and especially in the direction of the Vindhyan forests. We can only assume that consequent on their dispersion over the western and southern parts of Aryavarta, their deity too must have perambulated till she was admitted into the fold of the Hindu divinities and raised the status of a premier goddess. The Buddhist Tārā was only the precursor, both in the matter of time and homage, of the Brahmanical Candika or Durga, although the Devi Māhātmyā, a work which has been assigned to the seventh century A. D.59, would make us believe that the latter deity was of independent origin.

These suppositions of ours may be questioned but it cannot be denied that Caṇḍikā or Durgā was intrinsically a Kirāta deity. This we gather from Daṇḍin and Bāṇa. The former describes the Kirātas in a beautiful temple of Caṇḍikā ready to sacrifice a fair child to ensure success of their wild deeds. They say among themselves:— "We shall kill him with a sword suspending him by the branch of a tree, or by means of of a number of sharp arrows aimed at him after fixing his feet by digging (a hole) in the surface of sand, or by causing young dogs to kill as he will be running on all fours." Then, again, the name which Daṇḍin gives

to Durgā in a later passage -that of Vindhyāvāsinī- only confirms our surmise that Caṇḍikā was the favourite deity of the Kirātas who had made the Vindhyan forests their home. King Tuṅgadhanvā of Suḥma, desirous of securing progeny, solicited by prayers from Vindhyāvāsinī — who, by the way, forgetting her passion for a residence on the Vindhyā, had gone to Suḥma-two children. The goddess appeared to him in a dream as he slept before her without food, and said, among other things, that he would get one son and one daughter, and that the latter was to worship the goddess every month on the Kṛittikā day by playing at ball in public. 60

This statement of Dandin is corroborated by the author of $Hariva\dot{m}sa$ who tells us that surrounded by cocks, goats, lambs, lions, and tigers, and worshipped by the ringing of bells, Nārāyaṇī-which is another name for Durgā-adored by the S'abaras, Barbaras, and Pulindas, always lives on the mount Vindhya.

The great S'abara leader who was a devout worshipper of Durgā, figuring in Bāṇa's $K\bar{a}dambar\bar{\iota}$, will presently be described.

The goddess Caṇḍikā whom the Kirātas thus worshipped, has also been described in detail in the Devi Māhātmyā referred to above, and in the Kādambarī of Bāṇa. We are told in the former that the bearer of the Pināka drawing a trident from his own trident gave it to her; and Kṛṣṇa gave a discus pulling it out from his discus. Varuṇa gave her a conch, Agni, a spear, Maruta, a bow, and a quiver filled with arrows. Indra, the lord of the immortals, gave her a thunderbolt pulling it out from his own thunderbolt, the thousand eyed gave her a bell from his elephant Airāvata. Yama gave her a rod from his own rod of

Fate; and the lord of the waters, a noose; Prajāpati presented her with a necklace of beads; Brahmā, an earthen pot; the Sun bestowed on her his own rays on all the pores of her skin. And Destiny gave her a sword and a spotless shield, and the Ocean of Milk, a spotless necklace of pearls and also a pair of undecaying garments. And a celestial crest-jewel, a pair of ear-rings, and bracelets, and a brilliant half-moon ornament, and armlets over all her arms, and also a pair of bright anklets, a necklet of the finest make and rings and gems on all her fingers-these Vis'vakarma gave her and also a brightly polished axe, weapons of many shape and also armour that could not be pierced, And Ocean gave her a garland of fadeless lotus flowers for her head and another for her breast and a very brilliant lotus flower besides. Himavat gave her a lion to ride on and gems of various kinds. Kubera gave her a drinking cup full of wine. Honoured by other gods also with gifts of ornaments and weapons, the goddess uttered a loud roar blendend with a horselaugh again and again. 62

Such was evidently the goddess Durgā in the temple where Vilāsvatī, as described in the Kādambarī, desirous of getting a child slept. That temple was dark with the smoke of bdellium ceaselessly burnt. But Bāṇa has a more detailed description to give of the temple of Durgā in another connection. Candrāpīḍa returning from Hemakūṭa to his father's palace, beheld on the way a red flag in a forest, near which was a shrine of Durgā guarded by an old Dravidian hermit who had made his abode thereby. The temple had a door made of the tusks of wild elephants which was as pale white as a piece of the ketaki flower. It was endowed with an iron arch that bore a string of iron

mirrors with rows of red chauries that seemed to be like the faces of the Sabaras fierce with their brown hair. The pedestal of the image of Durgā was of black stone with an iron buffalo in front of it, having marks of red sandal paste made on it. The eyes of the buffalo were blood-red. Around Durgā, who was propitiated by hideous offerings, played cubs of lions which scattered about the red pearls that had been seized and then let off by foolish cocks. The door of the garbha-gṛha had iron spikes placed in the mouths of lions drawn in figures. The image of Durgā was dressed in red silken robes that reached below her feet and fell upon the pedestal, with a tilaka on her forehead, tāmbūla in her mouth, and karṇapūrna ornament in her ears. Before her was burnt incense. 63

Temples to the goddess Candikā are scattered all over the country. We may note a few examples of rulers and oitizens who were worshippers of Candikā. An inscription dated A. D. 1114 speaks of the Western Cālukva king Taila (evidently Nūrmmadi Tailapa, A. D. 973-997), who restored the supremacy of the Western Calukvas after an eclipse of two hundred years by the Rāṣṭrakūṭas, as the promoter of the Cālukya kingdom and offering up the full blown the heads of his enemies at the feet of Candika. 64 Under the Hoysala Narasimha Deva, the Mahāsāmanta Uttama Cola ruling over the Nonabankere Twelve and other provinces, is called "obtainer of a boon from the goddess Candikā." 65 There was an image of the same goddess in the temple of Somanātha in Mūdukeri in Bārkūr in Tuluva (mod. South Kanara), to which a private person named Rāmanņa, son of Hemmādi Joyiśa, made some provision for its worship. This is related in an inscription dated S'aka 1362 (A. D. 1440-41) found in

the same Somanātha temple. 66 Goddess Caṇḍikā seems to have been praised in A. D. 1404. But the lithic record which gives us this fragmentary detail is defaced and, therefore, we cannot make out its full import. 67

It is not only the deity of the Kirātas that has been adored but even the Kirātas themselves have been deified in Hindu literature. The celebrated episode in the *Mahābhārata* when Arjuna adopted the name, nationality, and guise of a Kirāta for a certain period, in order to learn archery and the use of other weapons from S'iva, and the rendering of this piece by Bhāravi in his immortal *Kirātārjuneya* is too well known to need a repetition here. 68

The Kirātas have been an historical factor in the annals of our land. We have already mentioned the occasions when they appeared on the scene in the Mahābhārata. These following instances may also be noted. The rulers of the Daśārnas, and the Prāygas, and the Daserakas, and the Anupakas, and the Kiratas were placed in the neck of krauñcāruna array formed by Yudhistira. 69 On the seventeenth day of the memorable battle, Kṛṣṇa told Arjuna that a great number of soldiers of terrible deeds and exceedingly fierce - the Tuṣāras, the Yavanas, the Khasas, the Dārvābhisāras, the Daradas, the S'akas, the Kamathas, the Ramathas, Tanganas, the Andhrakas, the Pulindas, Kirātas of fierce prowess, the Mlecchas, the mountaineers hailing from the seaside, all endowed with great wrath and might, delighting in battle and armed with maces-uniting with the Kurus and figthing wrathfully for Duryodhana's sake, were incapable of being defeated by anybody save Savyasacin. 70 On the same occasion, Sātyaki urged his charioteer to take

him to that place where those Yavanas armed with bows and arrows and skilled in smiting, and the S'akas and Kirātas and Daradas and Barbaras and Tāmraliptas and other countless Mlecchas armed with diverse weapons, were stationed. 71

Coming down to historical times, we find that Alexander the Great conquered certain wild tribes. 72 They lived on the coast near the mouth of the river Tomeros (mod. Hingol), and are described to have been a wild race of savages, ignorant of the use of iron, covering themselves with the skins of wild beasts, with claw-like nails strong enough to rip up raw fish and to split the softer kinds of wood. 73 Pliny gives one significant detail in connection with Alexander the Great and the wild tribes. The Conquerer forbade the whole of the Ichthyophagi Oritae to live any longer on raw fish. 74 Pliny describes them to be mountaineers and places them after the Gedrusi and the Pasires (in modern Dulcidan and Goadel). 75 Ptolemy, we may incidentally add, locates the Kirrhadai in Sogdiana along the Oxus. 76 We have elsewhere spoken of the Kirātas as having been mountaineers, eating raw-fish, and of having spread themselves practically over the whole of the northern part of the Indian continent. We may not be far wrong in assuming that they may have crossed the Hindu Kus mountains, and occupied the banks of the Oxus, and that they may have been the wild tribes whom Alexander the Great vanquished.

The fact of their having made the Oxus basin their home in some remote period of history may explain in a measure the reason why they have been, as we have so often seen, coupled with the Yavanas and the other western peoples in the Mahābhārata.

The author of the well known *Mudrārākṣasa* too brings on the scene the Yavanas together with the Kirātas in a manner to indicate sufficiently the role these latter played in the history of the great Mauryan Empire. Pāṭaliputra was attacked. Virādhagupta, disguised as a snake charmer, narrates to Rākṣasa the entry of Candragupta into the palace of Nanda. The forces of Candragupta and Parvates'vara composed of S'akas, Yavanas, Kirātas, Kāmbojas, Pārasikas, Bāhlikas, and others, led by the counsels of Cāṇakya, looking like seas with waters in commotion, at the time of universal destruction, invested Kusumapura on all sides.⁷⁷

We shall see in the next chapter that the succour which Candragupta Maurya sought of the Kirātas and the Mlecchas in attacking Pāṭaliputra, and consequently in founding his kingdom, was by no means accidental.

That the Kirātas and the kindred tribes, whose interference in Mauryan politics we have just seen above, had eastablished powerful kingdoms in the northern, north-eastern, and central parts of India by the middle of the fourth century A. D. is proved by the statements in the Allahabad Pillar inscription of Samudra Gupta. This edict which records his brilliant conquests undertaken between the years A. D. 330 and 335, 8 eulogizes the deeds of the great conqueror thus:—"Who abounded in majesty that had been increased by violently exterminating Rudradeva, Matila, Nāgadatta, Candravarman, Gaṇapatināga, Nāgasena, Acyuta, Naṅdi, Balvarman and many other kings of (the land of) Āryavarta;—who made all the kings of the forest countries to become (his) servants...." 19

The territory of these forest kings has been identified by some with the state of Orissa and the

more backward parts of the Central Provinces. 80 According to others, the kingdom of Mahākāntāra of Vyāghrarāja conquered by Samudra Gupta was to the south of southern Kos'ala in the region of Jhād-Khaṇḍa (Oriya for forest country) in the Ganjam and Vizagapatam Agency tracts. 81

But it may reasonably be doubted whether there was only one forest kingdom called Mahākāntāra ruled over by Vyāghrarāja, or many principalities of the forest tribes. The Allahabad Pillar inscription of Samudra Gupta clearly informs us that it was all the kings of the forest countries whom he conquered. Evidently the Vyāghrarāja mentioned herein was only one of them. We have to surmise that in the wild tracts of Central India there were other equally powerful forest chieftains whom Samudra Gupta had made his servants. About the details of his policy towards the forest rulers, we do not know anything.

But it seems certain that there were many forest kingdoms from the trend of events that took place after the reign of Samudra Gupta. The existence of eighteen forest kings in the region now made up by Bundelkand is proved by an inscription dated A. D. 528-9 of the times of the Mahārāja Sanksobha recording the grant of the village of Opāņī to the temple of the goddess Pistapuri (modern Pithapuram, twenty miles north-east of Cocanada). In this inscription it is said that the Mahārāja Hastin, in whose line was born Sankṣobha, "sought to govern properly the kingdom of Phabala" (mod. Bundelkand) which had come (to him) by inheritance together with (all the country) included in the eighteen forest kingdoms (āsṭādas'-ātavi-rājya)."82 About these eighteen kingdoms, there is unfortunately a blank in history. It is perhaps some of these which the author of the $Brhatsamhit\bar{a}$ includes among the $vana-r\bar{a}stra$ (forest-countries) and $vana-r\bar{a}jya$ (forest kingdoms) in the north-east. 83

We shall presently speak of a Niṣāda king called Pṛthvīvyāghra who had occupied a part of the territory of the Eastern Cālukya ruler Viṣṇuvardhana.

In the annals of the ancient Karṇāṭaka kingdoms of the south and the west, too, the Kirātas have figured. Thus in a record dated A. D. 713 of the Gaṅga king S'ivamāra I., the praise of Nava Kāma, the younger brother of Koṅguṇi Mahārājādhirāja Bhūvikrama, is thus sung:—"In the inner courts of the palaces of kings hostile to whom, the Kirāta women decorate their bodies with the nectar from the temples of the elephants slain by him, and see delighted the reflection of their joyous embraces in the jewelled courtyards." ⁸⁴

The praise given to the Ganga king Satyavākya Konguni Vārma Dharma Mahārāja that he was "the destroyer of the groups of Kirātas dwelling on the skirts of the Vindhya forests," as given in an inscription dated A. D. 973, 55 proves that the Kirātas continued to cause havoc in the Ganga kingdom, necessitating thereby the extension of the Ganga arms into the forests of the Vindhyas.

One of the Nolambavādi rulers too vanquished the Kirātas. The following is mentioned in a record dated A. D. 943 of the Pallava king Dilīparasa:—That born in the Iśvaravamśa was Triṇayana, from whom was the king of Kañci, Pallava. "Born in his family, conqueror of the Kirāta king, worshipper of Caṇḍikā, was Nolambādhirāja, praised by the Karṇāṭakas, the king named Maṅgala, reverenced by the learned." 86

The great \Tamil king Rajaraja Deva is called

"destruction to the race of hill-chiefs" (malepa-kula-kāļam) in a record dated A. D. 1007. 87

Puṇisa was the most famous general of the greatest of the Hoysala kings Viṣṇuvardhana Biṭṭi Deva. "The ruined traders, the cultivators with no seeds to sow, the ousted Kirāta (chief) with no power left, who had become his servant, he gave them all what they had lost and supported them, the daṇḍanātha Puṇisa"—such is the praise bestowed on him in an inscription of A. D. 1117. 88 We shall describe in detail in a subsequent chapter the measures which the Hoysala rulers took to subvert the power of the wild tribes in the Karṇāṭaka.

In the seventeenth century, too, the Kirātas gave expression to their turbulent nature. The Kelaḍi kings, and after them, the Mysore rulers, promptly dealt with them. One example of a Kelaḍi ruler who vanquished the Kirātas is that of Veṅkaṭapa Nāyaka. In a grant of his grandson Vīrabhadra Nāyaka dated A. D. 1641, Veṅkaṭapa Nāyaka is called "a sun to disperse the thick darkness the numberless Kirātas." ⁸⁹ It will be seen that Veṅkaṭapa Nāyaka was by no means the only Kelaḍi king who won a victory over the Kirātas.

Of the Mysore rulers Cikka Deva, grandson of Cāma Rāja IV., is said to have won many battles, and to have overcome Muṣṭika, who was supported by the Morasas (people of the Kōlār district) and the Kirātas, and to have captured Jadakanadurga re-naming it Cikka Devarāyapura. Thus does a record dated A. D. 1680 describe the conquests of one of the most celebrated monarchs of Mysore. 90

CHAPTER III

THE S'ABARAS

Summary:— Indentity between the Kirātas and the S'abaras - Origin in the Aitareya Brāhmana and in the Mahābhārata - Foreign and Hindu writers on their location - Traces in modern India - S'abara element in some of the royal families of India: the Maurya House, the Gupta family, Udayana's parentage, the Niṣāda line, the Pallava ancestry - The S'abaras in the history of southern and western India from the tenth to the seventeenth centuries - The S'abaras as a progressive factor.

According to the lexicographer Amara, there was no difference between the Kirātas, the S'abaras, the Beḍas, and the other kindred wild tribes. He classifies them under the S'ūdravarga thus:—

Caṇḍāla-Plava-Mātaṅga-divākir-iti-janaṅgamāḥ l Niṣāda-svapacāva antevāsi Cāṇḍāla Pulkasāḥ ll Bhedaḥ Kirāta S'abara Puliṅdā Mleccha-jātayaḥ l vvādho mrgayadhā-jīvo mrgayur Lubdhko-'pi saḥ ll 1

This confusion between the different classes of the wild tribes was by no means confined to Amarsimha. Kautalya mentions them in the same breath in the following context:—The interior of the kingdom should be watered by trap-keepers ($v\bar{a}gurika$), S'abaras, Pulindas, Caṇḍālas, and wild tribes (aranyacarāh).

With the classical lead thus given, it is not surprising that Daṇḍin in the passage we have already cited should call the S'abaras Kirātas.³

The Aitareya Brāhmaṇa has a traditional account to give of the origin of the S'abaras and other similar tribes. It says that the eldest sons of Viśvāmitra were cursed to become the progeny of the most abject races such as the Āndhras, the Puṇḍras, the Śabaras, the Pulindas, and the Mūtibas. These Dasyu tribes are said to have lived on the borders of the Āryan territory. 4

The Mahābhārata elaborates this explanation thus:— Viśvāmitra forcibly had taken away the cow of Vaśiṣṭha. From her tail she began to rain showers of burning coals all round. And some moments after, from her tail she brought forth an army of Pahlavas; and from her udders, of Drāviḍas; from her womb, of Yavanas; and from her dung, of S'abaras; and from her urine, of Kāñcis; and from her sides, of S'abaras again. And from the froth of her mouth, came out hosts of Puṇḍras and Kirātas, Yavanas, and Siṅghalas, and the barbarous tribes of Khasa and Civukas and Pulindas and Cins and Huns with Keralas and numerous other Mlecchas. This army routed the soldiers of Viśvāmitra.⁵

The precise significance of this legend is still a matter of uncertainty. We, therefore, pass on to the topic of the location of the Sabaras as recorded by foreign writers and in Hindu literature. According to Megasthenes, towards the Indus were a number of people. Immediately beyond came deserts extending for 250 miles. Those being crossed, one came to the Organagae, Abaortae, Sibarae, Sauertae and after these came deserts again as extensive as the former. 6

Next to the Prasii, in the interior, Pliny writes, came the Monedes and the Suari to whom belonged Mount Maleus on which shadows fell towards the north in winter, and to the south in summer, for six months alternately.⁷

Towards the Ganges were the Sabarai in whose country diamond was found in great abundance. Thus informs Ptolemy, who noted one particular class of the S'abaras whom he called the Phyllitae. Fleet, as we shall presently narrate, wrongly identified them with leaf-eating S'abaras.

The S'abaras, therefore, had moved eastwards from somewhere near the Indus in the times of Megasthenes to the Gangetic basin in the age of Ptolemy. That is to say, they had made the Madhya-des'a their home.

The Hindu writers confirm the accounts of the foreign geographers in this detail. The Deccan and Central India are mentioned in the Rāmāyaṇa as being the regions occupied by the wicked Dasyus called the S'abaras who were given over to the practice of evil customs. But the same epic mentions a pious S'abara figure. It is that of the S'ramaṇa S'abari who had a hermitage on the western side of the Pampā lake (in the neighbourhood of modern Hampe). Not far om it arrived Rāma and reached her dwelling. Before her time the hermitage had been occupied by the Rṣi Mātaṅga. 10

The Bṛhatsaṃhitā has some notices of the S'abaras confirming, on the whole, the statements in the Rāmāyaṇa that they were found in the southern regions and in central India. The western and southeastern homes of the S'abaras and the Niṣādas, together with the habitat of a particular branch of the S'abaras called the Nagna-Parṇa-S'abaras, are thus given in the Bṛhatsaṃhitā:—

Vṛṣanālikeracarmadvipā Vindhyānta-vāsinaḥ-tripuri I s'mas'radhara Hemakūṭya Vyālagrivā Mahāgrīvāḥ II Kiṣkindha-kaṇṭhakasthala Niṣāda-rāṣṭrāṇi purikā Dās'ārṇāh I saha Nagna-parna-S'abaraih-ās'lesādhyaitrike des'āh II 11 The author of the same work, however, couples them with the Vangas, the Suḥmas, the Kalingas, the Bāhlikas, the S'akas, the Yavanas, the Maghadas, and the people of Prāgjyotiśa, Cīna, and Kāmboja. In another connection he speaks of the S'abaras, the Abhiras, the Pahlavas, the Mallas, the Matsyas, and the S'akas all together. 13

The Nagna-Parṇa-S'abaras mentioned above were not, as Kern and Fleet interpreted, 14 naked leaf-eating S'abaras but leaf-wearing S'abaras, as Gerini rightly pointed out. 15

The Mārkaṇḍeya Purāṇa locates the Parṇa-S'abaras among the people who lived in the right fore-foot (of the Tortoise). The S'abaras are also mentioned along with the Pulindas in the same work as living in the southern regions. 17

The Matsya Purāṇa includes among the dakṣiṇā-patha-janapadas (southern countries) those of the Aiṣīkas, the Āṭaviyas, the S'abaras, the Pulindas, and the rest. 18

These tribes too have left vestiges behind them in the history of the land. The Suari of Pliny and the S'abarae of Ptolemy were indentified by Cunningham with the S'abaras or Suars, a wild race of cultivators who live in the jungles without any inhabitation. ¹⁹ They may be said in general to have occupied the central parts of India. But they have left their relics in other provinces as well. Cunningham identified the famous place of pilgrimage, S'avari Nārāyan, lying on the high road from Bilāspur to Jagannāth, about thirtyfive miles to the south-west of Bilāspur and seventyfive miles north-east of Rāypur, with the chief town of the S'abaras (the Sorae Nomades of the foreign writers) called Sora Arcati regia. The people of the locality

refer the name S'avari Nārāyan to the S'rmana S'avari of the Rāmāyana mentioned above. The oldest building existing at S'avari Nārāyan is the temple of the Sun (arka), and this was probably what the foreigners referred to when they spoke of the Arcati regia. That the temple now holds a figure of Viṣnu is no justification for supposing that it was a Vaiṣṇavite temple. The existence of an original dedication to the Sun god is proved by the figure of the god being placed over the middle of the doorway.²⁰

Another place which Cunningham identified with the S'abaras is the ruined site near Ghāzipur. This is still called Suirkaraj. It is said to have received its name from the Süirs or S'avaras, which recalls at once the S'avari Nārāyan on the Mahānadī. ²¹

Sambhalpur which produced the finest diamonds in the world, is also thought by some to have been once inhabited by the S'abaras. In fact, their presence today in this area proves our contention.²²

To the south-west of Gwalior and Narwar and South Rajputana are a race known as the Surris. They were the Saurs or the S'abaras.²³

Under the name of S'abar, Saur, Süirs, S'avarulu, etc., they inhabit the wild tracts of Damoh, Saugor, Singhbhum, Orissa, Chota Nagpur, the Ganjam and Vizagapatam districts. They are today a wild nomadic race, wandering over the hills, living on the fruits of the forests, and acknowledging the rule of no recognized chief. ²⁴

The most powerful of these wild races in the early centuries of the Christian era, according to the opinion of Cunningham, were the Parṇa-S'abaras. He conjectured that the chief town in the country of the Phyllitae (i. c., the Parṇa-S'abaras or the leaf-wearing

S'abaras) and of the Kondali, called Agara, was probably Sagar. These Parṇa-S'abaras have been identified with the Pāṇs, a very low aboriginal caste in Orissa and the Eastern Circars. The term Parṇa-S'abara (leaf-wearing S'abara) may appropriately be applied to the women in the wilder parts of the Cānda district of the Central Provinces, who wear no clothes at all but only a string round the waist to which they suspend a bunch of leaves and another behind. The same practice is reported to exist in the Kōl country and also in Orissa. The same practice is reported to exist in the Kōl country and also in Orissa.

To these leaf-wearing classes of Sabaras we may add those of South Kanara who are called the Soppu (Toppu) Koragars. These are one class of the aboriginal people who wear leaves round their waist. The larger tribe to which they belong played an important part in the early history of the district.*

With the above notices of the S'abaras, we may dispense with the erroneous notions of Rice that the modern Sāukārs (traders) were S'abara in origin, and that the latter belonged to a race who worshipped the moon.²⁸

We have admirable sketches of the S'abaras from the pen of Bāṇa. He describes the S'abaras who lived in the forests of the Vindhyas. They killed the lions for the pearls attached to their nails.²⁹

The parrot Vais'ampāyana describes how it saw the S'abara army in the Vindhyan forests, in the same work thus:—

The S'abara army came from the wood like the strength of the Narmadā tossed by Arjuna's thousand arms; like a wood of tamālas stirred by the wind; like all the nights of the dark fortnight rolled into one;

^{*} See the writer's work on Early Tuluva.

like a solid pillar of antimony shaken by an earthquake: like a grave darkness disturbed by sunbeams; like the followers of Death roaming; like the demon world that had burst open hell and risen up; like a crowd of evil deeds come together; like a caravan of curses of the many hermits dwelling in the Dandaka forest; like all the hosts of Dusana and Khara struck by Rama as he raised his ceaseless shafts, and they turned into demons, for their hatred to him; like the whole confraternity of the Iron Age come together; like a band of buffaloes prepared for a plunge into the water; like a mass of black clouds broken by a blow from the lion's paw as he stands on the mountain peak; like a throng of meteors risen for the destruction of all form; it darkened the wood; it numbered many thousands; it inspired great dread; it was like a multitude of demons portending disasters.30

We may be permitted to continue the description of the S'abara commander whom Vaisampāyana saw in the midst that great host of the S'abaras. The name of the Sabara leader was Matanga. He was yet in early youth. From his great hardness he seemed to be made of iron. He was like Ekalavya (the king of the Niṣādas killed by Kṛṣṇa, as related in the Mahābhārata,) 31 in another birth. From his growing beard, he was like a young royal elephant with its temple encircled by its first line of ichor. He filled the wood with beauty that streamed from him sombre as dark lotuses, like the waters of the Yamuna. He had thick locks curled at the ends and hanging on his shoulders like a lion with its mane stained by elephant's ichor. His brow was broad, his nose was stern and acquiline; his left side shone reddened by the faint pink rays of a jewelled snake's hood that was made the ornament for one of his ears, like the glow of shoots that had clung to him from his resting on a leafy couch. He was perfumed with fragrant ichor, bearing the scent of saptaccheda blossoms torn from the cheeks of elephant freshly slain, like a stain of black aloes. He had the heat warded off by a swarm of bees, like a peacock feather parasol flying about blinded by the scent, as if they were a branch of tamāla. He was marked with lines of perspiration on his cheek rubbed by his hand, as if Vindhya forest, being conquered by his strong arm, were timidly offering homage under the guise of its slender waving twigs; and he seemed to tinge space by his eyes somewhat pink, as if it were bloodshot, and shedding a twilight of the night of doom for the deer. He had mighty arms reaching to his knees, as if the measure of an elephant's trunk had been taken in making them; and his shoulders were rough with scars from keen weapons often used to make an offering to Kālī. The space round his eyes was bright and broad as the Vindhya mountains, and with the drops of dried deer's blood clinging on it, and the marking of drops from an elephant's frontal bone mixed with the quñja fruit. His chest was scarred by constant and ceaseless fatigue.

He was clad in a silk dress red with cochineal; and with his strong legs he mocked a pair of elephants' posts stained with elephants' ichor. He seemed from his causeless fierceness to have marked on his broad brow by a frown that formed three banners, as if Durgā, propitiated by his great devotion, had marked him with a trident to denote that he was her servant.

He was accompanied by hounds of every colour, which were his familiar friends; they showed their weariness by the tongues that, dry as they were,

seemed by their natural pinkness to drip deer's blood, and which hung down from tiredness; as their mouths were open they raised the corners of their lips and showed their flashing teeth clearly, like a lion's mane caught between the teeth; their throats were covered with strings of couries, and they were hacked by blows from the large boar's tusks. Though but small, from their great strength they were like lion's cubs with their manes ungrown. They were skilled in initiating the does in widowhood; with them came their wives, very large, like lionesses coming to beg an amnesty for the lions.

The S'abara leader was surrounded by troops of S'abaras of all kinds: some had seized elephants' tusks and the long hair of yāks; some had vessels for honey made of leaves closely bound; some, like lions, had hands filled with many a pearl from the frontal bones of elephants; some, like demons, had pieces of raw flesh; some, like goblins, were carrying the skins of lions; some, like Jaina ascetics, held peacocks', tails; some, like children, wore crows' feathers; some represented Kṛṣṇa's exploits by bearing the elephants' tusks they had torn out; some, like the days of the rainy season, had garments dark as clouds. 32

That the description of the S'abara commander may be complete, we give the following details found in the same work. The S'abara leader had his sword in sheath, as a wood its rhinoceres; like a fresh cloud, he held a bow bright as peacock's tails; like the demon Baka he possessed a peerless army; like Garuda, he had torn out the teeth of many large nāgas; he was hostile to peacocks as Bhīṣma to S'iknaṇḍi...as the locks of Umā were decked with S'iva's moon, so was he adored with the eyes of peacock's tails; as the demon

Hiraṇyakas ipu by Mahāvarāha, so he had his breast torn by the teeth of a great boar; like an ambitious man, he had a train of captives around him; like a demon, he loved the hunters; like the gamut of a song, he was closed in by Niṣādas; like the trident of Durgā, he was wet with the blood of buffaloes.³³

With the above magnificent description before us the following may seem to be a meagre sketch of the S'abaras given by a Kannada poet. Nevertheless, in order to acquaint ourselves with a portraiture from the south, we may note what Braham-kavi (circa A. D. 1600) has to say concerning the S'abara women:—

With black bodies, wearing the sprouts of as'oka plant, and the (beautiful scarlet and black) seeds of the wild liquorice (guru-guñji) and the black pearls obtained from the bamboos in their braids, the S'abara women shone like sandhyā-Lakṣmī (or twilight):—
Kariyaru kāyada as'oke-taliram-uṭṭu guru-guñjī sara koralolage t

kari vēņu mutta mudige katti sanjeya siriya-ante-iharu

S'abarivaru 1134

The religious beliefs of the S'abaras were much the same as those of the Kirātas and other kindred They were, as we have already seen, worshippers of the goddess Candikā or Durgā. The following account of the faith common among the S'abaras of the Telugu districts called the Konda S'avaralu and the Malijala S'avarulu, may be read in conjunction with the remarks we have already noted from Dandin's Das'akumāracarita regarding the sacrifice of a fair child to the goddess Candikā. The Konda S'avarulu and the Malijala S'avarulu hold a festival called Toki. About forty to fifty villages take part in it, selecting one village by turn for the site of the operation. It is held in honour of Jagrata Deva, a local deity. Four

or five months before the time fixed for the festival, the most important item is made, viz., the selection of some friendless man of the age of twenty or twenty-six years, as an offering to the deity. The person is seized, put into confinement, highly fed and allowed the free use of intoxicants. For eight or nine successive days at the time of the sacrifice, the victim is taken in public procession round the village where the sacrifice is to be held. The precise act is at 4 o'clock in the morning, or an hour or two before sunrise. The victim is killed by a weapon called ganda-godali; and the blood is used as offering to the deity. Nothing is known of the eating of the flesh of the victim. 35

How far the S'abaras have influenced Indian history needs now to be examined. Their aid was called into requisition in the troublesome times of the foundation of the Mauryan Empire. Viśākhadatta in his well known drama already referred to, informs us that Rākṣasa, on his way to Prince Malayaketu (who had summoned him on hearing the alleged plot), told Priyamvadaka, thus:-" Let the chiefs that follow the Prince be informed in my name that they should proceed en route in the proposed order, now that they are nearer and nearer to Kusumapura every day-Khasa and S'abara chiefs should march in the van in battle array after me. Yavana chiefs should be careful to keep the centre along with Gandhara chiefs. Valiant S'aka chiefs accompanied by Hūna chiefs should be in the rear. And the remaining group of chiefs, namely the king of Kuluta, etc. should post themselves about the person of Prince Malayaketu in the line of march." 36

The fact of the foreign or Mleccha kings having helped either side during the eventful days of the

establishment of the Mauryan kingdom being thus proved beyond doubt, the question arises-How do we account for the presence of the foreign powers, especially of the forces of the Kirātas and the S'abaras, whom Candragupta enlisted in his service during the investment of Pāṭaliputra? On a closer analysis of the question, one is inclined to think that the assistance which the wild tribes gave to Candragupta Maurya was not merely adventitious. There may have been, we venture to say, an identity of interests between the future Emperor of Aryavarta and the most powerful of the Mleccha tribes. This may have been in regard to their common origin. Candragupta is acknowledged to have been a Ksatriya. The Mahāvamso, for instance, narrates that, after the nine Nandas, the Brāhman Canakka annointed a glorious youth, known by the name of Candagutta, as king over all Jambudipa, born of a noble clan the Moriyas, when filled with bitter hate, he had slain the ninth Dhanananda.37 Other evidence, which we abstain from recording, is also overwhelmingly in favour of Candragupta being considered a Kṣatriya.

But on the strength of the assertions of Viśākhadatta and those of Manu confirmed by the statements in the Mahābhārata, it is permissible to ask whether or not there was a distinct S'abara element in the parentage of Candragupta. Cāṇakya calls him in the drama mentioned above, as is too well known, by the name Vṛśāla Maurya. The term Vṛṣʿāla seems to have had some particular significance in the code of lawgivers. Under that denomination were included a number of wild tribes, as we had an occasion of explaining on the evidence of the Mahābhārata and the Code of Manu, among whom were the Kirātas and the S'abaras.

The name Vṛśāla, it may also be noted, carried with it a certain amount of what we may be permitted to call un-Aryanism which is thus explained by the The Brhadāranyaka Upanisad says the following: - "When the monthly illness seizes his wife, she should for three days not drink from a metal vessel, and wear a fresh dress. Let no Vrśala or Vrśālī touch her." 39 A Snātaka was forbidden to go alone on a journey with outcastes or with a woman or with a S'udra who was evidently no other than a Vṛṣ'āla. 40 Manu pronounced the following verdict on those who dared to violate justice: - "For divine justice (is said to be) a bull (vṛṣa); that (man) who violates it (kurute'lam) the gods consider to be (a man despicable like) a S'ūdra (Vṛṣ'āla); let him, therefore, beware of violating justice." 41

The pointed reference which Canakva often makes to the Vṛs'āla parentage of Candragupta Maurya and the substantial aid which the latter received from the S'abaras and the Kirātas, who appear frequently on the scene in the drama Mudrārāksasa, suggest that Candragupta, notwithstanding the most substantial claims he had for being considered a true Ksatriya by virtue of his remarkable military achievements,—in the wider sense of the term-, may have been of S'abara or Kirāta (i. e., S'udra) stock not only from his mother's side, as is admitted, but also from his paternal line, about which, however, it must be confessed, direct evidence is not forthcoming in history. The indomitable courage which characterized the achievements of Candragupta could not have been native to a S'udra, however well trained he may have been in the use of arms. And the wise Brāhman counsellor would not have selected as a tool a man from the ordinary ranks had he not been certain of the sterner and wilder nature of the origin from which Candragupta had sprung.

Turning to another royal family, we find that in regard to that too, we have some valid grounds for tracing its lineage to the wild tribes. We refer to the Gupta royal house. The Viṣṇu Purāṇa has classed the Guptas with the Vaiśyas and the S'ūdras: while the termination s'arman is prescribed for a Brāhmaṇa, varman belongs to a Kṣatriya, and a name characterized by Gupta or Dāsa is approved of in the case (respectively) of a Vaiśya and a S'ūdra. 12

This definition of the names Gupta and Dāsa is not without classical sanction. In the Mahābhārata the Dāsas are classified among the anuloma and pratiloma castes. From the Niṣādas, the epic informs us, sprang a caste called Madgura and another named Dāsa whose occupation consisted in plying boats. The explanation given herein may be traced to Manu, who lays it down that a Kaivarta or Dāsa, or, also as he was called, Mārgava, was an offspring of a Niṣāda by an Āyogava woman, his occupation being that of a boatman.

The origin of these Dāsas or Kaivartas being thus traced by the classical canonists to the Niṣāda stock, it remains to be seen which of the royal families of India could be referred to a similar consanguinity. The Guptas as well as a later family connected in some manner with them, may be cited as examples of royal houses which had their origin in stock outside the pale of the varṇās'ramas. It may be argued that Candra Gupta I married a Licchavi princess, 45 and that, therefore, he was a Kṣatriya monarch. But the following considerations not only demolish the claims that may be put forward to prove his Kṣatriya origin but also

lend support to the view that he was of a stock allied to that of the S'abaras and other wild tribes. praise bestowed on Samudra Gupta in the Allahabad Pillar inscription no mention whatsoever is made of the Ksatriya descent either of that ruler or of any of his This itself clearly demonstrates that the predecessors. people did not associate the early Guptas with the Ksatrivas. Turning to the Licchavi alliance of Candra Gupta, it may be said that the Licchavis, inspite of their having been eulogized in Buddhist literature as a Ksatriya clan, were in the opinion of Manu, Sūdras.46 The prominence given to the marriage of the Licchavi princess Kumāra Devī with Candra Gupta as narrated in the inscriptions and coins, may be interpreted to mean, not that Candra Gupta was a Ksatriya, but that his alliance transformed his house into a family that had great pretensions to celebrity.

Evidence from another quarter may be utilized in order to show that the early Guptas belonged to a family that was outside the pale of the orthodox varnās'ramas. If the identification of Canda Sena mentioned in the drama Kaumudimahotsava with Candra Gupta is accepted, then the statement made in that drama that he was a Kāraskara only confirms our surmise concerning the low origin of the Guptas. For the Kāraskaras, according to Baudhāyana, were a low community to whom Brāhmans ought not to go, and on return from whom they should perform purificatory ceremonies.47 The feeling of repugnance with which Baudhāyana condemns the Kāraskaras is almost the same sense of aloofness which the other canonists associated with the Sabaras, the Kirātas, and the rest. It must be confessed, however, that an identity either of origin or interests between the Kāraskaras and the

wild tribes under review cannot be made for the present. 48

A further proof of the non-Kṣatriya origin, if one may say so, of the Guptas is afforded in the epigraphs relating to the lineage of the Kośala rulers. These may be said to have been connected with the earlier Guptas. The Kośala rulers were lords of Magadha. The Rajim copper-plate inscription of the Mahās'iva Tīvararāja informs us that Tīvara Deva was the adopted son of Nanna Deva whose grand-father was Udayana of the Śavara lineage.⁴⁹

Udayana's date may be fixed in the following manner: His activities extended as far as the Tamil land. He is said to have been conquered, captured, and released again by the later Pallava king Nandivarman. The exact date of this Pallava monarch is uncertain. His general Udaya Candra is said to have slain Udayana, and to have seized his mirror-banner embellished with peacock's tail.50 Now the same Pallava general Udaya Candra is credited with a victory over the Nis'āda king Pṛthvīvyāghra, who was performing an as'vamedha sacrifice, and who had occupied a part of the territory of the Eastern Cālukva king Vişnuvardhana (A. D. 709-746). Prthvīvyāghra was driven out of the territory of Visnu-raja (i.e., Visnuvardhana). If this victory of Udaya Candra over Prthvīvyāghra can be credited, Udayana may be placed in the later half of the eighth century A. D., thereby justifying Fleet's conjectures.⁵¹

Before we proceed to deal with the Pallavas, we may note that the Kaivartas who, as has been just remarked above, are classed with the Dāsas in the writings of the Hindu canonists, played a significant part in the history of Bengal and Tuluva. Divya or

Divyoka was the powerful chief of the Cāsi-Kaivarta tribe (mod. Kervat caste) in northern Bengal. He rebelled against king Mahīpāla (II) in about A. D. 1080. Ballāl Sen, we may add, is said to have conquered northern Bengal with the help of the Kaivartas, and to have attempted at giving them a higher social status. In the history of eastern Bengal too the Kaivartas have figured. Tradition relates that in distant Tuļuva from among the Kaivartas Paras'urāma recruited Brāhmaṇs to colonize the land he had reclaimed from the sea.

The Pallavas themselves seem to have had some S'abara blood in their veins, at least according to tradition. We confess that the story of their origin is another riddle that awaits solution. But we may note that Mukunti Pallava, supposed by some to have been the founder of the Pallava line with his capital at Dharanikota, is said to have been a son of Mahādeva (S'iva) by a girl of the wild mountain tribe called the Censuaras (i.e., the Cen-S'abaras).53 These Censuaras were no doubt the same as the modern Ceñcu, Cenju, or Irala tribes found in the Goomsoor wilds, and westward of Ahobalam, S'rīs'ailam, as well as in the North and South Arcot districts. They are also called Villivans or bowmen. The males put on skins, and women, stitched leaves. They live on the rice of the bamboo. They are also found near Pulicat, twentyfour miles north of Madras along the sea, under the name of Centsus. 54

The Karṇāṭaka was a region which suffered most from the depradations of the wild tribes. Of these only the S'abaras concern us here. In the reign of the Gaṅga king Satyavākya Permmānaḍi, as is related in a record dated A. D. 972, the Brāhmaṇs of Tagare-nāḍ

went on a mission to Mārasingha Permmānadi. He was a great soldier, as his birudu Nolambakulāntaka, among others, clearly testifies. It is possible that he ruled over Gangavādi Nine-Thousand (?). The occasion was a grave one: - a S'abara leader called Naraga was slaying and laying waste with fire all the country round. And the Brāhmans approached Mārasingha Permmanadi with the petition:— "We have come to make known (our wants)." The great soldier replied: "I will in future (myself) rule over cows and Brāhmans, the war-army and the treasury, the footsoldiers and over Naraga: I grant your petition." Forthwith at his command, his soldiers slew Naraga together with his son-in-law, his childern, his family, subjects and slaves. But Mārasingha's soldiers died nobly in the struggle.⁵⁵

It is not surprising that the cruel perpetrations of the wild tribes about this time (the tenth century A. D.) should have been echoed in the writings of contemporary Kannada writers. Abhinava Pampā, who has already appeared in these pages, has many interesting details to give of the havoc which the S'abaras caused to the people. He calls the S'abara leader Tarangatama, king of the great Mleccha-deśa, with his capital at Ardhapura, north of Dhanadacala and south of Vijayārdhacala. His encampment (s'ibira) was Mayūramale. He plundered in every direction the territory of king Janaka including Kapōta, Kāmbōja, etc., and caused such great havoc that the king had at last to seek the aid of Rāma and Lakṣmaṇa. In the battle the S'abara leader was of course defeated.⁵⁶

There seems to have been a S'abara principality even in the times of the Vijayanagara monarch Sāļuva Nṛsimha. This we gather from Rājanātha Dindima's

Sāļuvābhyudayam which narrates that at the request of a people called Kuṭavacalendrataṭavāsi, Sāļūva Nṛsimha invaded Nāgamaṅgala. Leaving a garrison there, he proceeded to conquer Pṛthugiri. Here he was attacked by a S'abara leader. Dr. S. Krishnaswami Aiyangar incorrectly asserted that "At that place (i. e., Pṛthugiri, which Dr. Aiyangar identified with Penugoṇḍa) he was attacked by a Muhammadan army." Rājanātha, however, clearly says the following:-

Tatra (Pṛthugirim?) nṛpatiḥ-avalokitavān Cchabaraṃ tṛṇīkritajagat-trayam balāt.⁵⁷

From this it may safely be deduced that the Cchabara mentioned herein could only have been a S'abara leader. It is only in the next verse that Rajanatha speaks of the Turuşkas (Canto VI. v. 13). mination of the route taken by Sāļuva Nṛsimha as described in Canto VI of the same work will convince us that Dr. Aiyangar's identification is altogether untenable. Even supposing that we accept his identification of Nāgamangala with Nāgamandala, we cannot conceive of the Vijayanagara ruler fighting now at Nāgamangala and then being suddenly present at Penukonda-which is identified with Prthugiri-without mention being made of the regions lying between these two distant centres. Apart from this difficulty of the distance between Nāgamangala and Penukonda, there is another consideration which demolishes Dr. Aiyangar's guess concerning Penukonda. Penukonda was called Suragiri and not Prthugiri. 57a Further, the fact that Sāluva Nrsimha subjugated another Dasyu king-the ruler of Das'ārṇa,-as we shall mention later on, clearly proves that he was still in the hilly tracts of the Karnātaka.

It may legitimately be supposed that the S'abara

leader whom Sāļuva Nṛṣiṃha conquered was one of the Dasyu chiefs nearabouts Candragutti. We know that this region was held by the Dasyu Nāyakas from Kelaḍi Basava Rāja's S'ivatattvaratnākara. The only consideration that may be brought against this identification is that S'ivatattvaratnākara being a work of a later age, its author may not have been well informed of the exact nature of the chiefs who held the region round about Candragutti. As against this it may be argued that the Kelaḍi rulers, as will be described at once, were too well acquainted with the forest tribes to mistake them for other people, and that, therefore, Kelaḍi Basava Rāja's assertion concerning the Dasyu chiefs may be given credence.

In the previous pages we observed the activities of Venkatapa Nāvaka of Keladi and how he broke the power of the Kirātas. The tradition of vanquishing the wild tribes was handed over to him by his grandfather Sadās'iya Rāya Nāyaka, son of Caudapa Bhadrapa. The Keladi Nrpati Vijaya narrates that once Kṛṣṇa Deva Rāya heard that the S'abaras were causing great havoc in his Empire. He summoned Sadās'iva Rāya Nāyaka, ordered an efficient army to be got ready, and commanded him to destroy the enemies, having honoured him with the tāmbūlam (i.e., betel leaf and nut). In the encounter the royal troops were at first worsted and Then the Keladi chief meditating on the driven back. god of Srī-Rudres'vara, quickly mounted on a horse, pierced the ranks of the enemy, and defeated them.58

The S'abaras certainly were agents of turmoil. But they were not so barbarous as not to come within the civilizing influence around them. In the age of the epics itself, a certain amount of dignity seems to have been attached to the name S'abara. This may

perhaps explain why in the *Mahābhārata* Bhava assumes the form of Brahmā and Viṣṇu and the chief of the celestials. He also assumes the forms of men and women, of Pretas and Pis'ācas, of Kirātas and S'abaras, and of aquetic animals.⁵⁹

In the Pampā Rāmāyaṇa we have Rudra disguising himself as a S'abara, and accompanied by Pārvatī, Guha, and others, pursuing Mūkadānava, who had assumed the form of a boar. The same work relates in an upakathe of Vasubhüti being born as a S'abara under the name of Kālajaṅgha in the S'ārdūlasya forest. A S'abara messenger is introduced in the same work as hurrying with a report that a large elephant had broken loose in the royal garden of king Janaka.

The turbulent S'abara leader who caused great havoc to the Brāhmans of Tagare-nāḍ, as reported in an epigraph dated A. D. 972 already cited, was a S'abara minister (Śabara Pradhāna), as his birudu signifies. His other titles were Narakāsura and A most formidable opponent equal to Kāla and Śiśupāla.⁶³

The history of the agrahāra Bellār alias Viṣṇuvardhana-caturvedimaṅgalam, contains some pleasant notices of S'abaras who came within the pale of civilization. The epigraph which gives us this information relates that several S'rīvaiṣṇava scholars in that agrahāra village had a large number of disciples both among Brāhmaṇs and non-Brāhmaṇs. A few of their descendants, we may observe by the way, still live in that village. One of the leaders of this village was Prativādi-bhayankaram (A Terror to hostile Disputants) Aṇṇa, a disciple of Maṇavālamahāmuni, the great S'rīvaiṣṇava teacher and author who flourished in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries. One of the ancestors of the villagers then living, named Vellār-

rappa or Annangarācārya, came from Kāñci and settled in that village some 120 years ago. On hearing that some of his S'abara disciples were addicted to beef, he became disgusted and made up his mind to leave the place for Melkote. Thereupon the S'abaras swore on his foot-prints that they would give up their wild habits, and entreated him to remain. The foot-prints are still pointed out on a rock on the Cikka-Urukala Guḍḍa to the west under the name Gurugaļa-pāda (the Guru's feet).64

It is not improbable that the S'abaras, like the other mountain tribes, have ever been proficient in occult arts. To the highly cultured Jaina mind, their occult art was a wrong science. We gather this from the Jaina Sūtras which, while explaining the subject of man's success by occult sciences, declare that some men, differing in intellect, will, character, opinions, taste, undertakings, and places, study various evil sciences, as for example, the art of casting people asleep, of opening doors, of Cāṇḍalas, S'abaras, Dravidas, Kalingas, etc. These and similar sciences are practised by some misguided people for the sake of food, drink, clothes, a bed, and various objects of pleasure.⁶⁵

CHAPTER IV

THE BEDARS

Summary:—Location—Modern traces—Description—The Bedars as disintegrating factors from the seventh to the end of the eighteenth centuries A. D.—The Bedars as progressive people: in the Hindu armies, as kingdom builders, and as contributors to Hindu thought and culture.

Of greater prominence than either the S'abaras or the Kirātas, at least so far as the history of southern and western India is concerned, were the Bedars. These wild tribes, however, were not confined only to the western and southern parts of India. In their more northern settlements they were known by the name of Ramosi, whom they resembled in many particulars.1 They are also met with in the traditions of the Sutlej valley. Once in twelve years a great fair is held in Outer Sarāj Nirmand in the Nūrpur valley on the Sutlei, in the temple of Devi Amba (Ambakkā? Ambikā?). Tradition relates that Paras'urāma, in expiation for the sin of having killed his mother, gave lands to the Brāhmans of Nirmand, who in return agreed to spend one-tenth of the produce of this Bhunda fair. As the Beda caste was appointed to ride down the rope, the fair was called Bhunda, though some say that Bhunda is a corruption of bhandara or temple treasury.2

In the history of the southern and western provinces of India the term Beda, or Bedar, or Vedar has

meant everything low and vile. The Bedars were considered as outcastes, or Cāṇḍālas, living by chase. Tradition in Mysore says that they came originally from Telingāna (the Telugu country³), although history has a different opinion to give on this question.

The whole of the Karnataka, the Tondaimandalam, Wynād (the ancient Bayalnād, in the Malabar district), and the North and South Arcot districts may be said to be the regions which suffered most from the Bedar incursions. We shall relate presently what they did in the Karnātaka in regard to these wild tribes. We shall first turn our attention to their activities in the Tamil and Telugu lands. Our source of information for this part of the question is tradition. After the deluge, so runs the Tamil tradition of the Tondaimandalam, the country was a vast forest, inhabited by wild beasts and savage men. These were They lived in huts and were not at all the Vedars. civilized. They were conquered by the Kurumbars who came from the Karnataka.4

Similar tradition peoples the Wynād tracts with the wild and naked savages called the Vedars in early times after the flood. In later ages the chieftains of the locality, perceiving them to be free from guile, took them into their service. The Vedars ultimately built for themselves a fort in the Wynād country and ruled over a small tract till the Malayalam kings absorbed them in the Kerala kingdoms.⁵

They also occupied, tradition narrates, the North and South Arcot districts somewhere in the second century of the Christian era. Evidently Arcot, as its name signifies, was an ideal habitat for the Bedars (Āru-kādu = Six Forests).

Here in Arcot the Vedars were conquered by the

Kurumbars. Tradition, therefore, connects the Vedars with the Kurumbars, and especially with Adondai Cakravarti, son of Kulottunga Cola Deva.7 Whether the Kurumbars were a more cultured race than the Bedars, or whether they belonged to the same stock as the latter, cannot be made out. The Tondaimandalam tradition referred to above, informs us that the Kurumbars had a certain kind of religion but were murderers, and that they derived their name from their cruelty.8 We have explained elsewhere in detail the role these Kurumbars played together with the barbers and the Vanniyars and other tribes in the history of the Empire of Vijayanagara in the sixteenth century.9 If we accept the tradition that the Kurumbars drove the Bedars (and occupied the centres of the latter), then, we may have some idea of the settlements of the two tribes in the early ages of Tamil and Karnātaka history.

Some of their strongholds in the North Arcot district were Melpāḍu, sixteen miles south-west of Chittoor; the hamlet of Kōṭanattam; Paḍaveḍu, ten miles north of Polur and eighteen miles south of Vellore; Nārāyaṇavanam, three miles east of Puttoor railway station; Tiruvadis'ūlam to the east of Chingleput; and Mahendravāḍi, ten miles east by north of Wālājapet.¹⁰

The Kurumbars of the Palmaner plateau are reckoned to be the lineal descendants of the old Dravidian lords of the soil. Adondai Cakravarti mentioned above, is said to have subverted their chief citadel of Puralūr (mod. Poral alias Mādhavaram, near the Red Hills in the vicinity of Madras); and with it the whole territory of the Kurumbars comprising twenty-four nāds (or districts), among which

those described above are to be included, passed into the hands of the Colā ruler. These Cola annexations are placed in the eleventh century of the Christian era.¹²

Other places which the wild tribes had made their home were the $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$ namalai (Elephant Hills) of the $D\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ r $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ puram district and the Dindigul Hills. The tribes inhabiting these latter mountains are called the Kattata $j\bar{a}ti$ and the Kongala $j\bar{a}ti$. They live near Kollan-Koṭai and Kannapaṭṭi.

Whether the robber and criminal classes called still the Kallars are to be included among the general denomination of the wild tribes is a point which cannot be satisfactorily solved for the present. It is possible that the socially excommunicated or politically ostracized sections of the cultured classes may have formed these robber clans and that they may have taken to the precarious livelihood of highwaymen. It may as well be that they formed a community by themselves.¹⁵

The Telugu land, as the reader must have gathered from the remarks made in connection with the S'abara origin of the Pallavas, likewise contained settlements of the wild tribes, some of whom, as their name signifies, seem to have been akin to the S'abaras. These were the Cencuvandlu, Coyavandlu, Yānādulu, and the Iraluvandlu. The traditional account of the Yānādu jāti of the S'rīharikoṭṭa tracts relates that about sixty families of their people colonized S'riharikoṭṭa under the leadership of Rāghava.

These wild tribes were, as they are, reputed to be skilful in the use of black art, the Yanadulu being also credited with a certain amount of the knowledge of medicine. An instance of the magical

skill of the Coya people may be given. It is said to have taken place in the S'aka year 1635 (A.D. 1713-14) in the woods to the north of Palavamcheha-bhadrācalam. A Brāhman passing through the wilds of Gooty where the Coya tribes lived, saw a person cooking a snake for food. To his astonishment he was told that the snakes were mere worms; that if he wanted to see a serpant, he would be shown one; and that they (the Coyas) themselves feared no serpants because of the charms which they had received from Ambikes' varar, a rsi who had told them how to get rid of a large snake. As the Brāhman desired to see it, he was conducted to the depths of the wilds by a child which carried a winnowing fan and a bundle of straw. On reaching a hole the child set fire to the straw and commenced winnowing the smoke. From out of the bright flames there emerged a monstrous serpant with seven heads. The Brāhmana was struck dumb with fear. He was conducted back, however, by the child and dismissed with gifts in the shape of fruits.16

The traditions of S'ringavaram near Vizagapatam ascribe the colonization of the wilderness round that locality to one Triśūlabhūpati. Afterwards three classes of wild tribes named S'avarulu (Śabaras), Bhagadulū (Beḍars?) and Gotamulu chose for themselves a chief called Nīlakaṇṭha, who ruled over all the tribes.¹⁷

Five septs of wild tribes are said to inhabit the forests of the Jeypore Agency. These are the Miakarājas, the Gailutus, the Sagidivandalu, the Sondivandalu, and the Ayaraculu.¹⁸

The Yānādu tribe we have mentioned above, are said to be related to another tribe who have become

famous in Buddhist literature. These are the Mallas. The Malla tribe derive their name from living on hills. The Khonds (Gonds?) call themselves Mallaru (hill people). There were two divisions among the Mallas—the northern Mallas and the southern Mallas. The northern Mallas were evidently the Monedes of Pliny, who with the Suari (S'abaras) occupied the inland country to the south of Palibothra (Pāṭaliputra). Cunningham opined that this was exactly the position of the country of the Mundas and the Suars; and that they must have been the same as the Monedes and the Suari of Pliny. In another passage Pliny mentions the Mandei and the Malli as occupying the country between the Calingae and the Ganges.

In the region inhabited by the Malli there was a mountain named Mallus which Cunningham identified with the mount Maleus of the Mondes and the Suarithe celebrated Mandār hill, to the south of Bhāgalpur, which is fabled to have been used by the gods and demons at the churning of the ocean. The Mandei were thought by Cunningham to be the same as the inhabitants of the Mahānadī which is the Manada of Ptolemy. The Malli or Malei, therefore, were no other than the same people as Ptolemy's Mandalae, who occupied the right bank of the Ganges to the south of Palibothra. It may as well be that they were the people of the Rājmahal Hills who are still called Maler.²⁰

The Mahābhārata informs us that Bhīma vanquished the southern Mallas and the people of the Bhagavanta mountain. The same epic locates them in the north near the northern Kos'alas. In another connection they are placed after the Yakrillomanas and before the Sudellas. According to the Mahāvamso

the chief town of the Mallas was Kus'īnara in modern Nepal.²⁴

In the well known drama Mālavikāgnimitra we have a sketch of the Bedars. Parivrājakā relates that at the end of a day's journey, the merchants being exhausted with the toil of the march encamped in a forest. On the king asking her what had happened next, she continues thus:—Then there appeared, striking terror by its first onset, a host of yelling brigands (the Bedars) whose breasts were crossed by the quiver strap, wearing as a plume peacock's tails that hung down to their ears, bow in hand.²⁵

The Kannada poet Bhīma thus describes the Bedars in the fourteenth century:—

Like darkness personified, like moving blue mountains, like hills of lamp-black that had (the advantage of) hands and feet, like walls of iron, like herds of elephants that could speak, and like black (Kṛṣṇa) serpents that had their hoods shrunk, the Bedars came in groups to that place:—

Odalugoṇḍa tamandha-paṭalavo naḍeva-nilādrigaļo kai-kā ! I-paḍeda kajjala-girigaļo karbbonna-bhittigaļo !! nuḍigalita mātaṅga saṅghavo heḍey-uḍugida sita-ahigaļo ena- ! I-eḍe-viḍade saṅdaṇisi Beḍaru bandar-ā-eḍege. !! ²⁶

Another Kannada poet who has described the Bedara women is Virūpakṣa (circa A. D. 1519):—

The group of Bedara ($vy\bar{a}dha$) women moved on with their teeth sparkling like the flashes of a side glance, and surpassing the redness of their feet, and there bodies darker than their locks of hair:—

Kadeganna kāntige-orageyāda pal-kadir-adi kempa mīridadhara kānti l

mudi-kappa kīļ-māduva pogarinda nadedudu Biyada peņgaļa taņda ll 27

No section of the wild tribes gave such infinite trouble to the Hindu kingdoms as the Bedars. Somewhere in the early seventh century A. D., we hear of the growing menace that was to be the cause of commotion in the Karnātaka kingdoms for centuries to come. It was just this time when, as related above. the Kirātas and tribes akin to them had moved down to the Vindhyan region along the spurs of the Aravali Hills. An inscription dated only in the Cālukya-Vikrama era relates the death of Andige Mādava in a fight with the Bedars, while they were ascending a The Gaddemane stone inscription, ascribed to about the seventh century A.D., relates that when Śilāditya ascended the throne, Pettaņi Satyanka pierced through the thick of the battle with the brave Bedara Rāya and died. This stone record was found in the Sagara hobli.29 From this we may surmise that the Bedars had already succeeded in securing a firm footing in the mountainous parts of the Karnātaka by the seventh century A. D.

Their depradations increased during the times of the Rāṣṭrakūṭas. In the reign of the Rāṣṭrakūṭa king Kannara Deva, as is related in a record dated A. D. 954, Banavāse Twelve Thousand was under Rasaṇṇa, son of Kalivittarasa, while Kaṅga Liṇḍiga held the nāḷ-gāvuṇḍ-ship of the same district. Just then the Beḍar robbers carried off the sacred cows; and the gāvuṇḍa (perhaps the ūr-gāvuṇḍa, who is not mentioned in the inscription) attacked them. But this brave man, who had the birudu of Ornament of the Kacchavikula, died in the fight.³⁰

The name of the locality to which the sacred cows belonged is not given in the epigraph. But from the following we gather that it was $K\overline{u}dali$, an agra-

hāra village and a centre of Brāhmaṇa activity. The vīragal (hero memorial stone) which gives us these details, is dated A. D. 955. The ruler was the same Rāṣṭrakūṭa king Kannara Deva III. But over Banavāse-nāḍu there was placed Javanaiśa, and the nāḷ-gāvuṇḍship was entrusted to Biṭṭiga, while the ūr-gāvuṇḍship was under Seśigala Būva. The Beḍars, who had attacked Kūḍali in the previous year, and evidently got off free, now raided the same Kūḍali agrahāra village and carried off the cows. Seśigala Būva recovered the cows at the Maseyara boundary but died in the struggle. His son commemorated this brave deed of his father in the above vīragal.31

For ten years the Bedars left Kūḍali to itself. But in A. D. 965, as is related in the inscription dated in that year of the reign of the same Rāṣṭrakūṭa monarch, when Javanaiśa and Biṭṭiga continued respectively in their nāḍ and ūr gāvuṇḍa offices, the Beḍars raided again Kūḍali and lifted the cows. But some one – obviously the ūr-gāvuṇḍa whose name is defaced in the record-, "fought like Arjuna", recovered the cows but died in the fight in such a manner as to receive a vīragal at the hands of his son. 32

In A. D. 977 the Bedars attacked a town (in the Nāgamangala tāluka?), the name of which is incompletely given in the inscription, and carried off the women. A brave citizen named Gavare S'etti, "fearing not the Bedar forces," fell upon them but died in the struggle.³³

The government of the Western Cālukyas was faced with the same danger which had confronted the Rāṣṭrakūṭas. From mere cattle-lifters the Bedars had gradually assumed the proportions of hill-chieftains (maleparu), and even dared to ally themselves with the avowed enemies of the Western Cālukyas. They

continued to harass the Western Cālukya territories from about A. D. 1033 till A. D. 1092. The Western Cālukya monarch Jayasimha III, also known as Jagadekamalla I, had ascended the throne in about A. D. 1018-9. His rule lasted till about A. D. 1042.³⁴

There were three raids within this brief period. In about A. D. 1033 occurred a series of insurrections and raids in the Western Cālukva state. Alavva had been placed in that year over the Banavase Twelve Thousand. But the credit of putting down the enemies belongs to his gallant son Javasingha Deva. The inscription which gives us these and other details, describes graphically his brave deeds thus:- When he took the field the Malevar (i. e., the Hill Chieftains) shrivelled up, the Manneyar trembled, the Samantas' hearts failed, the Mandalikas were alarmed, the Bedars cried, and the Kurumbars were scared. By the might of his single arm he raised up the earth, and laying four fingers lightly on the back of his people, he gained the world by his valour-Simhabhupati! No wonder this hero received the title of Munn-irivan (Slayer in front of the van) because of his valour. 35

King Jayasimha III had two brothers in his service whose gallant deeds have come down to history. One of them was the *Mahāmaṇḍales'vara* Bijjalabhūpa (Bijjarasa). Two inscriptions describe his bravery thus in A. D. 1042:— This warrior in half a moment captured Toragale, attacked and seized Bhaṭṭa, without fear plucked up the Beyḍars (i. e., the Beḍars) by the root, and gained the name that he alone was Torahara Māri (neṛad iral aṭṭi muṭṭi piḍid ōrade Byeḍara bēran eyide kilt.)³⁶

His younger brother Gonarasa emulated him in the matter of freeing the State from the Bedars. In the same year (A. D. 1042) Gonarasa drove out the Maleyavar of the Seven Male. 37

Local officers with the closest co-operation of the authorities dealt with the Bedars during the times of the Western Cālukyas. This explains why the names of all the officials including that of the monarch himself, are given along with that of the hero-himself a local officer- in the following epigraph describing the action taken against the wild tribes. It was in the year A.D. 1058 when the king was the Western Calukya Someśvara I, also called Trailokyamalla and Ahavamalla (A. D. 1042-1068), that there occurred a daring Bedar raid. The Cālukya Ganga Permmānadi Vikramāditya, the future glorious Vikramāditya VI,38 was the viceroy over the Gangavādi Ninety-Six Thousand, ruling from his capital Balligave; the Perggade Narayana-ayya, the chief of the Karanams, was enjoying (anubhavisuttam ire) the Banavase Twelve Thousand; Arasimayya of the Jiddulige Seventy was the nal-gavunda; and the ūr-gāvundship had been entrusted to Mācaya, son of Goggi S'etti of Adigatte.

As the record dated above narrates, "Hearing the outcry that a force of Bedars had penetrated into Mudyangeri, seized the cows, and dishonoured (lit. unloosened the waists of) women, - not disregarding it, Māci Gāvuṇḍa attacked and fell upon them, and thinking that the meaning of the verse 'The victor gains spoils, the slain too, the celestial nymph: what fear, then, of death in war to him who for a moment seeks the close encounter?'-applied it to his own fate, he carried on the fight to the end, taunted the manliness of the opposing force, recovered the cows in Belagavatti, and killing many, gained the world of gods as a mighty champion". The relatives he left

behind received the usual honours of war relief in the shape of a nettaru-godige.³⁹

Three years later in S'aka 983 (A. D. 1061-2) the Bedars again raided one of the Western Cālukya towns. Jakkayya of the family of Barmma-deva, 40 in his attempt to rescue the cattle carried off by the Bedars, lost his life.41

Even in the reign of the celebrated Vikramāditya VI, also known as Vikramānka Tribhuvanamalla II, who reigned from A. D. 1073 till A. D. 1126-7,⁴² the Bedars raised their heads again. Sometimes they raided as mere cattle-lifters, and once they joined hands with the enemies of the Western Cālukyas, the Raṭṭas. It was in A. D. 1092-3 that the hill chiefs laid siege to Kiriyamāguṇḍa, which was in the service of the god Tripurāntaka, captured the cows (Kiriya-māguṇḍiya Maleparu mutti turuvan koṇḍu), unloosened the waists of women and departed. Tailama, son of Kāci S'eṭṭi, was able to recover the cows although he died of the effects of dagger thrusts.⁴³

The Bedars were invited by the Rattas to plunder the dominions of the Western Cālukyas. In A. D. 1102 the armies of five Bedar kings led by Vibharasa advanced on the Western Cālukya territory, and besieged Hāruvanahalli and Kedāra. The king's soldiers, so we are told in the record dated above, without taking food chastised a thousand braves and took their lives. If the rank and file of the Western Cālukya army showed such exemplary bravery, their leaders also could boast of a similar record. Kaleya Nāyaka, who we may surmise was probably the ūr-gāvanḍa of the locality, had been given the lead. But he turned back fatigued. His son-in-law Bijjala Nāyaka at once followed him, "and pleasing him, begged for the post of

danger, and fighting with the five Bedar kings," beat them, although he died gloriously in the battle.44

The next year (A. D. 1103) witnessed another Bedar incursion. This time Kedāra was besieged by the Bedar army under Vadera Ēcarasa. The Bedar chieftain had ventured to attack even the camp ($b\bar{\imath}du$) (of the viceroy the Mahāmaṇḍaleśvara Permmāṇadi Deva?). Just then Bībaya Nāyaka, son-in-law of Mallayya Kariyaka Nāyaka, came to the rescue of the town. He was a champion beloved by the army, as the record narrates. Leaving Hāruvanahaḷḷi (behind?), he surrounded the Bedar army at Kedāra, and fighting with the Bedar Aybarasa, evidently the general of the Bedar king Vadera Ēcarasa, slew him but lost his own life in the battle. 45

In the above instances we have a significant factthe Bedar chieftains who, in the earlier years had been only cattle-lifters, had now one or two leaders who had risen to the position of kings, as the names Vibharasa, Vadera (i. e., probably Odeyar) Ecarasa, and Aybarasa clearly denote.

None but the Bedars could have been responsible for the cattle raid in the Brāhman village of Nelavatti in A. D. 1125. The inscription which gives us the following details, does not, it must be confessed, expressly mention the Bedars; but from the facts we have cited above, it can easily be inferred that Dese of Helagavatti, who attacked the cows of Nelavatti, could only have been a Bedar chieftain. The agrahāra of Nelavatti, however, was not without its heroes. The tailor Padma's son Cikka recovered the cows but died fighting against the cattle robbers. 46

The Jiddulige Seventy province was the next objective of the Bedars. The Mahāmandalesvara

Ekkalarasa Deva was ruling in that province in A. D. 1130. Sindhi Devarasa, evidently a Bedar king, entered āyile in the Jiddulige Seventy and carried off the cows. But Billoja attacked the invaders, recovered the cows, and died in the struggle. Māyile suffered further hardships in about A. D. 1115 when Kālarasa, another Bedar chieftain, carried off the cows. But once again the hero who recovered the cows, Utta Gāvuṇḍa, died fighting. 48

That the Western Cālukyas did not succeed in uprooting the Bedar evil is clear from the events that took place not only in A. D. 1156 under the Western Cālukya ruler Tailapa, also called Trailokyamalla, who ruled from A. D. 1150 till A. D. 1182, but also from the trend of events under the Kalacuriyas. In A. D. 1156 Madasāle Kāļarasa raided Korakoda, a village of the immemorial agrahāra Kuppagade. But Deva Gāvuņda and Malla Gāvuņda shone by their courage in recovering the cows.⁴⁹

One fact stands out prominently in the history of the Bedar raids given above: although occasionally we hear of the royal troops coming to the rescue of the citizens, yet the duty of defending the honour of women and of recovering the cows was primarily the work of the Gāvuṇḍas of the locality. The noble tradition of entrusting the work of protecting the home and the hearth of the people into the hands of the Vais ya and the S'ūdra classes was transmitted to the later ages as one of the most abiding treasures of early Karṇāṭaka culture and history.

When we come to the Kalacuriya times, we find the same high sense of honour and gallantry in the minds of the non-warrior classes. In A. D. 1160 Kallarasa laid siege to the well known agrahāra of Jambūr. It

was in the reign of the Kalacuriya king Bijjala Deva (A. D. 1156- A. D. 1167). The hero who killed many of the enemy, although he died in the attempt, was the fisherman Bitteya. The failure of the Kalacuriya Government to deal satisfactorily with this Bedar maraudar Kālarasa is seen in A. D. 1165 when he united with Das'aratha Daṇḍanātha, and "according to the custom of the class", "by means of plundering", carried of the cows of Hosavalli. Das'aratha Daṇḍanātha, inspite of his high-sounding title, was evidently a Beḍar. Against the two marched "the good warrior Kāliga" who, performing various deeds of valour (described in the epigraph), died in the fight. Kāliga could only have been a Gāvuṇḍa.

But Karṇāṭaka history also contains instances of a few Gāvuṇḍas who committed cattle raids. Among such was Singada Manca Gauḍa of Biṭṭeyūr, who penetrated into the town of Jambūr, dishonoured the women, and carried off the cows, as is narrated in a record of A. D. 1175 of the times of the Kalacuriya king Rāya Murāri Soyi Deva. Whether Singada Manca Gauḍa was himself a Beḍar or had sought the aid of the Beḍars in perpetrating this cowardly deed, we cannot make out. But the fisherman Bāceya fought against him, killed many although he lost his life thereby. 52

The other raid in A. D. 1177 in the reign of the same monarch, was undoubtedly the work of a Bedar chieftain, although like Singada Manca Gauda, he went under an apparently honoured title. In that year Vikramānka was the governor over Banavāse-nād. The wretched Gāvuṇḍasvāmi, a Beḍar, no doubt, attacked the famous Kuppaṭṭūr agrahāra, made prisoners many Brāhmaṇs, besieged the village in three circles, plun-

dered it and unloosened the waists of women. But the brave Ketaya Nāyaka recovered the women and the live stock, fought like Māri but died in the encounter.⁵³

Although they continued to be cattle-lifters for a long time to come, as we shall narrate presently, yet the Bedars showed signs of a change that was gradually coming over them. This was in the form of a firm hold which they acquired not only in the hilly regions of the Karnātaka but also of the Tamil land as well. The history of their activities in the Tamil country brings us to the elucidation of another name by which they were known-that of Bhills or Villavars. According to Hindu authors, no distinction was made between a Bhilla, a S'ābara, and a Kirāta. Thus in the Das'akumāracarita we find them mentioned as identical tribes.⁵⁴ This confusion between a Bhilla and a S'abara is not altogether unintelligible. The Koragars of South Kanara are Bhills although, as we have seen above, one particular tribe among them called Soppu Koragars belongs to the S'abara group. These Bhills are not confined to the southern and western parts of the country. They are met with also in Bengal.⁵⁵

But we are concerned with their activities on the western and southern coasts. The Villavars of Tamil history were essentially bowmen and not, as Rice remarked, Ceras; and as such belonged to the large group of wild tribes who form the subject of this treatise. The greatness of Rājarāja Deva Jayangoṇḍa Coļa is sung in A. D. 1046 thus:—That before him the guilty Villavar ailing with bowel complaint, fled from his dominions and hid himself in the jungles. In A. D. 1080 it is said that Kulottunga Coļa Deva "caused the wheel of his authority to roll over all regions, so that the Villavars lost their posi-

tion ⁵⁹ The same phrase is repeated in an inscription dated A. D. 1089 of the same monarch. ⁶⁰ In the records ranging from A. D. 1095 till A. D. 1099 Kulottunga Cola Deva is said to have destroyed the Villavars. ⁶¹ The fact of the subjugation of the Villavars by that monarch is further borne out in epigraphs dated A. D. 1110 and 1118 which sing his praise and say that when he caused the wheel of his authority to roll over all regions, the Villavars became disconcerted. ⁶²

That Rice's contention that the Villavars were Ceras is unintelligible, inspite of their having a bow on their standard, is seen when we find tribes belonging to the Villavar stock inhabiting other parts of the country under the name of Billavars or Bhills or Bheels. The Billavars inhabit South Kanara and are now a part of the progressive section of the people Their ancient vocation was that of tapping toddy from the cocoanut and palm trees. They are the same as the Kumāra Paikas (Junior Foot) of Honnāvūru and the Hale Paikas (Old Foot) of the Karṇāṭaka regions. But the traditions of either South or North Kanara have nothing of importance to say concerning their interference in contemporary politics.

We, therefore, have to go to the history of Gujarat and Rajputana to glean something about their contribution to the annals of the land. One of the most notorious names in early Gujarat history is that Graha Ripoo (Gṛha Ripu?), who lived at Vāmanasthali under the shadow of Mount Gīrnār but near the coast. He despised Brahmans and plundered the people passing along the road. He was called the Barbarian, One who hunted upon Gīrnār, ate the flesh of cows, drank spirituous liquor, and passed and repassed the ocean. These qualifications are enough to stamp him as a Mleccha

chieftain. That he was a Bhill is suggested by the names of his allies and tributary chieftains among whom were a great many "Bheels" 65

Another famous name in Gujarat history is that of Vana Rāja. This Cāwura chieftain, as his name signifies, was perhaps of Bhilla stock. A little digression into his antecedents will make the point clear. It is said that Samant Singh, one of the slaves of Raja Phūr (Porus)- Deva Rāja of Kanouj-having committed some fault, was put to death by the king. At the same time his house was plundered, and his wife, then pregnant, fled towards Gujarat. Here in the new country she bore a son who was discovered in the wilderness of Gujarat by Rāja S'īl Deva, a local ruler, who took the child to Pālanpūr and reared him up. This child arriving at man's age, took to evil company, and finally turned out into a highwayman. Some time later, he seized the royal treasure going from Gujarat to Kanouj and took shelter in the mountain fastnesses in order to escape the vengeance of the king of Kanouj. But, so the story runs, a marketman named Campa weaned him from his evil propensities. He then assumed the name of Banraj or Bansraj (Vana Rāja), founded the famous city of Patan which continued to be the roval residence of the Gujarat rulers till the transference of the government to Ahmedābād. 66

Since we cannot conceive of a local ruler suddenly finding a child in the wilderness, and since it is impossible that a child should have continually taken to evil ways if it had not the Bhilla or Kirāta blood in its veins, we have to dispense with the above account which makes Vana Rāja the son of a Rajput slave as the attempt of chroniclers to give a classical colouring to persons that had really no title to honour except

that of inherent valour. We have seen elsewhere how rulers with no claims whatsoever for Kṣatriyahood have been classed among Kṣatriyas. Vana Rāja belongs to this group of rulers.

The unreliability of the story of his Rajput parentage is apparent not only from what we have said above but also from the following considerations: when Vana Raja had resolved upon founding the city of Patan, he went in search of a site suited for the amusement of the chase. He met a shepherd who told him where a suitable site could be found. The shepherd whose name was Anhil, stipulated that the city should be named after him, saying at the same time that he had there seen a hare beat a dog by her exertion and agility. Here was laid the foundation of Anhilwarah (Anhilwad) which was rechristened Nahrwālah, and which finally came to be known as Patan (Skt. Pattana). The date of the foundation of Patan, we may note by the way, is assigned by some to A. D. 747, and by others to A. D. 817.67

Now, the story of the hare and the dog is a legend that is common to many kingdoms in the Deccan and the south, and upon which no reliance whatsoever can be placed. To give only two examples, we are told in the Tazkirāt-ul-Mulūk that the Bāhmani Sultan Ahmad Shāh (A. D. 1422- A. D. 1435) made Bidar his capital because he was likewise guided by the behaviour of a hare. 68 The origin of the celebrated city of Vijayanagara is also traced to a similar incident; and, as we said in connection with the history of that city, the legend of the hare and the dog is worthless for any historical purpose. 69 We see, therefore, how untrustworthy is the account which masquerades the Bhilla Vana Rāja under the garb of a Rajput.

The Rās Māla which gives us the above account of Vana Rāja, also contains some interesting facts concerning the Bhills. It is said of the well known Siddh Rāja that, once while returning from Mālwā, he was obstructed by a force of the Bhills "against whom none could fight." It was only the timely arrival of his minister Sāmtoo with an army from Gujarat that saved the king from a precarious predicament. 70

The Bhills occupied Mewār too. And the history of Rajputana relates that Bappa, as an early Gohila chief was familiarly called, established his power after overthrowing the Bhills. 71

The Bhills spread themselves over Khāṇḍeṣ as well. 72

We return to the history of the south and the west. In A. D. 1004 occurred an event of the first magnitude in the annals of the Karṇāṭaka kingdoms. In that year Rājendra Coļa, son of the great Rājarāja and commander of the Coļa army, captured Talakāḍ, the Gaṅga capital, and brought the ancient Gaṅga power to an end. The aseries of masterly strokes, the Coḷas contemplated the conquest of the entire Karṇāṭaka; and as first steps to their magnificent scheme, fixed the outposts of the Coḷa influence from Arkalgūḍ in the west, through Seringapatam, north by Nelamaṅgalam as far as Niḍugal, to Heñjūru in the extreme south, in such a manner as to threaten the extinction of Karnāṭaka as an ancient historical and cultural unit.

It was at this juncture that the wild tribes- now under the more dignified designation of Malepas, unconsciously came to the rescue of the country. We have seen how from the seventh century onwards, the Bedars continued to harass the citizens of the agrahāras; and how one or two names among their chiefs suggested the assumption of some sort of regal power by them. One of the earliest references to their principality is to be found in a record dated A. D. 887 which speaks of the Male Thousand (and its people) being the guardians of a grant of the Ganga king Satyavākya Kongunivarma.⁷⁵

Ninety years later (in A. D. 977) we come across four chiefs of the Malepas in a record of that date of the times of king Rakkasa Ganga. They are styled the nālvar Malepar and are included among the guardians of the royal grant. That the Malepa chiefs, and simultaneously with them a family which also belonged essentially to the Malepa stock, offered the Colā general stout resistance is proved by the following inscription relating to him. It is dated A. D. 1006-7 and it narrates that Aprameya, the lord of Kottamaṇḍala, and the general of Rājarāja Deva, was the "Destruction to the race of the hill chiefs (Malepa-kuļa-kūļam.)"

But in the same year that general encountered the Hoysala army under Nāgaṇḍā, the minister of Poysala (Hoysala), the founder of the Hoysala line, and won a victory over it and other Hoysala generals at Kalavūr (mod. Kaleyūr near Mālingi, opposite to Talakāḍ on the other side of the river.) But the Coļa career of conquest in the Karnāṭaka was not destined to live long.

That General Aprameya's claim to have destroyed the race of hill chiefs was by no means entirely admissible is proved by the following epigraphs which describe the activities of the Malepas ranging over a century. A record dated A. D. 1068 praises thus the greatness of General Lakṣmaṇa under the western Cālukya king Bhuvanaikamalla Someśvara:— "Assaulting the Koṅkaṇa, he is treading it down like his stirrup; driving back the Seven Kombu, he pursues and catches them; the Seven Male he makes it to bend down, breaks and uproots them; thus saying, the hill chiefs, without showing (or lifting) their heads, agree to what king Rāyagaṇḍa Gopāla says before he speaks—how great is he!" Eeneral Lakṣmaṇa, or as he was also called Rāyagaṇḍa Gopāla, Governor over Banavāse, had, therefore, broken the power of the hill chiefs of Seven Male- a region which we are unable to identify before earning the above praise.

The middle classes in the Karnāṭaka in the eleventh century maintained the traditions prevalent in the tenth century of opposing cattle-lifters who swept over the plains from the hills. We may recall here the heroic deeds of Tailama, son of Kāci S'eṭṭi, in A. D. 1092 mentioned above.

The title assumed by General Govinda, under the Pāṇḍya ruler Tribhuvanamalla Kāma Deva, as recorded in an inscription of A.D. 1113, viz, that of gambler of the Malepas ($Malepara\ j\bar{u}ju$), proves that the hill chiefs were still active in the first quarter of the twelth century.

Our surmise is further substantiated by a record dated A. D. 1129 of the reign of the Western Cālukya king Somes'vara III (A. D. 1126-A. D. 1138) which sings the praise of the monarch thus:—"As soon as he began to walk, the hostile chiefs began to walk away into the forest through fear; as soon as he began to talk, their talk was confined to the wild men of the jungle (nade-galal odarisal ātam nade-galal odarisidar ahitar adavige bhayadim nudigalal odarisi begam nudigalal odarisidar ari nripar vana-cararōl). More

substantial proof of the existence of the Malepas in the twelfth century is afforded by the epigraphs of the Hoysala kings which we shall presently enumerate.

The Hoysalas blocked the Tamilian advance in the Karņāṭaka. These new rulers were themselves of the Malepa stock. Fleet's assertion that they "belonged to the lineage of Yadu, "81 is hardly convincing. On the other hand, the statement of Rice that they were of indigenous origin is correct. Their birth place was Sosevūru, Sanskritized into S'as'ākapura. identified it with Angadi on the Western Ghats, in the Mūdigere tāluka. 82 The title which they bore-that of Maleparol Gandan (Champion over Hill Chiefs)appears not only among their birudus in their numerous inscriptions but was also their sign-manual, and it is also seen in their coins. This itself proves, as Rice remarked, that they were a family of hill chiefs to start with on the Western Ghats; and that the conquest of the most prominent hill chiefs-whom unfortunately we cannot identify for the present-was a noticeable feature in their progress as sovereigns over the Karnātaka.83

The honour of turning back the Tamil arms falls to the lot of the Hoysala ruler Nṛpa Kāma. In A. D. 1022, and again in A. D. 1026, he opposed the Kongālvas who were the allies of the Cola rulers, and with this defeat, the Tamilian retreat from the Karṇāṭaka may be said to begin. The final expulsion of the Colas from the Karṇāṭaka soil was effected, however, only in A. D. 1116, when the Hoysala general Gaṅga Rāja recovered Talakāḍ from the Tamilians.⁸⁴

We may now rapidly survey the vast range of Hoysala records in order to establish the validity of the claims of the Hoysalas to be called *Maleparol*

Gaṇḍan. Of the time of Sāla, the progenitor of the line, and from whom the Hoysalas received their name, so we have no record. It is only in A. D. 1006 that the word Poysala occurs as the name of the king whose minister was Nāgaṇṇa. There is no evidence to prove that Hoysala assumed the birudu of Maleparol Gaṇḍan. Neither is there any proof to show that that birudu was included among the titles of Nṛpa Kāma also called Kāma Hoysala. Thus we may assert that till the days of Nṛpa Kāma, the Hoysalas did not succeed in becoming Champions over the Malepas.

In A. D. 1090, however, we are told that a Poysala Deva called himself Maleparol Gandan.88 This is repeated in a record of A. D. 1095.89 And in A. D. 1110 or thereabouts a ruler called Vīra Ganga Hoysala Deva is called by the same birudu.90 These three inscriptions refer to Vinayaditya Hoysala Deva Tribhuvanamalla, the first notable figure in Hoysala history. Although he was a feudatory of the famous Western Cālukya king Vikramāditya VI, yet he had a powerful kingdom which included within its boundaries hilly regions like the Bayalnad and the like. 91 Since A. D. 1090 is the earliest date which refers to the championship of the Hoysala ruler over the Malepas, it may be assumed that it was about this year that the issue between the other Malepas-who may have been the descendants of the Four Malepas we have noted above-, and the worshippers of the goddess Vāsantikā-as the Hoysalas called themselves-, was finally decided resulting in a signal victory for the latter justifying their birudu of Maleparol Gandan.

A few more instances of rulers who continued to prefix this birudu after king Vinayāditya's time may

suffice to prove how it came to stay in Hoysala history. Among the birudus of the famous Viṣṇuvardhana Deva, as recorded in an inscription dated A. D. 1117, were the following:—Maleparol Gaṇḍādi aneka nāmāvaļi samālankritar appa (one who was adorned with the title of Maleparol Gaṇḍa and other numerous titles). Viṣṇuvardhana Deva is said to have been "a royal kite to destroy the serpent Cengiri, Rāya of the Male-rājas," in an inscription of A. D. 1160.93

Vīra Narasinha Hoysala Deva, in a record dated about A. D. 1160, is called King of the Hill Kings (malerāja-rājan) in addition to the birudu of Maleparol Gaṇḍan. The latter title along with the birudu of a Male Bheruṇḍa is given in A. D. 1196 to Ballāļa Deva II. In A. D. 1218 the same monarch is called by the accredited birudu as well as by that of Rājā of the Male Rājas. Narasinha Deva II is called Maleparol Gaṇḍan in a record of A. D. 1135, and again in an inscription of A. D. 1276. Narasinha III is styled in A. D. 1276, and again in about A. D. 1285, A King over the Hill Kings, Maleparol Gaṇḍan, and Male Bheruṇḍa. These titles were given also to Vīra Ballāļa III in A. D. 1321.

Notwithstanding the fact of the Hoysalas having been Champions over Hill Chiefs, they were unable, to use the expression we have met with in an inscription, to pluck the Beders by the roots. Hence we find in their times Bedar attacks as before. Vinayāditya Hoysala, who in our opinion was the first to assume the birudu of Maleparol Gandan, himself had to confront the opposition of the Malepas. We infer this from the following inscription dated A. D. 1123 which describes his diplomatic attitude towards them thus:—"On the heads of the Malepas who growing proud,

oppose him, he lays his sword; on the heads of the Malepas who filled with fear do not grow proud or oppose him, he at once lays his hand-Vinayāditya." 9

That this double method of dealing with the Malepas viz., of showing them consideration where it ought to be shown, and of punishing them when they grew turbulent—was indeed the policy of Vinayāditya Poysala is proved by two later records. One is dated A. D. 1184 which repeats the above in the statement that he brought his sword down on the hill chiefs who were proud and opposed him, and put his hand on the heads of hill chiefs who were not proud and who submitted to him:—

Balidade maledade Malepara taleyolu bāl iduvan udita-bhaya-rasavasadim

baliyada maleyada Malepara taleyolu kaiy iduvan odane Vinayādityam. 100

Another inscription of the reign of Narasimha Deva dated A. D. 1205 narrates that "all the sons of the hill kings, rubbing their heads, rose up, and cast themselves at his lotus feet. On the heads of those who would not submit, he laid his sword; on the heads of those who yielded, he laid his hand." ¹⁰¹ Further, an inscription dated A. D. 1138 relates that in the breasts and brains of the Malepas, did the fear of Vinayāditya spread, when he lifted his hands to smite the heads of the Malepas. ¹⁰²

A vīragal dated A. D. 1136 found in Marale, Chikmagalur tāluka, of the times of his illustrious grandson, Viṣṇuvardhana Deva, confirms our surmise that the Hoysala Government was still confronted with the Malepa evil. This vīragal commemorates the death of the gallant Bamma when he killed many of the Malepas

who had raided the village of Marale and dishonoured women.¹⁰³

The reign of Ballāla Deva II (A. D. 1173-1220) witnessed the inability of the State to cope with the increasing Bedar danger. There were four Bedar raids in the reign of this monarch. In about A. D. 1186 when the great minister Pratipanna Sārasūryya Deva Daṇṇāyaka was ruling over Taladi, the nāl-gā-vuṇḍa being Kanasoge Yaṇa Kavi Gavuḍa, Pancāla Būta killed the herdsmen and carried off the cows (of Kanasoge?). But the nāl-gāvuṇḍa's younger brother Ēca Gavuḍa fought with the raiders and recovered the cows, although he died in the fight. Another instance of a Gāvuṇḍa fighting against the Bedars is that of Madi Gauḍa, son of Billahalli Billa Gauḍa, in A. D. 1200. He too suffered the death of a hero. 105

An equally courageous instance of a citizen in the same reign is that of Vaijarasa. In A. D. 1202 when Aggada-rāya, evidently a Bedar chief, ran off into the Bedarabanki forest, in front of Hemmādi, Vaijarasa pierced his skull with a single arrow and won the title of Kannambu (Eye-arrow) from the king. 106

The most conspicuous example of bravery in the reign of the same monarch is that of Cila Gauda, son of Hariya Rāmaya Gauda of Āsandi-nād. In A. D. 1209 the Bedars penetrated into Bela Gāvunda's village Kallakere and departed (causing the usual havoc). Cila Gauda ran to the fight, attacked the Bedar elephant, recovered the cows and with great valour captured the Kirāta army in Kharggakeṭaka. For having caused that village to rejoice, he "received the worship of the people as having the fortune of a hero." 107

One of the main reasons why the Hoysala Government did not succeed in eliminating the Bedar

and the Malepar element from their kingdom was due perhaps to the fact that it was spread far and wide over other regions as well. The Yādavas of Devagiri. for instance, likewise had to slove the Bedar problem. In A. D. 1216 Māyi Deva Dannāyaka was the Superintendent over the Banavase-nad under the Yadava Nārāyaņa Simhala Deva. And Isvara Devarasa, son of Malla Nṛpāla of the Phaṇivamśa, was in Belagavatti. In this town (?) there occurred a great fight with the Bedars which is thus graphically described in the epigraph: The Mādanabāga Bedars raided (Belagavatti) and carried off the cows. Then Isvara Devarasa ordered Cinnaya Sāhani to meet them. "Like an angry Yama, the Patta Sāhani Cinna pursued them. Hastening after them, on coming in sight of the Bedar force, he shouted, and charging on his horse which was as fleet as the wind, he played at ball with their heads. and performed astonishing feats, recovered the cows and made a feast for the kites." It is no wonder that the scribe, who thus describes the heroism of Cinnaya Sāhani in A. D. 1216, asks the question at the end of the epigraph-"Who had a servant like Cinnaya (was) to Isvara Deva?" In what manner the State appreciated the bravery of the people who, like Cinnaya Sāhani, fell in the service of the Government is seen in the method in which Isvara Deva rewarded Cinnaya's descendants. War relief called dingarigavrtti (servants' means of livelihood) was granted by the ruler to Cinnava's son and younger brother as a perpetual endowment. 108

The Brāhman settlements called agrahāras were often raided. Thus the immemorial agrahāra Marasūr, on the boundary of Nelkudure, was attacked by a Bedar force in A. D. 1242. But Bommayya Nāyaka, son of

Somayya, attacked Duggi S'eṭṭi, who is described as the strong man of the enemy Bedar's force, and slew him, although he himself died in the struggle. 109

That the agrahāras were in constant danger of the Bedars is further borne out by a clause in a samaya-patra (or document relating to land) which all the Brahmans of the great agrahāra Amṛtake-s'avapura alias Kūṭanamaḍuvu made in about A. D. 1291, in the presence of the great minister Harihara Daṇṇāyaka. The agreement ran thus:—"Fines, faults, plunder by Bedars, trouble from robbers, belong to the Brāhmans of the several villages; the three hundred (i. e., those of the agrahāra Amṛitakes'avapura) have nothing to do with them." This once again proves the assertion we have here and elsewhere made that the care of the local units was entrusted to the villagers themselves, the central power exercising general supervision over the affairs of the local bodies.

We shall give one more instance of the Bedar raids before we pass on to their activities in the Empire of Vijayanagara. This refers to about A. D. 1300, and it occurred under the regime of the Mahāsāmanta Sovaṇṇa Daṇṇāyaka. The defaced inscription which gives us these details, relates that some one came and carried off the kalas'a of the temple of Harihara. The Bedars attacked him in return in Kañciyagere but he slew many of the Bedars and fell in the fight. 111

Both the dangers which had confronted the gallant old Hoysala monarch Vīra Ballāla Deva III.,—viz., that of the Bedars and that of the Muhammadans—were transmitted to his celebrated successors—the founders of the Empire of Vijayanagara. Of these we are concerned here only with the former which the earliest rulers

themsleves had to meet. Harihara I, was attacked by the Bedars. 112 But the five brothers were destined to play a great part in the history of the country and the Bedar attack was evidently crushed.

An inscription wrongly dated A. D. 1228 but which may be assigned to A. D. 1408 of the reign of Deva Rāva. I, gives an account of a spirited struggle by the trusted servants of the State. Vīranna Odeyar was the Viceroy over the Araga Eighteen Kampana which was also called Male Rajya. A Bedar chief named Boleva Mummeya Nāyaka having slaughtered all over the country, carried off prisoners, and caused great disturbance and famine in the kingdom. king being anxious for the safety of the subjects, promptly gave an order saying that the Bedar was to be brought to proper order (ā Bedarige takka ājñeyanu māduvudu endu). The Viceroy at once raised a force and coming against that Bedar leader, gave order in Anaveri-nad to assemble all the available horse and foot.

At this moment came forward the heroes of the locality led by Angada Rājamalla Mahāprabhu, the Hallināḍu Keyura Nāḍiga (with various titles), the people of Haratāļu, Modūr, Kallūr, Keļale, Dānamūla, with Haratāļu Medi Gauḍa's son Muda Gauḍa, and Bomma Deva Gauḍa's younger brother Tiraka Gauḍa. These mustering horse and foot, presented themselves before Vīraṇṇa Oḍeyar. And Tiraka Gauḍa then said:—"We are not breakers of the word we have given: Vīra Pratāpa Harihara Mahārāya's great minister Guṇḍapa Daṇḍanātha gave us the title of Champion over the Three Kings (mūvara rāyara gaṇḍa). So that this title shall be sung (in songs), in such a manner will I break and put down the Beḍar

force." Thus saying Tiraka Gauda, taking sword and shield, broke and put down the hostile force, slew and knocked down the leaders, himself dying nobly in the fight. 113

We hear little of the Bedars in Vijayanagara history till the memorable battle of Rākṣasa-Taṅgaḍi. But in the first quarter of the sixteenth century, they ventured to attack Nañja Rāja, the founder of the principality of Nañjarāyapaṭṭaṇa (in Coorg, to the north of the Kaveri). It was about this time that they established themselves at Piriyapaṭṭana and Tuṅga. How they were enticed into a marriage alliance and treacherously dealt with by the Jaina leaders Cangalarāya and Maṅgarasa, has been described by us in detail elsewhere.

The Bedars were not wholly reconciled to the generous principles which Kṛṣṇa Deva Rāya the Great had enunciated in his well known book Amuktamālyada. They continued to be an unruly element, although during the reign of that monarch and of his successors, they did not appear on the scene as they had done in the reign of Deva Raya I. When, however, the combined armies of the Muhammadan kings of the Deccan slew the gallant old monarch Rāma Rāya on the battle-field of Rāksasa Taigadi, in about A. D. 1565, the Bedars got an opprtunity of doing incalculable harm to $_{
m the}$ famous Hindu city of Vijayanagara. They ransacked the treasures, plundered the defenceless people and completed the ruin of the great city. Even foreign witnesses noticed the depradations committed by the Bedars whom they called Bedues. 116

This great defeat which the Hindus suffered on the plain of Rākṣasa-Tangaḍi enabled the Beḍars to establish principalities of their own. The most powerful of these Bedar states were those of Chitaldroog, Holalkere, and Hiriyūr. The rulers of these principalities were Bedars by caste but they claimed to be of the Vālmikī-gotra and Kāmageṭi-vams'a. Their birudus included those of Mahānāyakācārya, and Kāmageṭi-kastūri. This last was a distinctive prefix to their names.

That the Vijayanagara government itself gave them permission to assume some sort of independence after the battle of Rākṣasa-Taṅgaḍi, is clear from two epigraphs dated A. D. 1568 which give us the following details: That in the reign of Sadas'iva Rava, on the sudden death of Rāma Rāya Deva, the city, throne, and countries of the ruler being destroyed and in ruins, Tirumala Rājayya Deva Mahā-arasu, the brother of the famous Regent, granted the Holalkere-sime to Kāmageti Medakeri Nāvaka as an amara-māgani; and that the latter made it over to his younger brother-inlaw Gulliyappa Nāyaka as an umbali. We may incidentally mention here that Kāmageţi Kastūri Medakeri Nāyaka generously endowed (with grants of land) the temple of Gopāla Kṛṣṇa. The image of the god had been originally set up in former times by king Janamejaya, buried in the earth by the Hoysala king Visnuvardhana Deva, recovered by Krsna Deva. Rāya and Aliya Rāma Rājayya. Whether the image was an ancient one or not, the founder of the Bedar principality of Chitaldroog had given ample proof of his high sense of duty towards the cause of the Hindu religion.117

The Chitaldroog chiefs continued to exercise power for more than 150 years. They extended their territory at the expense of the Sante Bennūr and the Harpanhalli chiefs. These latter, we may note, were

Bedars themselves. The founder of the Bedar principality of Harpanhalli, was one Dādayya. He set up a quasi-independent government at Harpanhalli, according to some, after the battle of Rākṣasa-Tangadi. 118

To resume the history of the Chitaldroog chieftains, we find them waging constant wars with the Marāṭhas, the Moghuls, and the Kelaḍi kings. 119 Kelaḍi proved the ruin of Chitaldroog. Somas'ekhara Nāyaka II's scheme of aggrandizement soon brought within the Kelaḍi kingdom Sīrā, Ajjampūr, Sante Bennūr, and other places which had been under the influence of the Moghuls. He soon turned his attention towards the Beḍars of Chitaldroog.

In A. D. 1748 was fought the decisive battle of Māyakoṇḍa in which Chitaldroog suffered a disastrous defeat, its ruler Medakeri Nāyaka being slain. An incident in this battle was of great importance in the history of the land. One of the allies of Chitaldroog was Candā Sāhīb. He was then just returning southwards from his place of captivity at Satāra. In the battle of Māyakoṇḍa he was taken prisoner, and his son was killed. While being led in triumph to Beḍnūr, he induced his Muhammadan guards to march with him to the French camp instead. He took Arcot in A. D. 1750, 120 and ushered thereby a new era in the struggle between the Anglo-French powers in India.

Keladi Somasekhara Nāyaka II was an avowed enemy of the Bedars. In A. D. 1758 he ordered the arrest of Manca Baramaṇa, who was committing lawlessness and causing grave trouble to the Keladi State. Kodihalli Basa cut off the head of this Bedar leader and soon put an end to the Bedar trouble. 121

But the final disappearance of Chitaldroog as a factor in the Karṇāṭaka history took place only in the

reign of Haidar 'Ali. After a prolonged siege, he captured it in A. D. 1779 through the treachery of some Mussulman officers. It is said that Haidar 'Ali in order to break up the Bedar population, whose patriotism had prolonged the siege for quite a long time, transported 20,000. Bedars to Seringapatam where the choicest of them were formed into regular battalions of convert captives called Celas. 122

The tradition of enlisting the Bedars in royal service is to be traced to the Hoysala times when Vīra Ballāļa III had under him Bedar contingents commanded by General Narasinga. This great Hoysala ruler's stout enemy, Kumāara Kampila, as is related in Nanjunda's narrative Paradāra Sodara Rāmana Kathe, was a Bedar himself. And he too had battalions of Bedars under him in his struggle against the Hoysala king as well as in his wars against the Sultan of Delhi. Kumāra Kampila, we may incidentally observe, used to go out a-hunting with his Bedar followers (Sabara-kula). 123

There is every reason to believe that the Marāṭha armies too had Beḍar contingents in their vanguard, although some include them among robber classes. Manucci informs us that along with the army of the Marāṭhas, there "marched privileged and recognised thieves called Bederia (Bidari)" (i. e., Beḍars), who were "the first to invade the enemy's territory" where they plundered every thing they found. The handsomest items were reserved for the general, the rest they sold on their own account. It is opined by some that, according to a contemporary ballad, the Marāṭha generals employed a number of Beḍars exactly for the same purpose for which the professed Pendharis (Pindaris) were usually engaged, viz., for

sharing the spoils with the State. 125

This custom seems to have prevailed also in the Mughul camp. We gather this also from Manucci who, in continuation of the above remarks, relates thus:—That Prince Shāh 'Ālam when he was within the territories of S'ivāji, near Goa, had in Kis army seven thousand such (Bedars) whose orders were to ravage the lands of Bardes. 126

It was not only as soldiers and kingdom builders that the Bedars contributed to the history of the land. A few Bedar names illumine the history of Hindu culture. The most celebrated name of a Bedar author, as is well known, is that of of Valmiki, to whom the Rāmāyana is ascribed. Another famous name is that of the great S'aiva saint Kannappa. This Bedar of Appaduvūr which is also named Udūpuru, in the Kālahastigiri district, is also known as Kannappa-Nāyanār, Mukkanna, and Netrārpaka. It is said that Kannappa was a devotee of S'iva; and that in his own Bedar way, he used to pluck flowers and leaves and put them first on his own head before he carried them as an offering to S'iva. The remains of savoury meat dishes were put by him in a cup of leaves and carried in his hand. He used to remove the flowers of the former day in his quaint manner-push them aside with his feet which had shoes on them. And for cleansing the image, he used his own spittle instead of water. Then he used to offer the meat to the god who, the tradition runs, ate it. Once to try his devotion, S'iva appaeared as if he was wounded in one eye. Kannappa seeing the wound on the face of the image, plucked out one of his eyes, and replaced the wounded eve of the god. Pleased with his devotion, S'iva is said to have given him three eyes. 127

This great S'aiva saint is represented in sculptures in the Karṇāṭaka. The pillars of the front half of the Candramaulīs'vara temple at Doḍḍa-Ballāpura, contain a figure of Kaṇṇappa. He is depicted as kicking a linga in the sculptures of the Bhimes'vara temple at Kaivāra. In the sculptures of the Venkaṭaramaṇa temple at Cikkanāyakanahalli, we have an additional detail to the one mentioned at Kaivāra: Kaṇṇappa is piercing his eye with an arrow. A third detail in addition to the two described in the Cikkanāyakanahalli temple is given in the sculptures of the Mallikārjuna temple at Pankajanhalli. Here we see him armed with a bow. 131

Another well known Bedar devotee is Kanaka Dāsa of Kāginele in the Dharwar district. about the year A. D. 1550, Kanaka soon left his native place and came to Udipi in Tuluva (mod. South Kanara). Here in the celebrated centre of Dvaitism. he was held to be the model of devotion by the religious heads of the eight mathas established by the great Madvācārya. Kanaka was a remarkable poet as well. Some of his works are Narasimha Hastuva, written in honour of the god at Kāginele, Haribhaktisāra, Rāmadhyāna caritra, and numerous other hymns, which are very popular all over the Vaisnava world in the Karnātaka and Tuluva. 132 Close to the Kṛṣṇa temple at Udipi is a little shrine of Kanaka where he used to pray to his favourite deity Kṛṣṇa. This shrine is held in high veneration by the people.

The Tamil land also contains an account of Bedars who were instrumental in building a shrine. This we gather from the traditional account of Puṇḍi, which contains a Jaina basadi, in the Ārṇī district. Two Bedars named Irumban and Pandan came to the place where the temple now stands, in order to dig up

an edible kind of root. An ascetic named Tellumanināthar was doing penance there in a white ant hill. While digging the two hunters struck him in the breast with their implement. Being frightened at the mistake they had committed, they tried to rectify it by shading the ascetic with leaves and by bringing him daily offerings of fruits, flowers, honey, and roots. some time another holy man named Samayanāthar On seeing the newcomer, the Bedars came there. were frightened beyond words, but recovered their selfpossession when he showed signs of favour. The newcomer was then conducted to the spot where Tellumanināthar was doing penance; and at his instance, the Bedars hastened to inform the king news of their discovery. and Min

Now, the king, the tradition continues, was suffering from a great calamity. An evil spirit had possessed his sister, and it destroyed all who attempted to exorcize it. The king who is called in the account Rayer, had promised to give half of his kingdom to any one who could cast it out. Pandan, the Bedar, reminded the king of his promise; and as he was talking, the spirit quitted the body of the sister of the king. She came out dressed with a plate of flowers in her hand, and with this set out to the residence of the sage whose power had cured her. The Rayer followed her with his family, and on coming to the place paid homage to the sage. The king then directed that the temple which had been left unfinished was to be completed. The two Bedars were rewarded with grants of villages which came to be called after their names. 133 Since the title Rayer is generally given in Tamil accounts to Kṛṣna Deva Rāya the Great, we may place the events mentioned above in the sixteenth century A. D.

CHAPTER V

MISCELLANEOUS TRIBES

Summary: Pulindas - Das ārņas - Mātangas - Puņdras - Lambakarņas - Karņapravarņas - Ekapādas - Yakṣas - and Kinnaras.

THE PULINDAS

A tribe consanguineous to those mentioned in the previous pages were the Pulindas. We have noted how, according to the Aitareya Brāhmaṇa, the origin of the Pulindas was the same as that of the S'abaras and other wild tribes living on the borders of the Arvan They are mentioned by Ptolemy as territories. Pulindae or (Pulindai agnaphagoi). The Mahāvamso has the following account of their origin:- In the story of the consecration of Vijaya, we are told that Vijaya had one son and one daughter by the Yakkhini. The latter went to Lankapura with the two children but being suspected (as a spy) she was killed by the But her maternal uncle saw the two Yakkhas. children and advised them to flee. With speed they fled to Sumantakuta (i. e., Adam's Peak). The brother, the elder of the two, took his sister, the younger, for his wife, and multiplying with sons and daughters, they dwelt with the king's leave in Malaya (i.e., the central mountain region in the interior of Ceylon). these sprang the Pulinda. It is opined that Pulinda here is evidently a name of the Waeddas. The tract of country inland between Colombo, Kalatura, Galle,

and the mountains is now called Sabaragamuva. This name is derived from S'abara, a synonym for Pulinda. It is not improbable that the name Waeddā is itself a corruption of the term Beda.

The Pulindas are located in the middle and southern regions in the Rāmāyana and the Mahābhārata. In the former they are said to occupy the middle portion of the Deccan.3 Bhīma marched eastwards and then turning towards the south, entered the country of the Pulindas (in the south) and brought Sukmāra and Sumitra under his sway. Then he went to Cedi. 4 In the course of his southern conquests, Sahadeva came upon the Pulindas whom he conquered. After subjugating the mighty monarchs of the Nācinas and the Arvukas, and the various forest kings of that part of the country, Sahadeva reduced to subjection the king Vatadhipa. And defeating in battle the Pulindas, he fought for one day with the king Pandrya. Then he came to the celebrated caves of Kiskindhyā and here he fought for several days with the monkey kings Mainda and Dvividha. 5 In the topographical list of the Bhīsma Parva, the Sindhas, the Pulindas, the Uttamas, and a host of others are mentioned. 6

It is evident from the above that there were branches of the Pulindas in the south as well as in the north. The southern branch seems to have extended eastwards. This is probably the reason why in the Viṣṇu Purāṇa the order given in the Bhīṣma Purva is repeated, and the Bhojas, the Sindhas, the Pulindas, and the Uttamas together with other peoples are mentioned. A further proof of their widespread domicile is given in the same Purāṇa which says that there were also the Vindhyacūlikas, the Pulindas, the Kalkalas, the Mālavas, the Mallavas, and others.

The Mārkaṇḍeya Purāṇa and the Garuḍa Purāṇa place them in the south. The former locates them along with the S'abaras in the southern region; while the latter designates them together with Aṣmakas, Jimūtayanas, Kāmbojas, Karṇāṭas, and Ghatas as southerners.

The Pulinda janapada is located in the north of Bharatavarṣa in the Matsya Purāṇa. 10 We are told in the same work that the abode of the Pulindas was watered by the Ganges which striking against the Vindhyas falls in the southern ocean. But the Matsya Purāṇa also calls the Pulinda country an Aryan janapada, 11 and classes it with the land of the Kirātas and the Vidhyādharas in the south. 12

The Pulinda-gana (tribe) is mentioned in the $Brhatsamhitar{a}$. ¹³

They were wrongly supposed to have been mentioned in the Rock Edicts of Asoka. ¹⁴ But, as Hultzsch pointed out, the term occurring in the Rock Edicts was *Palida*, *Pālada*, and *Pārinḍa*, ¹⁵ thus bringing to our notice a new people about whom nothing is known for the present.

We have seen above how the Pulindas together with the S'abaras are mentioned by Kauṭalya in his Arthas'āstra.

The Pulindas of the southern regions have not been insignificant in the history of the country. In the historical narrative called Paradāra Sodara Rāmana Kathe by Nañjuṇḍa, we are told that Rāma Deva of Devagiri had four sons, one of whom married a Pulinda woman. He was, therefore, declared an outcaste. When the Turuṣkas captured Devagiri, this excommunicated prince sought service under the chief of Citrakūṭadurga, who entrusted him with the duty of

defending the frontier of his principality. In the family of this outcaste prince was born Mummudi Singha, whose son was Kumāra Kampila, the hero of the narrative. Kumāra Kampila is called in the same work king of Pulinda. 17

In the Cennabasava Purāṇa written by the poet Virūpākṣa Paṇḍita in A. D. 1585, the Pulinda kingdom is included among the fifty-six countries (cchappanna-des'a or s'aṭ-pañca-s'aṭ vis'aya) into which India was conventionally divided. In the Tamil geography too mention is made of the fifty-six divisions among which the Pulinda kingdom is included. 19

The Pulindas were, therefore, familiar to the people of the Karṇāṭaka. Poet Sālva (circa A. D. 1550) thus describes their women:—

Created by Brahmā with the forms from the pith of the darkness of the rainy season (or the dark fortnight), with light in their eyes which the Creator had infused into them from the lightning of the rainy season soon after having washed (or polished) the woods by the drops of rain-, the coquettish (and charming) Pulinda women shone in company of the female dwellers:

Kār-iruļa kattaleya tiruļim vāri-ruha-bhavana-olidu nirmisi l nāriyara rūhangaļan-añjanarūañjadi puṭaviṭṭu II kāra miñeumam-avara kannoļu serisidan-ene gāḍiyaru kān- l tāracariyaru meredar-alli Pulindara-ogginalli ²⁰ II

THE NISADAS

A prominent section of the wild tribes were the Niṣādas whose name has so often figured in the previous pages. The origin of the Niṣādas, according to Manu, is traced to the admixture of castes. The offspring of a Brāhmaṇa father and a S'ūdra mother

was a Niṣāda. The son of a Niṣāda by a S'ūdra female was a Pukkasa; but the son of a S'ūdra by a Niṣāda female became a Kukkuṭaka. The Niṣādas, as Manu enjoins, were to kill and collect fish for other people. The Garuḍa Purāṇa, however, has an ingenious explanation to give concerning the origin of the Niṣādas. It relates that Aṅga's son was Vena who was an atheist and an irreligious man. This perpetrator of iniquities was killed by the rsis with Kus'a. They then churned his thigh for a son and thereat sprang up a son, greatly dwarfish and black. They then said:— Sit down, sit down!", and he was called Niṣāda dwelling on the mount Vindhya.

The same *Purāṇa* informs us of the habitat of the Niṣādas. It relates that the S'trīrājyas, the Saindhavas, the Mlecchas, the godless Yavanas together with the Niṣādas and the people of Mathura inhabited the western countries.²²

The western home of the Nisadas as given in the Garuda Purāna is borne out in some manner by the statements in the Harivamsa which places the Nisādas in the west as well as in the east. It describes Nisāda the son of Atithi who was the grandson of Rāma. The son of Niṣāda was Naļa whose son was Nabha. Devasrava's son Ekalavva, we are told in the same work, was brought up by the Niṣādas, and was accordingly called Naiśadhi. But, in another context, the author of the Harivamsa describes the Nisadas as collecting jewels born in water in small and big boats, in the sea belonging to the province of Vanavāsi.23 Since the Vanavāsa kingdom was situated on the western side of India, we have to surmise that the Niṣādas spoken of here lived also on the western coast of India.

We have already noted the existence of a Niṣāḍa kingdom in the times of the *Mahābhārata*. The earliest historical reference to a principality of the Niṣādas on the western side of India is in the Jūnāgadh inscription of the Mahākṣātrapa Rudradāman, dated in the 72nd year (circa A. D. 150). In this record Rudradāman is said to have brought under his sway the Niṣāda country along with eastern and western Mālwā, the region round Dwārkā, etc.²⁴

Of greater prominence was the Niṣāda province in the east. The antiquity of this Niṣāda kingdom mentioned in the Brahmānḍa Purāna as having been one of the provinces ruled over by the Guptas, has been traced to the times of Nala. And it has been located in the vicinity of Berar, if not in Berar itself.²⁵

Mention has been already made of the existence of a Niṣāda ruler so late as the eighth century A. D.

With the evidence of the epics and the *Purāṇas* before us, credit may be given to the assertion of the Kannaḍa writer Nijaguṇayogi who includes the Niṣāda principality among the different kingdoms of India.²⁶

Buddhist literature contains many details concerning the Niṣādas. The *Cchadanta Jātaka*, for example gives us an interesting portraiture of the Niṣādas whom it calls Luddhakas. It was when Culla Subhaddā caused all the Luddhakas of Benares to be summoned by her husband, to get a pair of tusks of the elephant Cchadanta (the Boddhisatta) in order to satisfy an old grudge she bore him. The 60,000 Luddhakas of Benares presented themselves; and all except one expressed their inability to secure the pair of tusks. This particular hunter called Soņuttara is thus described:—He was broad-footed, with a calf (thigh?) swollen like an alms-basket, big ribbed, thick bearded,

with copper coloured teeth, with disgustingly (prominently?) red eyes (or with scars disfiguring his face), ill-shaped, and revolting to the sight:—

patthaṭa-pādam bhatta-puṭa-sadisa-jangham mahājānum mahā-phāsukam bahala-massum tāmba-dāṭhikam nibbiddha-piùgalam dussanṭhānam bhibhaccham...Sonuttaram nāma Nesādam...

In a long and interesting passage in the same $J\bar{a}$ -taka, we are told how Sonuttara armed himself for seven days before he reached the summit of Suvannapassa. A sharp knife, a hatchet (axe), a spade, a $nikh\bar{a}$ -dana (a sharp instrument), muttika (a sort of a hammer?), a dagger, a grass cutter, an instrument for cutting bamboos and thickets, a sword, an iron staff, a stake, a three-pronged iron fork, a bag of leather, a leather sack, a bag to hold a hog's-head's weight (?), leather ropes and straps, shoes big enough for elephant's foot, a leather parachute, wood (flint?) for kindling fire, an outfit, a bag of cakes, a ladder, a dry plank, a trough-shaped canoe, and a quiver-with these and other things necessary for the journey, Sonuttara crossed the mountain. The same straps are straps.

THE DAS ARNAS

According to the Greek geographers, the land of the Daśārṇas was almost next to that of the Kirātas. In the *Periplus Mari Erythrae* ships sailing northwards from Dōsārene arrived at the land of the wild flat nosed Kirradai who, like the other wild tribes, were supposed by Lassen to be man-eaters.²⁸ McCrindle identified the land of the Dōsārene with the country on both sides of the Vaitaraṇī.

Ptolemy locates the Daśārņas in the Gangetic valley after the Minagara and before the Kokala.

He describes the mouth of the Dosaron. McCrindle commented on the above by saying that the Dosaron was the river of the people mentioned in the Viṣṇu Purāṇa-whom we shall presently describe-as belonging to the south-east of the Madhya-deśa in juxtaposition with the S'abaras or Suars. He also derived the word from das'an (ten) and ṛṇa (fort), meaning thereby the land of ten forts.²⁹

Gerini identifying the Ts'wan, T'wan, or Doan tribes of Eastern Yünnan with Ptolemy's Doānai, remarked that in the Greek geographer's time, a conspicuous part of these people (the Das'ārṇas) had already advanced into Eastern Lāos or Das'ārṇa which they held under sway.

Gerini explains how the names Das'ārņa and Mālwā were transported to northern Siam. The region of the Eastern or Whifte bellied Lau-which Gerini describes in detail-was known to Ptolemy as the country of the Doānai, a people lying, according to the same geographer, along the river of the same name. vious to this designation being applied, however, or soon after its origin, the country of the Lau was classically known as Mālavades'a or Mālwā-a name which it retained till recent times under the corrupt forms of Malā, Mālā, Mālavā. As the term Mālavā collectively applied to the whole region of Laos was imported from central India, where it designated the country known as Mālwā, so was the term Das'ārņa also introduced from the same quarter. And as there it denoted the eastern part of Mālwā, so by analogy it was given in Further India to the corresponding portion of the Indo-Chinese Mālavā, i. e., Eastern Lāos. The term Das'ārņa thus became identified with Ptolemy's Dasana.30

Hindu literature contains notices of the Das'ārṇa country and its people. The Rāmāyaṇa locates the Das'ārṇa city in the south:—

Tato-Godāvarīm-ramyām-Kṛṣṇaveṇim-Mahānadīm I Mekalām-Utkalān-ca-eva-Das'ārṇa-nagarāṇi-api II ³¹

Relying on the strength of the above verse, Sewell placed the 'Das'ārṇas in the country south of the Tuṅgabhadrā.32

But in the times of the *Mahābhārata*, we find the Daśārṇas spread to the west and east. Surrounding the kingdom of the Kurus, we are told, were many countries beautiful and abounding in corn. These were Pāncāla, Cedi, Matsya, Sūrasena, Pattacara, Daśārṇa, Navarāṣṭra, S'ālva, Yughandhara, Surāṣṭra, Avanti, and the spacious Kuntīrāṣṭra. 33

The conquests of Nakula enable us to determine better the location of the Daśārṇas and their country. He was bent on conquering the west. After subjugating the (people of the) mountain called Rohitaka that was dear unto the famous Kārttikeya, the Mattamayūrakas, the whole of the desert country called Ṣairiṣaka, and the Mahettha, he encountered the royal sage Akroṣa. He then conquered the Daśārṇas, the S'ivis, the Trigartas, the Amvaṣtas, the Mālavas, the five tribes of the Karṇāṭakas, and those twice-born classes called Madhamākhyas and Vātadhānas.³⁴

But at the same time the Daśārṇas were found in the east. In the course of his eastern conquests, Bhīma entered the country of the Pāncālas, then vanquished the Gandhakas, the Videhas, and then subjugated the Daśārṇas. There in the country of the Daśārṇas, the king called Sudharma with bare arms did fierce battle with Bhīma who, beholding that feat of the illustrious Daśārṇa king, appointed him as the

first in command of his forces. Perhaps it was this mighty ruler of the Daśārṇas who was placed, together with the king of the Prayāgas, the Dāserakas, the Anūpakas, and the Kirātas, in the neck of the krauñcāruṇa array formed by Yudhiṣṭira. on the occasion of that memorable battle.

The topographical list in the same ($Bh\bar{\imath}sm\alpha$) Parva mentions the Uttamas, the Daśārṇas, the Mekalas, the Utkalas, and others; and again the Jātharas, the Kukurus, and the Daśārṇas, 35 thus confirming the association of the Daśārṇas with the Mekalas and the Utkalas as given in the $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$.

The Viṣṇu Purāṇa follows both the epics in its location of the Daśārṇas. It enumerates the peoples in the following order:—the Bhojas, the Sindhu Pulindas, the Uttamas, the Daśārṇas, the Mekals, the Pāncālas, and the others. Then, again, the same Purāṇa relates thus—the Madrabhujingas, the Kāśis, the Aparakāśis, the Jātharas, the Kukurus, the Daśārṇas, the Kuntis, and the Avantis. In a later passage we are told that there were Kulindāpatyakas, Vātāyanas, Daśārṇas, Romanas, Kuśavindus, and others. 36

The Mārkaṇḍeya Purāṇa mentions the Daśārṇa river after the Mandākini and before the Citrakūta. The same work classes the Daśārṇa people among the inhabitants living on the slopes of the Vindhyas along with the Sarajas, the Karuṣas, the Keraļas, the Utkalas, the Uttamarṇas, the Bhojas, and the Kiṣkindhakas.

The eastern home of the Daśarnas is suggested in the same *Purāṇa* which places the Daśārna country between the Kaṭakasthalas (identified by Pargiter with Cuttack), and the unidentified naked Hārikas-coun-

tries said to be situated in the Tortoise's right fore-foot.³⁷

Elephants of the Daśārṇa and western countries, as is related in Kauṭalya's *Arthas'āstra*, were of middle quality, while those bred in Kaliṅga, Aṅga, and Karūśa and in the east were the best, and those of Saurāṣṭra and Pañca-jana (republican tribes?) were of low quality.³⁸

In the Matsya Purāṇa we have the Daśārṇa country placed on the western extremity of the Vindhyācala in the following order:— Mālawā, Karūśa, Mekāla, Utkala, Auṇḍra, Māsa, Daśārṇa, Bhoja, etc. But in an earlier passage in the same work, we are told that the Mlecchas and the Aryans reside in Bharatavarṣa mingling with each other without distinction; and that they drink the waters of many rivers such as the Ganges, the Sindhu, the Sarasvatī, the Mandākinī, the Daśārṇa, the Citrkūṭa, the Tāmasā, etc. Thus the statement given in the Matsya Purāṇa as regards the location of the Daśārṇa river is in conformity with that given in the Mārkaṇḍeya Purāṇa.

A Daśārņa province is mentioned in the *Harivaṃs'a*. That same work informs us that among the allies of Jarāsandha was a king of Daśārṇa. ⁴⁰

We have already cited the evidence from the *Bṛhatsaṃhitā* in regard to the south-eastern home of the Niṣādas, the Purikas, the Daśārṇas, and others, while dealing with the S'abaras. The *Bṛhatsaṃhitā*, we may be permitted to say, calls the people in question Dās'ārna.⁴¹

In the section on the *Purva-Megha* in his *Meghadūta*, Kālidāsa depicts a love-sick Yakṣa and makes him address the following to the Cloud:—When you will be in the vicinity, the Das'ārṇa country will

have the hedges of its gardens white coloured with the ketaka flowers opened at their apexes, the sacred trees of its villages will be greatly disturbed by the nest-making of the domestic birds, its skirts of Jambu forests dark with their ripe fruit, and the swans halting there for some days. 42

Kālidāsa gives us the most decisive hint as regards the exact location of Das'ārṇa in the next verse which says the following:—On reaching its capital bearing the name Vidis'ā famed in all the quarters, you (Cloud) will at once obtain the entire fruit of your love, since you will drink the sweet water with its undulating ripples of Vetravatī, like its face full of the knitting of eyebrows, in a manner charming on account of your thundering on the border of its bank. 43

The poet gives us one more detail which may be noted before we locate the Daśārṇa country in the north. The Yakṣa continues to address the Cloud:— Though the road will be circuitous to you, set out for the north, do not be averse to contract the acquaintance of the upper vault of mansions of Ujjainī; and if you will not be delighted there with the eyes of the women of the town, with their outer corners tremulous and dazzled by the flashes of the streaks of lightning, you will be deceived (i.e., you will have missed the fruit of existence). 44

Kālidāsa's invaluable testimony helps us to locate with some precision the Daśārṇa country. Its capital was Vidiśā on the river Vetravatī, the modern Betwa (which rises near Bhopal and flows into the Jumnā), a little way east of Ujjain. Vidiśā has been identified by Cunningham with the modern Bhilsa, or rather with Besnagar, the ancient capital which is close to Bhilsa. ⁴⁵ The river Daṣārṇa which has been placed

between the Mandākinī and Citrakūṭa, is the modern Das'an between the Betwa and the Ken, the latter being a tributary on the right bank of the Jumnā. 46

According to Wilson the people of the Daśārṇa country were those of the ten forts subsequently multiplied into thirty-six, such being the meaning of Cchattisgarh which seems to be the site of Daśārṇa. 47 The basis for this is perhaps to be found in the Śabdakalpadruma which defines Dasʿārṇa as the land of the ten forts or of ten waterways—Daśārṇah (daśarṇāni) durga-bhūmayo jalā-ghāra-va yatra...Here too, following the authority of the Bṛhatsaṃhitā, the Dasʿārṇa country is located in the south-east of the Vindhya mountain. 48

It was evidently the ruler of this Daśārṇa country who is referred to in an inscription dated A. D. 1068 which describes the suzerainty of the Western Cālukya monarch Trailokyamalla Āhavamalla Deva thus:—The worthless kings of Lāṭa, Kaliṅga, Gaṅga, Karahāṭa, Turuṣka, Varāļa, Coļa, Karṇāta, Surāṣṭra, Māļava, Das'ārṇa, Kos'ala, Keraļa, and other countries did not remain insolent but gave tribute and stopped within their appointed boundaries.⁴⁹

The Sāluvābhyudayam which, as we have already seen, mentions a S'abarendra chief as having been conquered by Sāluva Nṛsimha, also speaks of that king having subjugated a country called Das'ārna. This statement was also incorrectly interpreted by Dr. S. Krishnswami the conventional invasion Aivangar to mean monarch.50 Although we are unable for the present to identify the ruler of the Das'ārna country who was conqured by Sāļuva Nṛṣimha, yet there cannot be any doubt that the victory mentioned in the Sāluvābhyudayam was a real one. In the present

state of our knowledge we find it difficult to identify the Das'ārṇa country of the Sāļuvābhyudayam with that near Mālwā, since its conquest would have meant the subjugation of some of the Muhammadan Sultans who had by this time established themselves firmly to the north of Sāļuva Nṛisiṁha's territory. We have, therefore, to surmise that the Das'ārṇa ruler whom the Vijayanagara ruler subdued must have belonged to the Das'ārṇa stock of the Tungabhadrā region. It was because this Das'ārṇa ruler was a tribal leader that his name may have been omitted in the poem.

THE MATANGAS

The Tungabhadrā basin is also associated with the name of another tribe which has left a celebrated name behind it. We refer to the Mātaṅgas whose modern representatives are called Māngs of the Bombay Presidency. The Rāmāyaṇa enables us to locate the region of the Mātaṅgas. Kabandhaka relates to Rāma that near Paṁpā was an empty hermitage and that the Risi Mātaṅga's forest was there. And the S'ramaṇa S'avari (S'abari), whom we have already introduced in an earlier connection, gives the following description of the forest:— See the charming great forest, she says to Rāma and Lakṣmaṇa, abounding in flowers and fruits, resembling a bank of clouds, filled with all sorts of deer and birds. This, she continues, is famous on earth as Mātaṅga's forest:—

S'avarī dars'ayāmāsa tāv-ubhau tad-vanam mahat I
uvāca vacanam ca-idam tāv-ubhau Rāma-Lakṣmaṇau II
bahu-puṣpaphalam ramyam dars'aniyam mahat vanam I
pas'ya megha-caya-prakhyam-mṛga-pakṣi-gaṇa-ayutam I
Mātaṅga-vanam-iti-etad-vis'rutam bhuvi Rāghava⁵² II
According to Pargiter, the Mātaṅga forest is repre-

sented by the stretch of country from Sholāpur to Poona, west of the Riṣyamūkha range.⁵³

The *Mahābhārata* contains an account of the Mātanga *tīrtha*. We are told that after going from Gayā, one reaches Dharmapristha. There dwelleth Dharma for ever. Drinking the water of a well which is there, and purifying one's self by a bath, he that offers oblations to the gods and the *pitris* is cleansed of all his sins, and ascends to heaven. There in that *tirtha* is another of the great Riṣi Mātanga of high soul under complete control.⁵⁴

It is in all likelihood this Mātaṅga $t\bar{\imath}rth\alpha$ which is described in the $Matsya~Pur\bar{a}n\alpha$ to have been in the east.⁵⁵

We shall presently see that Mātanga was also the name given to a centre where diamonds were found. This is related in a work called *Ratnaparīkṣa* by Buddhabhaṭṭa. The *Bṛhatsamhitā* also mentions Mātanga as a diamond centre. ⁵⁶

In Buddhist literature too the name Mātaṅga has become famous. The *Mātaṅga Jātaka* relates that when Brahmadatta was the king of Benares, the Great Being was born outside the city as a Cāṇḍālaʾs son, and that they gave him the name of Mātaṅga, the Elephant. Afterwards he attained wisdom, and his fame was blown abroad as the wise Mātaṅga.⁵⁷

It is related in the Cariyapīṭika how the Boddhisatta was born as a Jaṭila under the name of Mātaṅga. He was noted for his severe penance and piety. He lived along with a Brāhmaṇa on the bank of the Ganges. But the hermitage of Mātaṅga was above, that of the Brāhmaṇa, below. Once while walking along the bank of the river, the two met face to face. And the Brāhmaṇa out of envy cursed that the head of

Mātaṅga should be broken. More in order to save his own $s\bar{\imath}la$ (character) than his head, Mātaṅga, although capable of reverting the curse on the Brāhmaṇa himself, yet allowed it to take effect.⁵⁸

Both in early Buddhist and Hindu literature, therefore, the name Mātaṅga has been deified. It is interesting to observe how it has also been associated with the fate of a prince in Kālidāsa's work. The elephant which emerged from the Narmadā and which confronted Aja, told him how its name was Priyamvada, son of Priyadars'ana, king of the Gandharvas, and how it had been transformed into an elephant in consequence of the curse of the sage Mātaṅga brought about by its own arrogance. ⁵⁹

Bāṇa gives a sketch of a Mātanga thus in his Kādambarī:—King S'ūdraka was told that a Cāṇḍālī maiden with a parrot was waiting to see him. She came in. Before her went a man whose hair was hoary with age, whose eyes were of the colour of the red lotus, whose joints, despite the loss of youth, were firm from incessant labour, whose form though that of a Mātaṅga, was not to be despised, and who wore a white raiment meet for a court. Behind her went a Cāṇḍāla boy, with locks falling on either shoulder, bearing a cage. 60

One of the sons of the Brāhmaṇa renegades who had turned into Kirātas in the Vindhyan regions, as we already noticed in Daṇḍin's Das'akumāracarita, was Mātaṅga. 61

The Mātangas as an historical factor appear in the inscriptions of the Western Cālukyas, the Hoysalas, and the Colas. The Aihole inscription of the Western Cālukya king Pulikes'in II, dated A. D. 634-35, informs us that Mangales'a, the younger brother of king Kīrti-

varma, who picketed his horse on the shores of the east and west and covered all the points of the compass through the dust of his armies, became king. Having with hundreds of scintillating torches which were his swords, dispelled the darkness which was the race of the Mātaṅgas, in the bridal field of battle he obtained as his wife the lovely woman who was the goddess of (fortune of) the Kaṭacuriyas. The same record mentions Maṅgales'a's conquest of Revatīdvīpa and the Mātaṅgas. We may not be wrong in assuming that in the first quarter of the seventh century A. D., the Mātaṅgas had extended as far as the Revatī island, the identification of which, it must be confessed, is not yet a settled question.

But there cannot be any doubt that Revatī-dvīpa was conquered by Maṅgales'a. So late as A. D. 1123, an inscription relates that Maṅgales'a plundered (and conquered) that island. Fleet, while editing the Aihole inscription given above, remarked that the Revatī island was an unknown locality. In the same connection he remarked that Raivata was a patronymic of Kakuḍmi, the ruler of Anarta in Gujarat; and that Revatī being another name of mount Gīrnār, Revatīdvīpa was perhaps the peninsula of Gujarat. If this could be accepted, we could have maintained that the Mātaṅgas had extended as far as Girnar. But Fleet himself has identified the Narmadā with Revā.

That the Narmadā was called Revā is proved by Daṇḍin who connects the licentious activities of Pracaṇḍavarman at Māhiṣmatī with Revā. 67 The close association of Revatī-dvīpa with Māhiṣmatī in the Das'akumāracarita; the defeat of the Kaļacuriyas being coupled with that of the conquest of Revatī-dvīpa in the above quoted Aihole inscription of

Pulikeśin II; and the fact of Māhiṣmatī being an island in the Narmadā-as Fleet himself has told us-, 68 all these suggest conclusively that Revatī-dvīpa was no other than Māhiṣmatī itself, the famous capital of Kārtavīrya or Sahasrabāhu-Arjuna, from whom the Kaļacuriyas claimed descent. 69

For our purpose we may note that the coupling of the conquest of the Mātaṅgas with that of the island Revatī and of the Kaļacuriyas leads us to the inevitable conclusion that the Mātaṅgas had not only spread themselves in the seventh century to the Narmadā but were also the allies of the Kaḷacuriyas, one branch of whom had settled in the Narmadā basin. 70

The stronghold of the Mātaṅgas in the twelfth century was the Mātaṅga Hill. In about A. D. 1190 Ballāļa Deva II is said to have captured Virāṭa-rāja's city, Kurugoḍu, the Mātaṅga Hill and other strategical points. This Mātaṅga Hill could have been no other than the Mātaṅga Parvata which formed one of the five hills enclosing the city of Vijayanagara. The temple which can be seen to this day on its top was, as we shall presently narrate, the endowment of a famous Vijayanagara general.

An inscription dated A. D. 1228 supplies us with interesting details concerning the exact location of the hermitage of the Ḥṣi Mātaṅga. The epigraph praises the virtue of a Boyi woman named Dharaṇī Boyi. She was equal to Draupadī or Sītā. Spreading reed grass on the ground, and placing it together, she obtained a moṛa (or winnowing basket) in the middle of the Tuṅgabhadrā. Thus, in the shed which was the Mātaṅga Ḥṣi's āsˈrama, the Boya named Vanarāja Ḥāma and Dharaṇi Boyiti, making a pandal with reed

grass, dwelt there for some time with devotion to S'īva, in complete satisfaction. When the period for the Tuṅgabhadrā, which is born from Brahmā's kalas'a, to come in the northern channel and the nine months (for the woman) had expired, and it was the time for her delivery, they saw the Tuṅgabhadrā coming down overflowing its banks; on which he placed that mora in the middle, and seeing that the devoted wife had borne a child, the Tuṅgabhadrā divided and flowed on. 72

If this inscription can be given any credence, then, the hermitage of Rsi Mātaṅga which is mentioned in it was evidently the place where the same empty hermitage of Mātaṅga had stood, which, as we remarked above, Kabandhaka had pointed out to Rāma as being near Paṁpā.

The Mātaṅgas, who must have occupied the region around Paṁpā in greater numbers than we can gather, are also mentioned in the history of the Tamil rulers. It is related in a record dated A. D. 1292 that in the solar race and Ina-vaṁs'a was born Karikāla Coļa. And in his line was born king Maṅgi who, by his destruction of the elephants his enemies gained the name of Destroyer of the Mātaṅgas. It cannot be made out whether there is any reference here to the association of the name Mātaṅga with an elephant which we have described above, or whether it points out to any stronghold of the Mātangas in the Tamil country in the thirteenth century.

The annals of Kiṣkindhyā region deal with the remarkable deeds of a brave Mātaṅga woman leader. This is narrated in Nañjuṇḍa's Paradāra Sodara Rāmana Kathe mentioned above in connection with Kumāra Rāma of Kaṁpila. The events described in this narrative may be assigned to the latter part of the

thirteenth and the beginning of the fourteenth centuries of the Christian era. On Nemi Malluka, general of the Delhi Sultan, being defeated by Rāmanātha of Kampila, the Sultan rebuked his general and angrily ordered the capture of Rāmanātha. But none dared to undertake the responsibility of meeting Ramanātha. Only a woman named Mātanga volunteered her services. She was born in the Mātanga caste and was the door-keeper of the Sultan. The Sultan being pleased with her words, presented her with a famous flag-staff called the "Ornament of the Three Worlds", and jewels, and appointed her commanderin-chief of an army of 12,000 elephants, 6000 camels, 1,00,000 horse, and 10,000,000 foot. Mātangi led this huge army through many centres of strife and finally encamped at Bidire. And thence moved on to Kummața, the citadel of Rāmanātha. Riding on an elephant she charged Rāmanātha, while Madhura Khan besieged Kummața. The great fortress fell into the enemv's hands, Rāmanātha was killed, and Mātangi took his head to Delhi, wrapped in a cloth of gold.⁷⁴

The Mātaṅga Hill which we referred to above is one of the five famous hills which are said to have guarded the city of Vijayanagara, the others being Hemakūṭa, Basavas'riṅga, Mālyavanta and Kiṣkindhā. An inscription dated A. D. 1347 informs us that Mādhava, the minister of Mārapa, one of the founders of Vijayanagara, built the S'iva temple on its top. 76

Why Deva Rāya II is called in S'aka 1351 (A. D. 1429-30) Mātanga Rāja, as is related in a record of that date, we are unable to find out.⁷⁷ It is not improbable that there were some Mātangas who were still powerful in the Kiṣkindhyā region.

Minister Madhava's having built a S'iva temple

on the top of the Mātaṅga Parvata at Haṁpe, as given above, was not the only example of people associating the name of the famous Mātaṅga Ḥṣi with the worship of S'iva. In Satyaveḍu, twenty-three miles north of Tiruvaḷḷūr, in the Chingleput district, is an old S'iva temple which is said to have been built by Mātaṅga Maharṣi himself.⁷⁸

Goddess Mātaṅgi and her son Pātappa have a shrine at Chikkanāyakanahaḷḷi in Mysore.⁷⁹ With the inclusion of Mātaṅgi as one of the *Mahāvidyās*, the deification of the Mātaṅgas in the Hindu religion is complete.⁸⁰

THE PUNDRAS

Buddhabhatta gives in his Ratnaparīksā, a work which has been incorporated in the pūrva-kanda of the Garuda Purana, as we narrated while describing the Mātangas, the names of eight diamond centres, viz., Surāṣṭra, Haima, Mātaṅga, Puṇḍra, Kaliṅga, Kośala the Vainyā river and Sūrpāra.81 Of these we are concerned here only with the Pundras the Paundras, the Paundrikas, or the Pundrakas, as they were also called. We have seen above how they have been so often mentioned along with the other wild tribes, in the digests of Hindu law-givers as well as in the epics. The Pundrakas or Paundrakas were the people western (and eastern) Bengal. According to Wilson, the following districts of Bengal and Bihar comprised the ancient Pundra kingdom, viz., Rājshāhi, Dinājpur, Rangpur, Nadiya, Bīrbhum, Bardwan, Midnapur, Jangal Mahäls, Rāmgadh, Pāchete, Palamow and part of Chunar.82

On the word Pundra, Ranglal Banerjee remarked long ago thus: That that word signified sugar cane of a

particular species called pauri-akh in Bengali. Puṇḍra, therefore, meant the country of sugar cane. Now, the other name of Bengal-Gauḍa-is derived from guḍa or molasses, meaning thereby the country of the molasses. Hence the two names of Bengal-Puṇḍra and Gauda-have a similar meaning.⁸³

With this identification of the country of the Pundras, we may proceed to give a few references, especially to their relations with the kings of southern and western India. We shall not enter into the question of the Pundrakas of ancient Bengal, since it has been so ably dealt with by Dr. Bimala Churn Law recently.84 Notwithstanding the fact of the rulers of Vanga, Kalinga, Pundra, and Suhma being described in the Mahābhārata to be the sons of Bali, the king of the eastern regions, 85 it is permissible to ask whether they were originally of the stock to which the other wild tribes belonged, or whether they "were not a barbarous nation," as Pargiter contended in his notices of the Pundras occurring in the same epic. Further, we may also question the validity of his assertion whether they were to be placed only in the eastern regions and not in the south.86

As regards the former question, we have to recount here the opinion of Manu substantiated by the explanation given in the Mahāhbārata, concerning the degradation of certain races from the position of Kṣatriyas to that of S'ūdras, which we cited while dealing with the Kirātas. Whatever may be the importance to which the Puṇḍras rose in later history, there seems to be hardly any doubt that, according to classical opinion, they formed a branch of the larger group of the wild tribes, the most prominent of whom have figured in these pages. Like the Āndhras, whose

history we have deliberately abstained from giving here, the Pundras were a barbarous race to start with, and like them they too rose to great eminence as nation-builders in later history.

A further hint as regards the barbarous origin of the Puṇḍras is to be found in the statement of the Mārkaṇḍeya Purāṇa which locates them along with Kevalas and Golāngūlas (the cow-tailed people) in the south. Far from being erroneous, as Pargiter remarked, st there is reason to believe that a branch of the Puṇḍras—the greater part of whom had no doubt made Bengal their home—had moved southwards much in the same way the other tribes had done, in the early centuries of the Christian era. That the Puṇḍras were living near the Golāngūla tribe is further proved by the statement in the Bṛhatsamhitā which, while locating them in the eastern regions, seals of the Pauṇḍra Golāngūlah-Śrīparvata—Vardhamānāh-cas seals also speaks of the

It is these Puṇḍras who had migrated to the southern tracts and established themselves somewhere in the forests of the Āndhra and Kaliṅga kingdoms, that are obviously referred to in the epigraphs of the southern and western rulers. The Gaṅga king Satyavākya Koṅguṇivarma (I), who had Rājamalla as his first name, is praised thus in the Kūdlūr plates of king Mārasiṁha assigned to A. D. 780:—"...the Vaṅga, the Puṇḍra, the Maghada and Kośala kings, and these the Kaliṅga, Āndhra, Dramila kings with their allies, that were pierced by the weapons discharged by this king-thus did the people praise his valour in the Samiya battle." ⁹⁰ That the victory which Rājamalla Satyavāka had won over the Puṇḍras was true is confirmed by a later record of Rājamalla II assigned to A. D. 904, which

relates that the Pundras were pierced in battle by the former. 91

One of the Nolambavāḍi rulers is also credited with a victory over the Puṇḍras. This was the great Vīra Pāṇḍya Deva, who received the name of Jagadekamalla from his overlord, the Western Cālukya king Jagadekamalla (II) Permma (A. D. 1138-1150). Vīra Pāṇḍya made mighty kings like those of Gaṅga, Kos'ala, Puṇḍra, Pārasi, Koṅgaṇa (Koṅkaṇa), and others tremble. This is related in a record dated A. D. 1148.92

Among the Hoysala monarchs there was one whose designs of aggrandizement included the subjugation of the Puṇḍras. An inscription dated A. D. 1169 informs us that among the kings who trembled before Hoysala monarch Narasimha I were the Puṇḍra and Āndhra rulers.⁹³

THE LAMBAKARNAS, THE KARNAPRAVARNAS, AND THE

We now come to certain tribes which have also to some extent contributed to the history of the land. These are the Lambakarnas, the Karnapravarnas, and the Ekapādas. It is true that we cannot for the present establish the identity between these and the tribes we have already described; but at the same time it must be confessed that we cannot pass over these races in silence, since they have moulded the destiny of some parts of India to an appreciable extent.

The existence of these peculiar tribes is vouchsafed for by Pliny who writes thus:—"In other places again (in India), there are men born with long hairy tails, and of remarkable swiftness of foot, while there are others

that have ears so large as to cover the whole body." ⁹⁴ These last were the Lambakarnas of ancient India.

In no region of India has their role been so significant as in the island kingdom of Ceylon. The Mahāvamso narrates that when one day, in the first year of his reign, king Ilanaga (A. D. 95-A. D. 101) had been to the Tissa tank, many of the Lambakarnas, who were an important clan in Lanka, deserted him and went back to the capital. When the king saw them not, he was wrath, and as a punishment he ordered that they themselves should make a road to the Mahāthupa. further commanded that they should stamp it down firmly, where it ran beside a tank, and set Candalas to be their overseers. Full of anger because of this, the Lambakarnas came together, took the king captive, imprisoned him and in his place they themselves administered the government. But king Ilanaga escaped, raised an army, and gave battle to the Lambakarnas. They were finally beaten. The victorious king ordered that the Lambakarnas were to be yoked two and two behind one another to his triumphal car, and thus did he enter the capital in front of them. At the instance of his mother, king Ilanaga did not strike off their heads but only had their noses and toes cut off. 95 These Lambakarnas, as they are called in history of Cevlon, gave that island a dynasty about which we shall not say anything here.96

The tradition of these Lambakarnas has survived till our own days. Even Mandeville was constrained to include notices of these curious people in his travels. He writes that in the Indian Ocean were "in another isle (be) folk that have great ears and long, that hung down to their knees." ⁹⁷

With the testimony of Pliny, the Mahāvamso, and

Mandeville before us, it is difficult to discredit the inclusion of the Lambakarna-deśa as one of the fifty-six conventional divisions mentioned by the Hindu lexicographers. Likewise is it not possible to dismiss as traditional bombast and poetical exaggeration the following notices found in the inscriptions of the Karnāṭaka trading classes.

It has to be admitted that evidence is not forth-coming to show what part the Lambakarnas played in the history of the mainland before they migrated to Ceylon. But it is permissible to assume that they were of the same stock to which the Karnaprāvarnas, the Aṣṭakarnas, the Oṣṭakarnas, and the Naikapriṣṭas belonged. 90 Of these the Naikapriṣṭas, i. e., those who had more than one back, have left hardly any trace behind them. Likewise has history nothing to say for the present of the Aṣṭakarnas or the people who had eight ears.

The traditions of the Oṣṭakarṇas, who had lips extended to their ears, however, remained in the country till the days of Mandeville. This traveller tells us that "in another isle (in the Indian Ocean) be folk of foul fashion and shape that have the lip above the mouth so great, that when they sleep in the sun they cover all the face with that lip." 100

We have more definite evidence of the Karnaprāvarnas or those who wrapped themselves in their ears. These were identical with the Enātoitai described by Megasthenes as a people who had ears hanging down to their feet so that they could sleep upon them. 101

The Karṇaprāvarṇas were known to India long before the days of Megasthenes. They are mentioned in the *Mahābhārata* on the following occasions:— Innumerable Karṇaprāvarṇas along with the Vaṅgas,

the Kalingas, the Māgadhas, and others waited at the gate to receive the audience of Yudhiṣṭira. They were told by the gate-keeper that if they could wait and bring good tribute, they would be admitted. Then the kings of those nations gave each a thousand elephants furnished with tusks like unto the shafts of ploughs, and decked with girdles made of gold and covered with fine blankets, and, therefore, resembling the lotus hue. And they were all darkish as rocks, and always musty. They were procured from the sides of the Kāmyaka lakes and covered with defensive armour. The association of the Karṇaprāvarṇas with the Vaṅgas and the Kalingas suggests that they were reckoned to be a people who hailed from the eastern regions.

But like the other wild tribes, the Karṇaprāvarṇas had also made the southern tracts their home even in the times of the *Mahābhārata* itself. We infer this from the following description of Sahadeva's conquests. After defeating Mainda and Dvividha in the Kiṣkindhyā region, and likewise the king of (the southern) Māhiṣmatī, Sahadeva brought under subjection numberless kings of the Mleccha tribes living on the sea coast, and the Niṣādas and the cannibals, and even the Karṇaprāvarṇas, and those tribes called Kālamukhas, who were a cross between human beings and the Rāksasas. 103

The Kālamukhas mentioned in the *Mahābhārata* are also referred to in the *Rāmāyaṇa*. These black faced tribes together with the Lohamukhas or those with the faces of a harsh iron-like colour, were, according to Gerini, the Indo-Chinese Negritos; while the Karṇaprāvarṇas and the Oṣṭakarṇas-the former of whom, according to the same writer, had ear flaps as wide as a sheet, and the latter ears that reached to

their lips, - were the tribes of Moñ-Khmer and of hybridized Negrito stock whom the Chinese of the Han period called Tan-erh, or "Drooping ears", and after whom they named the western division of Hainan in B. C. 110. These are the stiff hair tufted Li, the Le, Loi, or the Löi of other parts of Indo-China, "whose ears reach down to their shoulders", inhabiting the hill tracts as well as the sea shore, and Ptolemy's cave dwelling Lestai. 105

The Karnaprāvarna and the Lambakarnas are not the only two tribes whom the Greek geographers noticed in India and whom they classified among the Kirātas. There was another tribe which came under their observation, and it was made up of the Ekapādas. These are called the Okupedes, the Okypedes, the Sciapodae, and the Monocoli by the Greek geographers. They were a people who are supposed to have had only one foot; and who were noted for their swiftness of foot. 106 Pliny quoting Ktesias says that the latter also speaks of another race of men, who are known as Monocoli, who have only one leg but who are able to leap with surprising agility. The same people were called Sciapodae, because they were in the habit of lying on their backs, during the time of the extreme heat, and protect themselves from the sun by the shade of their feet. These people, Ktesias says, dwell not very far from the Troglodytae (dwellers in caves).107

Once again we may revert to the age of the *Mahābhārata* in order to confirm the statements of the Greek writers and of the composers of s'āsanas whom we shall presently cite. Among the numerous princes and chieftains who came to the court of Yudhiṣṭira to pay him homage, were tribes who hailed from different regions. Some possessed two eyes, some three, and

some whose eyes were on their foreheads and those also called Ausnikas, and Niṣādas, and Romakas, and some that were cannibals, and many possessing only one leg. These stood at the gate being refused permission to enter. And then they brought as tribute ten thousand asses of diverse hues and black necks and huge bodies and great speed and much docility and celebrated all over the world. These asses, we may add, were all from the coast of Vańkhu. 108

The same epic gives us another name of the Ekapādas while describing the southern conquests of Sahadeva. After conquering the Kālamukhas, the whole of the Cole mountains, Surabhipatṭaṇa, the Copper Island, and the mountain called Rāmaka, he subjugated the king Timingala and then conquered a wild tribe known by the name of the Keraka and who were men with only one leg.¹⁰⁹

The Mārkandeya Purāna locates the Ekapādas, whom it wrongly calls Ekapādapas, between the Tāmraliptas and the Vardhamānas. In another connection it mentions them after Annadārakas and before the Khās'as. And the same work places them in the Tortoise's north-east foot. 111

The *Bṛhatsaṃhitā* confirms the evidence of the earlier writers as regards the existence of the Ekapādas. According to it, they lived in the eastern division. 112

Mandeville has something to say about them too. In the Indian Ocean, he writes, is an island with folk that have horse's feet. "And they be so strong and mighty, and swift runners; for they take wild beasts while running, and eat them." 113

The same traveller has an account of another people. These were the inhabitants of an island

which he calls Dondun. Here were folk, writes Mandeville, "of great stature. And they be hideous for to look upon. And they have but one eye, and that is in the middle of the front. And they eat nothing but raw flesh and raw fish."¹¹⁴

We have just seen how among the people and princes who came to the court of Yudhiṣṭira, there were some who had eyes on their foreheads. The *Mārkaṇḍeya Purāṇa* too mentions the Ekakṣaṇas, or the one-eyed people, after the Kalas and before the Vāji-Kes'as. 115

Inscriptional evidence ranging from the middle of the eleventh century to the end of the fourteenth century A. D. confirms the existence of such tribes. One of the most enterprising people of India were the Vīra Baṇajas who, as is related in an inscription dated A. D. 1050, travelled through the countries of the Ekapādas, Pārasa, Nepāla, Laṃbakarṇas, S'trīrājya, Golāmukha, and others. Guṇḍa Daṇḍādhipa, the great general of the Vijayanagara king Harihara II, is reputed to have conquered many countries among which were those of the Kākamukhas, Ekapādas, Golāmukhas, and others. The record which gives us these and other details is dated about A. D. 1397. 117

THE VAKSAS AND THE KINNARAS

In a certain sense more celebrated than any of those mentioned above were two tribes who have appeared conspicuosly in Hindu as well as in Buddhist literature. It would be futile to enter into a detailed description of the activities of these two tribes - the Yakṣas and the Kinnaras - as given in the Buddhist or Hindu accounts, in a short survey of the wild tribes like this. For our purpose we may note the grounds on which their identity with the prominent tribes who form the

the subject of this treatise—the Kirātas and others—may be said to rest. And we may adduce such of the references to the Yakṣas and the Kinnaras which are found in Hindu and Buddhist literature, meagre as they will appear to be, in order to complete the picture of some of the most well known wild tribes in Indian history.

The term Kirāta includes, as we have already seen, the Khambu, Limbu, and Yākhā tribes. 118 Further, it was noted that among the Aryan janapadas were included the abodes of the Yaksas, the Kinnaras, the Pulindas, and the Kirātas in the Matsya Purāna. 119 Their country, as will be presently narrated, was in the beautiful region of Kāśmir. These considerations are enough to justify our inclusion under the designation of wild tribes the Yaksas and the Kinnaras who, however much they may have been glorified in literature, seem to have been essentially of the stock to which the Bedars, the S'abaras, and the Kirātas belonged. We shall give a few examples of the great importance to which the Yaksas rose in Hindu and Buddhist thought. The Yaksas have been immortalized in the Bhagavat Gitā where the Lord Kṛṣṇa says that of the Rudras, He is S'ankara, of the Yaksas and Rāksasas, the Lord of Wealth (Kubera), of the Vasus, Pāvaka, and of the mountains, Meru. 120 On the Lord revealing His marvellous forms, hosts of Rudras, Adityas, Vasus, and Sādhyas, Vis've-Devas, and As'vins, Maruts, Usmapas, Gandharvas, Yaksas, Asuras and Siddhas, Arjuna exclaimed, were looking at Him, all quite astounded. 121

To whom the Yakṣas were adorable is told by the Lord in his explanation of the three classes of men and the $s'raddh\bar{a}$ peculiar to each:—The $s\bar{a}ttvic$ men worship

the Devas, the *rājasīc*, the Yakṣas and the Rākṣasa; the others, the *tamasic* men, the Pretas and the hosts of Bhūtas.¹²²

These notices of the Yakşas in the Bhagavat Gītā do not by any means exhaust the references to these celebrated beings in Hindu literature. Neither do the following selected from the Buddhist literature. That we may have some idea of the importance of the Yaksas in Buddhist literature, we may give a few instances of Yakṣas figuring as prominent factors in Buddhist history. The Yakṣas, according to the Buddhists, were demons who are frequently represented as eating human flesh. They were capable of assuming different shapes and of moving in the air. 123

The Jātakas contain allusions to the nature and habits of the Yakṣas. The Vidhurapaṇḍita Jātaka describes a Yakkha called Puṇṇaka, who, disguised as a Brāhmaṇ youth, carried off the Great Being. The same Yakkha changed himself into a lion, an elephant, and a nāga. 124

When the Yakkha wishing to slay the Boddhisatta, as narrated in the $Ayak\bar{u}ta$ $J\bar{a}taka$, stood at the bed's head of the Boddhisatta, the throne of S'akka manifested signs of heat. After considering the matter, the god discovered the cause and grasping the thunderbolt in his hand, came and stood over the Yakkha. The latter did not kill the Boddhisatta for fear of S'akka¹²⁵

In the Jagadissa Jātaka we are informed that the eyes of the Yakkhas, like those of other ogres, are red and do not wink. They cast no shadow and are free from all fear.¹²⁶

A male Yakkha falls in love with the beautiful queen Sambulā, wife of the leper king Sotthisena. And

the Sambula Jātaka, which gives us these details, describes the Yakkha as seizing her by the hand and threatening her with the words-"Unless you obey my words, I will eat you alive." A certain Yakkha is described in the Dhonasāka Jātaka as coming from his watch-tower and tearing off the right eye of king Brahmadatta's son. When the Boddhisatta was leading a caravan through a forest, as is narrated in the Gumbiya Jātaka, a certain Yakkha named Gumbiya strewed leaves on a spot in the middle of the forest, and dropping some pieces of honey, covered them with deadly poison, and himself wandered all about the road, pretending to tap the trees, as if he were looking for honey, ate it and died. 129

The Mahāvamso confirms the evil nature of the Yakṣas as given in the Jātakā stories. In the reign of king Sirisaṅgabodhi, a Yakkha named Ratakkhi (redeye) made red the eyes of the people. If the people did but see one another and did not speak of the redness of the eyes, they died forthwith. And the Yakkha devoured them without fear. This was evidently the worst feature of the Yakṣas. The queenconsort of king Brahmadatta of Benares, as the Padakusalamanava Jātaka relates, became after her death a female Yakkhā with the face of a horse, because of her sins. She devoured men who frequented the road leading from the eastern to the western border. The same of the Yaksas and the same after her death a female Yakkhā with the face of a horse, because of her sins. She devoured men who frequented the road leading from the eastern to the western border.

The *Mahāvamso* also contains accounts of Yakṣas who could transform themselves into different beings. Vijaya vanquished a Yakkhiṇī who, disguised as a woman hermit, hurled all his seven hundred followers into a chasm. Later on this Yakkhiṇī, being still unable to overcome him, transformed herself into a

lovely maiden and became his wife. In the story of the adventures of king Paṇḍukābhya, we have a Yakkhiṇī who transformed herself into a mare with a white body and red feet. 137

The Yaksas, therefore, moulded the destiny of Ceylon. The Mahāvamso contains further allusions to their chief city and their activities in Ceylon. We are told in that chronicle that as a great sacrifice by Kassapa of Uruvelā (i. e., Buddha Gayā) was near at hand, the Conqueror, in the ninth month of his Buddhahood of the full moon of Phussa, himself set forth for the isle of Lanka, to win over that land for the For it was known to the Conqueror as a place where his doctrine should (thereafter) shine in glory; and (he knew that) from Lanka, filled as it was with Yakkhas, they must first be driven out. And he also knew that in the midst of Lanka, on the fair river bank, in the delightful Mahānāga garden, three yojanas wide, the (customary) meeting place for the Yakkhas, there was a great gathering of (all) the Yakkhas dwelling in that island. The Blessed One went struck terror in their hearts by rain, storm, darkness, etc., but released them from fear. 135 The Yakkha city in Lankā was called Sirīsavatthu. 136

But, according to Buddhist conceptions, the Yak-khas were not altogether devoid of virtues. Thus in the *Dhammādhamma Putta Cariyama*, we are told that when the Boddhisatta was a great Yakkha named Dhamma with supernatural power, he had compassion on all the world. He was engaged in performing virtuous deeds. Surrounded by his followers, he used to go from place to place. A miserly (mean) Yakkha committing ten evil deeds, also used to go from place to place with his followers. These two were enemies to each other.

Once their cars collided while going in opposite directions. It resulted in a quarrel between the two as regards the right of crossing the road. If Dhamma (only) grew angry with his enemy, or if he had broken his meritorious deeds, he could have turned his enemy with all his followers into particles (of ashes). But in order to protect his $s\bar{\imath}la$, he controlled himself and along with his followers allowed his enemy to go along the path. As his rival with his followers was, however, crossing the road, the earth opened wide and swallowed them up.¹³⁷

The allusions to the Yakṣas as given in the Mahā-vamso would lead one to suppose that they were natives only of the island of Ceylon. But this does not seem to be the case at all. From the Mahāvamso itself we learn that they were confined to the Himā-layan regions as well. The Rājataraṅgiṇī confirms this, while the works of Kālidāsa and Abhinava Paṁpā bring them to the Vindhyan tracts in the sixth and tenth centuries of the Christian era.

A definite reference to the Himālayan habitat of the Yakṣas is found in the Ayakūṭa Jātaka which narrates that when the Boddhisatta seeing that men were addicted to animal sacrifices, proclaimed by beat of drum that no living creature was to be put to death, the Yakkhas living in the Himālayas became angry at the Boddhisatta for cheating them of their offering. They then called together an assembly of their tribes and sent forth a certain savage Yakkha to slay the Boddhisatta. This Yakkha took a huge blazing mass of iron as big as the dome of a house, and wishing to strike a deadly blow immediately after the mid-watch, came and stood at the bed's head of the Boddhisatta. But, as narrated

above, S'akka frightened him and drove him away. 138

The Rājataraṅgiṇī of Kalhaṇa also locates the Yakṣas on the Himālayas, especially in Kās'mir. In the legend of king Dāmodara Suda II it is said that he endeavoured to get long stone-lined dykes built in his country by the Yakṣas, in order to guard against inundations.¹³⁹

Referring to the rise of Hinduism in Kās'mir, Kalhaṇa informs us that as the first Candradeva had stopped the plague of the Yakṣas, so the second (of that name) brought to an end in that land the intolerable plague of the Bhikṣus. 140

Mihirakula's name is also associated with the Yaksas in the following context in the same work. When he was diverting the water of the river called Candrakulyā, a rock in its middle, which could not be moved, impeded the work. Then Mihirakula performed a penance and was told in a dream by the gods that a powerful Yakṣa, who observed the vow of chastity, lived in that rock; and that it could be removed only when touched by a chaste woman. It is interesting to observe that a potter's wife named Candrāvatī alone could remove it.¹⁴¹

Of Pravarasena II it is said in the same historical narrative that he discovered the measuring line which the Vetāla (Rākṣasa) had laid down at the village S'ārīṭaka, where the goddess S'ārikā and the Yakṣa demon Aṭṭa resided. 142

The fact of the Yakṣas having made Kās'mir their home in the north and Ceylon in the south being thus confirmed by Hindu and Buddhist sources, it remains to be seen whether there is any evidence of their having occupied the Vindhya regions as well. In the celebrated lyric piece Meghadūta, the Yaksa who is

condemned by his master Kubera, takes up his abode on Rāmagiri in the Vindhya mountains. In the *Meghadūta* we have another example of a Yakṣa being raised to the highest pinnacle of poetical glory in Hindu literature.

On many occasions the Yakṣas appear on the scene in the Das'ākumāracarita. The queen Vasumatī is presented with an infant boy by a Yakṣa girl named Tārāvali. With the consent of the king of the Yakṣas, she had given the boy to the queen, so that he might serve her son Rājavāhana. Who and where this king of the Yakṣas was, we cannot make out. But the incident seems to have taken place in the forests of the Vindhyas. In other passages, Daṇḍin portrays the Yakṣas as evil spirits.

Abhinava Pampā introduces a Yakṣa king in the forest of the Vindhyas. 146

The Yaksas have often been ushered in history and literature along with another people—the Kinnaras. Like the Yaksas they too have been represented in Hindu mythology as attendants on Kubera. They are not unknown to Buddhist literature. The Great Being gathered fruit with a band of Kinnaras, on the mountains, and returned at evening time home, as is narrated in the $S\bar{a}ma\ J\bar{a}taka$.¹⁴⁷

The characteristic feature of the Kinnaras as given in Hindu literature, viz., that of being celestial musicians, is also mentioned in the Jātakas. In the Takkariya Jātaka it is related that a hunter while up in the regions of the Himālayas, by some means or other captured a brace of supernatural beings, a nymph and her husband, and then took them as a present to the king. It was then that the hunter related that the captured creatures could sing with a honey voice and

dance delightfully, and that no man could sing or dance so beautifully as they could. A Barhut relief strikingly illustrates this scene from the *Takkariya Jātaka*, and incidentally justifies our inclusion of the Kinnaras among the Kirātas, some tribes akin to whom, under the appellation of Parṇa-S'abaras, wore leaves. This relief in the Barhut Stūpa depicts the Kinnara couple mentioned above, in front of the king, up to their knees and wearing leaves of trees round the body. 149

The Kinnaras were sweet creatures. The Atta-sadda Jātaka speaks of a pair of Kinnaras who plucked and decked themselves with many flowers of choice hue and scent, and never noticed that the sun was setting and that darkness was falling as they were descending a hill.¹⁵⁰

The Jātakas also represent the Kinnaras as fairies and elves. One such Kinnara was Rahavatī who dwelt in a tree. She was married to a Brāhmaṇa hermit named Vaccha, as is told in the Mahā Ummagga Jātaka. 151

The Canda Kinnara Jātaka contains picturesque details regarding the location of the Kinnaras and their sportive habits. Once while king Brahmadatta was ruling at Benares, the Boddhisatta was born as a Kinnara on the Himālayas. His wife was named Candā. These two Kinnaras dwelt on a mountain top called Candapabbata. The king Brahmadatta entrusting the care of his government over to the charge of his ministers, wearing two yellow robes, and taking with him five weapons, left his capital and entered the regions of the Himālayas. There he lived on the flesh of the deer. Following the course of a rivulet, he ascended the Candapabbata.

Now, the Kinnaras who dwelt on this mountain were accustomed to descend to the lower plains only in summer and not in the rainy season. (At such a time) Canda Kinnara and his wife Canda, anointing themselves with sandal wood paste, eating the pollen of flowers, attiring themselves in parel made of flowers, swinging on creeper singing sweetly, came to that same rivulet which king Brahmadatta had reached. There they sported in water scattering flowers around. Again wearing flower gauze, they prepared a couch of flowers on the silvery sands of the river. Then taking a bamboo flute, they took their seat on the flowery couch. Canda Kinnara began to play on the flute and sang sweetly (too). At this Canda manipulating her hands, danced at a little distance from him. It was at this juncture that king Brahmadatta, falling in love with Canda, determined to kill her husband, in order to win her for himself. In the advice which S'akka, who had come to the scene having been invoked by Canda, gave her, when she lay pierced by a shaft from the quiver of the king, almost on the point of dying, viz., that they-the Kinnaras-should never leave their mountain homes¹⁵² and tread the path of men-, we have an explanation of the fact of the Kinnaras having been confined only to the poetic regions of the Himālayas and of their absence in general in the world of men.

It is very interesting to mention here the happy discovery by Professor Jitendra Nath Banerjee of a Gāndhāra relief in the Indian Museum at Calcutta, representing a version of the same Canda Kinnara Jātaka and showing the Kinnara couple as two ordinary human beings clothed in heavy drapery peculiar to the locality (viz., the Swat Valley in the North Western

Frontier from which place this fragmentary relief hails). 153

That the Hindu authors also associated the Himālavan regions with the Kinnaras is proved by the statements in the Meghadūta and the Kādambari. the former Kālidāsa writes thus while describing the snow clad Himālayas which the Cloud had to visit:-There the bamboos, as they are filled with the wind, produce pleasing sounds while the victory over Tripura is sung in chorus by the wives of the Kinnaras: if your thunder, then, resounding in the caves, will be as deep as the sound of the tabor, the apparatus of S'iva's concert there will surely be complete. 154 again, the Kinnaras are introduced in the city of Kubera, Alaka, thus: Where lovers, having inexhaustible treasure in their houses and accompanied by celestial damsels, the best courtezans, enjoy every day holding conversations in the outer garden called Vaibharāja, in company with the Kinnaras having a voice and singing loudly the glory of melodious Kubera, 155

We have already remarked how Kālidāsa, while dealing with the expeditions of Raghu and the northern habitat of the Kinnaras, describes the Kinnaras living on the Himālayas. Together with the Utsavasanketas they were conquered by Raghu.

Bāṇa's evidence seems to confirm that of his predecessors in regard to the northern home of the Kinnaras. Mahāsveta tells Candrāpīḍa that Hemakūṭa was pleasant, the royal city of Citraratha marvellous, the Kinnara country curious, the Gandharva world beautiful, and Kādambarī noble and generous of heart. 156

CHAPTER I

POLICY OF THE HINDU RULERS TOWARDS THE WILD TRIBES

- 1. How their presence in the country has been ignored and the havor they caused underestimated, may be seen from the statements of modern writers on Indian history. Dr. Vincent Smith wrote thus in his Early History of India:—"In those days vast territories were still covered by forest, the home of countless wild beasts and scanty tribes of savage men; while regions of great extent in Northern India had been occupied for untold centuries by more or less civilized communities of the highest races who, from time to time, during the unrecorded past, had pierced the mountain barriers of the north-western frontier." Early History, p. 28. Oxford, 1914.
 - 2. McCrindle, India as described by Ktesias, pp. 23-24. Calcutta, 1882.
 - 3. Ibid, p. 86.
 - 4. Arthas astra, Bk. II, Ch. I., p. 51, Shama Sastry, Mysore, 1923.
 - 5. Ibid, Bk. III. Ch. XVI. pp. 366-7.
 - 6 & 7. Ibid, Bk. VIII. Ch. IV. p. 389.
 - 8. Ibid, Bk. IX. Ch. III. p. 403.
 - 9. Ibid, Bk. X. Ch. II. p. 423.
 - 10. Ibid, Bk. IX. Ch. I. p. 397.
 - 11. Ibid, Ch. II. p. 400.
- 12. Ibid, Bk. VII. Ch. VIII. p. 343. The five kinds of armies mentioned by Kautalya gave place to six in the times of Kālidāsa. Raghuvams'a, IV, 26. Mallinātha explains the six kinds of armies thus:— maulāh or those who are born and here-

ditary soldiers; $bhrty\bar{a}h$ or those who are paid soldiers; $s\bar{a}hr$. $d\bar{a}h$ or those who are allies; s'renayah or mercenary soldiers; divisadah or those who are won over from the enemy's camp (or those who are ill-disposed towards him against whom a campaign is conducted;) and finally, $\bar{a}tavik\bar{a}h$ or those who comprise wild tribes.

- 13. Arthas'āstra, Bk. IX. Ch. II. p. 400.
- 14. Ibid, p. 401.
- 15. Ibid, Ch. III. p. 403.
- 16. Ibid, Bk. VII. Ch. VIII. p. 343.
- 17. S'ukranīti, I. 11. 506-12, p. 34. (Sarkar, Allahabad, 1913).
- 18. Ibid, IV. Sec. vii. 11. 27-28, p. 217. Brhaspati has some remarks to make on wild tribes attached to armies. Brhaspati, p. 241 (SBE Vol. XXXII.). For some notes on wild tribes, read The Indian Antiquary, VI. pp. 133-35.
 - 19. S'ukranīti, IV. Sec. v. II. 44-45, p. 185.
 - Hultzsch, Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum (Edicts of As'oka) I. p. 95.
 - 21. Ibid, p. 62.
 - 22. Ibid, pp. 99-100.
 - 23. *Ibid*, p. 127.
 - 24 & 25. Ibid, pp. 69, 70.
 - 26. Ibid, p. 52.
 - 27. Ibid, pp. 105-106.
 - 28. Amuktamālyada, IV. 206; Journal of Indian History, IV. p. 65.
 - 29. Amuktamālyada, IV. 224; JIH, IV. p. 67.
 - 30 Amuktamālyada, IV. 256; JIH, IV. p. 72.
 - 31. Amuktamālyada, IV. 221; JIH, IV. p. 66.
 - 32. Amuktamālyada, IV 225; JIH, IV. p. 67.
 - 33. Amuktamālyada, IV. 222-223, 257; JIH, IV. pp. 67-72.

CHAPTER II

THE KIRATAS

- Manu, X. 43-44, See also ibid pp. 412-13 (SBE-Vol. XXV), Calcutta, 1904.
- Pargiter, Mārkandeya Purāna, pp. 322, n. 333, Calcutta 1904.
- 3. Mahābhārata, Anus'āsana Parva, XXXV, p. 226; As'-medha Parva, XXIV, p. 74; Sabhā Parva, XIV, LII, pp. 44, 145. (Roy) Cf. Bhagavat Gītā, pp. 294-5. (SBE. Vol. VIII).
- 4. McCrindle, India as described by Megasthenes and Arrian, pp. 75, n., 132, n. Calcutta, 1877.
- 5. McCrindle, Ancient India as described by Ptolemy, pp. 191-92, Calcutta. 1885.
- 6. Gerini, Researches into Ptolemp's Geography of Eastern Asia, p. 28 London, 1909.
- 7. Ibid, pp. 51-52. See also Dey, Geographical Dicty. of Ancient and Mediaeval India, p. 100, 2nd ed. London, 1927.
- 8. Sabhā Parva, XXX, p. 86.
- 9. Udyoga Parva, XIX. p. 43.
- 10. Sabhā Parva, XXVI. p. 79.
- 11. Vana Parva, LI. p. 155.
- 12. Sabhā Parva, IV. p. 9.
- 13 & 14. Bhīs'ma Parva, IX. pp. 32-33.
- 15 & 16. Viṣṇu Purāṇa. pp. 175, 190. (Wilson, London, 1840) Cf. Garuḍa Purāṇa Ch. 55, V. 5, p. 139, where it is stated that the Kirātas live in the east, the Yavanas in the west, the Āndhras in the south, and the Turuṣkas in the north.

- 17. Mārkandeya Purāna, p. 284.
- Agni Purāṇa. I. CXVIII. p. 473 (Dutt, Calcutta, 1903-4. 2 Vols.).
- 19. Mārkaņdeya Purāņa, p. 322.
- 20. Ibid, pp. 345, 347.
- 21. Ibid, p. 369.
- 22. Ibid, p. 379.
- 23. Ibid, p. 383.
- 24. Ibid, p. 369, n.
- 25. Matsya Purāņa, I. CXIV, p. 307. (Taluqdar, Sacred Books of the Hindus Series).
- 26. Ibid, p. 308.
- 27. Ibid, CXXI, p. 327.
- 28. *Ibid*, CXIV, p. 310.
- 29. Bṛhatsamhitā, XIV, 18, 29-30, pp. 90, 92. (Kern., Calcutta, 1895). The Bṛhatsamhitā also speaks of a Kirāta king. Ibid, IX. 17; XI. 54. See also Bhā-gavata Purāṇa, II, 4. 18; IX, 20, 30.
- 30. Raghuvams'a, IV. 77-78.
- 31. Kādambarī, Purv. p. 270. Kane, Bombay, 1914. See also, p. 90 Ridding (Miss), London, 1896.
- 32. As'vamedha Parva, LXXXIII, p. 209.
- 33. Sabhā Parva, XXXII, p. 94.
- 34. Ibid, XXXI p. 87.
- 35. Abhinava Pampā, Pampā Bhārata VII. p. 157. Rice Bangalore, 1898. For his date, ibid, Intr. p. 1.
- 36. Pampā, Rāmāyana, VII. vv. 109-115, pp. 193-5. Rice, Bangalore, 1892.
- 37. Nijagunayogi, Vivekacintāmaņi, pp. 423-4. Bangalore, 1893.
- 38. Risley, Castes and tribes of Bengal, I. p. 490.
- 39. Banerjee, Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal for 1873, No. 187; IA, III. pp. 178-9.

- 40. Bird, The Political and Statistical History of Gujarat, Intr. p. 10; London, MDCCCXXXV.
- 41. Rose, A Glossary of the Tribes and Castes of the Panjab.
 and North-West Frontier Provinces, etc. I., pp. 634-5.
 Lahore, 1919.
- 42. Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society for 1925, p. 236 and ibid, n. (1). Grierson maintains that the word citada is the same as Kirāta. Ibid, pp. 234-5.
- Rāmāyaṇa, Kiṣk. Kaṇḍa, XL. 30. Gorresio, Parigi. M. DCCCXLIII. Gerini locates them on the Indo--Chinese seaboard. Ptolemy, p. 256, n.
- 44. Sabhā Parva, LVII. p. 144.
- 45. Drona Parva, CXII. pp. 321-2.
- 46. McCrindle, India as described by Megasthenes and Arrian, pp. 173-4.
- 47. Das'akumāracarita, Purv. Ucch. II.p. 24. Bombay, 1925. See also S'ri Harşa Deva, Ratnāvali, II. 29, p. 21, Bombay, 1882.
- 48. Das'akumāracarita, Purv. Ucch. III. p. 104. The author calls the Kirāta also Bhilla and Lubdhaka. Ibid, pp. 103-4.
- 49. Ibid, Ucch. VIII. p. 203.
- 50. Narasimācārya Kavicarite, II. p. 219, Bangalore, 1924
- 51. Mārkaṇdeya Purāṇa, Ch. LXXXII. vv. 10-18.
- 52. Ibid, pp. 473-74.
- Hirananda Sastri, Memoirs of the Archaeological Survey of India, No. 20, p. 12.
- 54. Mārkaņdeya Purāṇa, Ch. II. v. 107 Ck. Das'akumāra-carita, Ucch. VIII. p. 204, where the goddess Vindhyāvāsinī is pictured as a prophet and a saviouress.
- 55. Hirananda Sastri, ibid, p. 16.
- 56. Ibid, p, 12.
- 57. Foucher, Iconographie buddhique, p. 78.
- 58. Das, JASB, II. p. 116.

- 59. Epigraphia Indica, XI. p. 302. See also Pargiter, Mark. Purāṇa, Intr. pp. xiii-xiv, where Devī Māhātmya is said to be not later than the ninth century A. D. There is every reason to suggest that this Vindhyan deity had travelled as far as the Sahyas or the northern part of the Western Ghats. See Mṛcchakaṭika, X. p. 279. I am indebted to my colleague Professor K. N. Watwe for this reference. B. A. S.
 - 60. Das akumāracarita, Purv. Ucch. I. pp. 14-15, Ucch. VI. p. 149. See also *ibid*, Ucch. VIII. p. 206 where Vindhyāvāsinī is called Durgā.
 - 61. Harivams'a, Ch. LVIII. vv. 6-8. Calcutta, 1897.
 - 62. Devi Māhātmya as given in the Mārk. Purāņa pp-474-5.
 - 63. Kādambarī, pp. 331 seq. (Kale, 1914. 3rd. ed.); pp. 94-98 (Kane, 2nd ed. 1914.).
 - Epigraphia Carnatica, VII. Sk. 137, p. 104; Rice,
 Mysore and Coorg from the Inscriptions, pp. 72-3.
 - 65. EC. XII. Tp. 61, p. 55.
 - 66. 128 of the Madras Epigraphical Report for the year 1901; South Indian Inscriptions, VII. No. 328, p.168.
 - 67. EC. VIII. Tl. 13, p. 165.
 - 68. Cf. JASB for 1873, op. cit.
 - 69. Bhīs'ma Parva, L. p. 186.
 - 70. Karna Parva LXXIII. p. 271.
 - 71. Drona Parva, CXIX. p. 349.
 - 72. & 73. Smith, EHI., pp. 93, 107.
 - 74 & 75. Bostock-Riley, The Natural History of Pliny, II. pp. 59, and ibid, n, (39), 60. London, MDCCCLV.
 - 76. Ptolemy, Bk. VI. cap. 12, sec. 4; Gerini, Ptolemy, p. 830, n. (1).
 - 77. Muarārākṣasa, II. See also ibid Act. III where on the occasion of the feigned quarrel, Cānakya tells Candragupta about the officers who, dissatisfied with Candragupta's government, had

sought service under Malayaketu. The latter was preparing to attack Candragupta with a large army of Mlecchas.

- 78. Bühler, *JRAS* for 1898 p. 386; Smith, *EHI*. pp. 283-4.
- 79. Fleet, Cor. Inscr. Ind., III. p. 13.
- 80. Smith, ibid.
- 81. A. V. Venkatrama Iyer, JIH, I p. 86.
- 82. Fleet, ibid, pp. 114, 116.
- 83. Brhatsamhitā, XIV. 29-30
- 84. EC. III. Md. 113, p. 51.
- 85. EC. II. p. 119 (1st ed.)
- 86. EC. XII. Si. 28, p. 92; Rice, Mys. A Gazetteer, I. p. 307 (rev. ed.)
- 87. EC. III. TN. 44, p. 76.
- 88. EC. IV. Ch. 83, p. 10.
- 89. EC. VII. Sh. 2. p. 3.
- 90. Mysore Inscriptions, p. 310; Rice, Mysore and Coorg., pp. 129-130

CHAPTER III

THE S'ABARAS

- 1. Amarakos'a, II, 20-21.
- 2. Arthas'āstra, p. 46. (text). Mysore, 1909. Dr. Shama Sastry translates the word S'abara into archer, and Pulinda, hunter. Trans. p. 49.
- 3 Das'akumāracarita, Pur. pp. 14-15. op. cit.
- 4. Aitareya Brāhmaṇa, VII. 18. Cf. S'ankhāyana Sūtra. XV. 16.
- 5. Adi Parva, CLXXVII. p. 504. Cunningham has some interesting observations to make on the name S'abara.

- He traces the origin of the word to the Scythian sagari meaning an axe. ASR., XVII. p. 113.
- McCrindle, India as described by Megasthenes, p. 149.
 In a note on the same page, McCrindle identifies the S'abaras with the Sauviras.
- 7. Pliny, Nat. Hist. VI. 22, 6; McCrindle, IA. VI. p. 127
- 8. McCrindle, Ptolemy, p. 172.
- 9. Rāmāyaņa, Ādi kāṇḍa, I. p. 59; Araṇya kāṇḍa, LXXVII. 6-32.
- 10. Ibid, Aranya kanda, LXXI, 23, 26.
- 11. Bṛhatsamhitā, XIV, 9-10, p. 89.
- 12. Ibid, XVI. I, P. 99.
- 13. Ibid, p. 29.
- 14. IA. XXII. p. 188.
- 15. Gerini, *Ptolemy*, pp, 749, 808. Cunningham had of course pointed this out long ago, as will be seen presently.
- 16. & 17. Mārkandeya Purāna, pp. 335, 361.
- Matsya Purāṇa, I. Ch. CXIV. p. 309. In the Bhāgavata Purāṇa the S'abaras are mentioned as a low caste. II.
 Geombay Skt. Series).
- 19. Cunningham, Ancient Geography of India, pp. 508-9 (1st ed). Mr. Charles Oldham conjectures that in the first centuries of the Christian era, they occupied the inland hill and jungle country to the south and south-east of the modern Ranchi district watered by the Brāhmaṇī and its tributaries, and by the Ib and the other tributaries of the Mahānadī. Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research Society, XIII. P. 198. Cunningham had already found them extending from the Mahānadī down to the sea-coast of Ganjam. See below ASR, XXI. pp. 94-5.
 - 20 & 21. Cunningham ASR, XXI. pp. 94-5.
 - 22. McCrindle, Ptolemy, pp. 173; Oldham, ibid.
 - 23. McCrindle, ibid.

- 24. Risley, Castes and Tribes of Bengal, II. pp. 241-246; The Madras Census Report for 1891, p. 254; Pargiter Markandeya Purana, p. 335. n.; Gerini, Ptolemy, p. 73.
- 25. Cunningham, ASR. XXI. p. 93. On Sagar, a jāgīr in the Shāhpur town of the Gulburga district, read Epigraphia Indica, XII. pp. 272-3.
- 26. Pargiter, Mārkaṇḍeya Purāṇa, p. 361, n. The Gonds too perhaps belonged to the same tribe. Oppert, Original Inhabitants of the Bhāratvarṣa Intr. p. 110, and ibid, n. (70). Westminister, 1893.
 - 27. Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute for 1875, IV. p. 376. n.; Gerini, Ptolemy, p. 808.
 - 28. Rice, Mysore-A Gazetteer, pp. 209-210.
 - 29. Kādambari, Purv. p. 12. (Kane, Bombay. 1920).
 - 30. Ibid. Purv. pp. 27-8 (Ridding).
 - 31. Mahābhārata, Ādi Parva, CXXXII.
 - 32 & 33. Kādambari pp. 28-30 (Ridding).
 - 34. Kavicarite, II. p. 342
 - 35. Taylor, A Catalogue Raisonee of Oriental Manuscripts, III, p. 472. Madras, 1857-1862.
- 36. Mudrārākṣasa, V. v. II. p. 75 (text). In the same drama we are told that five Mleccha kings-Citravāhana of Kulūta, Simhanāda of Malayades'a, Pus'karākṣa of Kās'mira, Sindhus'ena of Sindhudes'a, Meghakoṣa, the lord of the Pārasikas-followed the lead of Rākṣasa. Act I. v. 19. (text). This entire force of the Mlecchas was captured by Cāṇakya, and, later on, put to death. Act V. pp. 78, 82 (text).
 - 37. Geiger-Bode, Mahavamso, p. 27. Some maintain that Candragupta was not low-boin. IHQ., VIII, p. 466 seq.
 - 38. Mudrārākṣasa, Acts I & III; ibid, pp. 4. 38 (trans.).
 - 39. The Upanisads, v. 13. pp. 218.9 (SBE, XV).

- 40. The Sacred Laws of the Aryas, p. II. p. 243 (SBE. XIV.)

 Of. The Grhya Sūtras, p. 87 (SBE. XXX).
- 41. Manu, v. 16. p. 255 (SBE, XXV.).
- 42. Viṣṇu Purāṇa, Bk. III. Ch. 10 v. 9 See also ibid, Bk. IV. Ch. XXIV.p. 479 (Or. Trans. Fund).
- 43. Anus'āsana Parva, XLVIII. p. 273.
- 44. Manu, X. 34. The Bhāgavata Purāṇa calls the Dāsas fishermen. Bhāgavata Purāṇa, IX. 22, 20. On the Dāsas, read, H. G. Bengeri, Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute for 1927 (Vol. VIII). pp. 295-304.
 - 45. Fleet, Cor. Inscr. Ind. III. pp. 16. 28, 44 & 51.
- 46. Manu, X. 22-37. On their origin, read, Fleet, *ibid*, Intr. pp. 185. In Buddhist literature they are represented as a ruling race. Cowell, Jātakas, III. p. 1. On their Persian affinities, read IA, XXXVII, p. 78, seq.; on their Tibetan affinities, read IA. XXXII. pp. 233-6. Read also Mr. S. Chakravarty's pertinent remarks on their non-Kṣatriya origin, IHQ. IX. pp. 439-447.
 - 47. Baudhāyana, Dharmasūtra, I. 1, 32; Jayaswal, ABORI,
 p. 53. Read also Jayaswal's History of India, p. 115.
- 48. On the expression svayam magadha kulam applied to Candragupta, read Kaumudīmahotsava, pp. 10, 30 edited by M. R. Kavi and S. K. R. Sastri, Madras, 1929; Jayaswal ABORI, XII. ibid.
 - 49. Fleet, *ibid*, III. p. 293. Read also Muzumdar, EI. XI, pp. 103-4.
 - 50. IA. VIII. pp. 278-9, 283, seq; XXII. p. 188; Manual of the Salem Dt. II. pp. 360, 364; JRAS (NS) XVII, p. 203; Fleet, ibid, p. 293.
- 51. Fleet, *ibid*; Bombay Gazetteer, I. P. I. pp. 326-27. On Heras's suppositions concerning Udayacandra and Nandivarma, read his Studies in Pallava History, pp. 58-59. Madras, 1933.

- 52. Mahm. Harprasad Sastri, JASB for 1902, pp. 2-7; N. N. Vasu, Modern Buddhim and its Followers in Orissa Intr. p. 15; Smith, EHI. pp. 400, 403, n. (1); R. G. Basak, EI. XII. p. 38.
 - 53. Wilson, Mackenzie Collection, I. pp. cxx, cxxiv; Rice, Mysore Inscrp. Intr. pp. lii-liv.
- 54. Thurston, Castes and Tribes of Southern India, II. pp. 328 seq.; Taylor Cat. Raisonee., III. p. 466; Sewell, Lists of Antiquarian Remains in the Madras Presidency. I. P. 172. We do not know in what manner they were connected with the Yerkalas, another jungle tribe mentioned in IA. IX. p. 210.
- 55. EC. II. Intr. p. 18, n. (7); EC. VI. Kd. 147. p. 28. Rice identified Mārasingha with one of the chieftains of the west of the Chitaldroog district. If so, he must himself, as we shall, narrate in a subsequent chapter, have been a Bedar.
 - 56. Pampā Rāmāyaņa, IV. vv. 37-8, pp. 82-80.
 - 57. Aiyangar, The Sources of Vijayanagara History, pp. 91 98. Madras, 1919. Cf Somanatha, Vyāsayogicaritam, Intrp. cx. (Ed. Venkoba Rao, Bangalore).
 - 57 (a) Rice, Mysore and Coorg, Map.
 - 57 (b) S'ivattatvaratnākara, The Sources, p. 199.
- 58. Linganna, Keladi Nripa Vijaya, India Office Ms. Copy, pp. 13-4. Dr. R. Shama Sastry's edition of the same work is unfortunately inaccessible to me just as I am writing this book. B. A. S.
 - 59. Anus'āsana Parva, XIV. p. 62.
 - 60. & 61. Pampā Rāmāyaņa, VIII. v. 14, pp. 269, 121.
 - 62. Ibid, IV. v. 16, p. 98.
 - 63. EC. II. pp. 19, 120. (1st ed.) See above note 55.
 - 64. Mysore Archaeological Report for 1913-4, p. 6.
 - 65. Jaina Sūtras, Bk. II. pp. 366-7. (SBE. Vol XLV.)

CHAPTER IV

THE BEDARS

- I. JRAS for 1929, pp. 363-4. Enthoven writes Berads which is evidently an error for Bedars. B.A.S.
- 2. Rose, Castes And Tribes of the Panjab, I, p. 345.
- 3. Taylor, Catalogue Raisonnee, III. pp. 398, 409; Wilson Glossary of Indian Terms, p. 70. This error may be traced to Buchanan, A Journey from Madras, etc. I. pp. 358-60. (London, 1807).
- 4. Taylor, *ibid* pp. 430-1.
- 5. Ibid, p. 398.
- 6. Sewell, Lists of Antiquarian Remains. I p. 148.
- 7. Crole Chingleput District Manual, p. 438; Sewell, ibid, p. 165.
- 8. Taylor, ibid, pp. 430-1, op. cit.
- 9. Read Saletore, Social and Political life in the Vijaya-nagara Empire, II. pp. 38-49.
- Sewell, ibid, I. pp. 155, 157, 162, 16), and 191; Crole, ibid, pp. 88, 178, 229.
- 11 & 12. Sewell, *ibid*, pp. 149, 177.
- 13 & 14. Taylor, ibid, pp. 397, 695.
- 15. On the Kallars, read Taylor, *Ibid*, pp. 385-6. Relevant portions of Thurston's *Castes and Tribes in Southern India* may also be read with profit. See also *Local Records*, LIII. p. 209.
- 16-18. Taylor, ibid, pp. 464-5, 471.
- 19. Ibid, p. 229.
- 20. Cunningham, Ancient Geography of India, pp. 508-9; IA. VI. p. 127. n.
- 21 & 22 Sabhā Parva, XXX. pp. 85-6.
- 23. Bhīs'ma Parva, IX. p. 32.

- 24. Geiger-Bode, Mahāvamso, p. 14, and ibid, n. 2. For further notices of the Mallas, read Pargiter, Mārkandeya Purāna pp. 330, and ibid, n.; Risley, Castes and Tribes of Bengal, II. pp. 51, 66; Oppert, Madras Journal of Literature and Science for 1886, p. 46 seq. Dr. B. C. Law, Kṣatriya Clans in Buddhist India, pp. 162-180. (Calcutta-Simla, 1922). On the defeat of asura Malla-from whom is derived the word Mallari, Malahāri (Mailār?)-read, Bombay Gazetteer, II. p. 243.
 - 25. Mālavikāgnimitra, v. 10, p. 147. (Bombay, 1889.)
 - 26. Kavicharite, II. p. 426. (rev. ed. 1924).
 - 27. Ibid, p. 199. (1st ed.) See also ibid, pp. 247-48, 342. Siddhalinga-yogi calls them in circa 1600 S'abaras. Ibid, pp. 323-4.
 - 28. Mysore Archaeological Report for 1928, p. 91.
 - 29. Ibid, for 1923, p. 83.
 - 30. EC. VIII. Sb. 240, p. 37.
 - 31 & 32. Ibid, Sb. 202, Sb. 203, p. 32.
 - 33. EC. IV. Ng. 23, p.117.
 - 34. Fleet, Dyn. Kan. Dist. p. 43. Rice Mysore and Coorg., p. 73.
 - 35. EC. VIII. Sb. 184, pp. 29-30.
 - 36 & 37. Ibid, Sa. 108 and Sa. 109 (bis), pp. 113-4.
 - 38. Fleet, ibid, p. 45.
 - 39. EC. VII Sk. 83, pp. 57-8.
 - 40. There is a Barmma Deva in the line of the Kadambas. He was the father of Boppa Deva. Rice, Mys. and Coorg. p, 28.
 - 41. Mysore Archl, Report for 1927, p. 122.
 - 42 Fleet, ibid, p. 49; Rice, ibid, p. 73.
 - 43. EC. VII. Sk. 205, pp. 128, text, p. 293.
 - 44. Rice, Mysore Inscriptions, pp. 173-4.
 - 45. EC. VII. Sk. 115. p. 85.
 - 46. *Ibid*, Hl. 65, p. 172.
 - 47 & 48. EC. VIII. Sb. 7, & Sb. 12, pp. 2, 3.

- 49. Ibid, Sb. 175 & 176, p. 25. We do not know whether this Madasale Kāļarasa was the same Kāļarasa mentioned in A. D. 1115.
- 50. EC. VII. Sk. 78, p. 56.
- 51. EC. VIII. Sb. 6, p. 2.
- 52. EC. VII. Sk. 75, p. 56.
- 53. EC. VIII. Sb. 249, p 39.
- 54. Das'akumāracarita, Ucch. III. p 104.
- 55. JASB, XL., 347-388; Read also Oppert, Madras Journal of Literature and Science for 1866, p. 112, ns. 71 & 72; IA. IV. pp. 336-8.
- 56. They were noticed in the south along with the Mīnavars (Mīnas) by Ptolemy in A. D. 140. See Smith, EHI, p. 439.
- 57. Rice says they were Ceras. EC. IX. Intr. pp. 16, 18. Admitting that the Ceras had a bow on their standard, we cannot understand how the statements referring to their complete destruction and to their having been driven to the jungles can be justified if they had been only a people who belonged to the ancient Cera nation.
 - 58. EC. IX. Dv. 75, pp. 83-4.
 - 59. EC. X. Sd. 66, p. 187.
 - 60. Ibid, Kl. 149 (a), p. 52.
 - 61. EC. III. Nj. 29, p. 98, TN. 7, p. 70, TN. 71. p. 82.
 - 62. EC IX. NI. 3, p. 30, Kn. 12, p. 122.
 - 63. Rice, Mysore Gazetteer, I. p. 311.
 - 64 & 65. Forbes, $R\bar{a}s\ M\bar{a}l\bar{a}$, pp. 40-41, 43. (1878).
 - 66 & 67. Bird, History of Gujarat, pp. 139-40. Forbes says that the date of the foundation of Patan was A. D. 746. Rās Mālā, pp. 28-30.
 - 68. Sewell, A Forgotten Empire-Vijayanagar, p. 299, n.(1).
 - 69. Saletore, Social and Political Life., I. pp. 83-89.
 - 70. Forbes, ibid, p. 136.

- Tod, Annals of Rajasthan, I. Ch. II. pp. 121, 238 seq.;
 IA. XV. p. 275, n.; Fleet, Cor. Insrept. Ind. III. p. 186,
 n. (1).
- 72. Read, IA. IV. pp. 336-8 for a fuller account.
- 73 & 74. Rice, Mysore and Coorg. pp. 85-6. In My Insc. p-XLV Rice conjectures circa A. D. 894 to be the date of the capture of Talakād by the Colas.
- 75. Rice, Coorg Inscriptions, p. 5. (1886).
- The identification of these Four Malepas is 76. Ibid, p. 7. a difficult question. Rice has conflicting accounts to give on the In his earlier work-Mysore Inscriptions-he writes the following:-That during the long time which followed capture of Talakad by the Colas till the rise to power of the Hoysalas (viz., from A. D. 1004 till A. D. 1116)- which Rice reckoned to be 200 years! - nine brothers called Nava Dannāyakas set up their principality in the Bettadakote on the Gopālaswāmi Hill (to the south west of Gundalpet tāluka) in the south of Mysore. The chief of the nine brothers was Perumale Dannāyaka. Four brothers led by Bhima Dannāyaka quarrelled with the rest of the five and set up a separate principality at Nagarapura (Nañjanguḍ) and Ratnāpuri (Heḍatale). time later they returned to attack Bettadakote which fell by a strategem into their hands after a siege of three years. defence of the citadel had been entrusted to Manca Dannayaka who, seeing the fall of the fort, mounted on a horse, leaped down the hill and was killed. The four victorious brothers placing a junior member of the family over the government of Bettadakote, set forth on their conquests in the course of which they penetrated as far as Goa in the north, Davasibetta (the southern point of Coorg) in the south, Bisale Ghat (in the north-west of Coorg) in the west, and Satyamangalam (on the Bhavani in Coimbatore) in the east. These were the Male Rajas or Hill Chiefs and the Malepar whom the Hoysalas claimed to have subdued. Mysore Inscriptions Intr. pp. LXXVI-LXXVII.

But Rice contradicts himself in his account of the same chieftains as given in his Mysore and Coorg from the Inscriptions. While tracing the history of the Padinālkunād, he mentions a Singeya Daṇṇāyaka as one of the rulers of the principality in A. D. 1318. "Descendants from these were the Nava Daṇṇāyakas of tradition, nine brothers, identified with Beṭṭadakoṭe, the fort on the Gopālaswāmi hill, the chief of whom was Perumāl-daṇṇāyaka." And then Rice gives the story we have enumerated above. Mysore and Coorg., pp. 153-4.

Now, the source of information for both these statements-viz, that the Nava Dannāyakas were the ancestors of the ruler Singeya Dannāyaka and that they were the descendants of that ruler-is tradition. Of these two assertions we are inclined to accept the former as valid. The Nava Dannāyakas were anterior to Mādhava Dannāyaka, the grandfather of Singeya Dannāyaka, on the following grounds:—

- (a) We cannot conceive of nine brothers conquering that wide stretch of territory represented by Goa in the north and Devasibetta in the south in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries without coming into conflict with the five famous brothers—Harihara, Bukka, Mārapa, Muddapa and Kampana—the founders of the great Vijayanagara Empire. The history of the conquests of these brothers makes no mention of the nine brothers. Therefore, the latter could only have belonged to an earlier age.
- (b) If the Nava Dannāyakas had really belonged to the four-teenth century, we cannot explain one significant title which the Hoysalas appended when they rose to power in the eleventh century, viz., Maleparol Gandān, which was one of the most significant birudus of the Hoysala rulers.
- (c) Finally, we know that in A. D. 977 there existed Four Malepas. This statement agrees admirably with the statement of tradition that long ago there were four brothers-the Four Dannayakas whose deeds have been eulogized in tradition.

These considerations compel us, therefore, to assign the Nava Dannayakas to the last quarter of the tenth century A. D.

- 77. Rice, Mysore and Coorg., pp. 86, 95; EC. III. TN. 44, p. 76, text, p. 222.
- 78. EC. VII. Sk. 136, pp. 102 3.
- 79. Ibid, Sk. 99. p. 66.
- 80. Ibid, Sk. 99. pp. 68, text, p. 187.
- 81. Fleet, Dyn. Kan. Dist., p. 65.
- 82. Rice, ibid. p. 94.
- 83. Rice, Coorg Inscr. p. 11. EC. VI. Intr. p. 14.
- 84. Rice, Mysore and Coorg., pp. 86, 142.
- 85. For the story of how they came to be called Poy-Salas or Hoy-Salas, read, Rice, *ibid*, p. 95.
- 86. Ibid, pp. 86, 95. 6 10000
- 87. On Nrpa Kama, read, ibid, p. 97.
- 88. EC. VI. Kd. 22, p. 5.
- 89. EC. IV. Kr. 49, p. 107.
- 90. EC. VI. Kd. 2, p. 1.
- 91. On the extent of his kingdom, read, Rice, Mysore and Coorg., p. 98.
- 92. EC. III. Ml. 21, pp. 59, text, p. 177.
- 93. EC. V. Bl. 58, p. 57.
- 94. EC. III. Sr. 74, p. 25.
- 95. Ibid, TN. 31, p. 73.
- 96. EC. VII. Sh. 5, p. 10; EC. IV. Ng. 29, p. 118.
- 97. EC. III. Md. 121, p. 53; TN. 97, p. 86, text, p. 289.
- 98. Ibid, TN. 97, p. 80; EC. IV. Ch. 13, p. 2; Gu. 69. p. 48.
- 99. EC. II. No. 56, p. 142 (1st ed.).
- 100. EC. IV. Ng. 32, p. 120, text, p. 341.
- 101. EC. VI. Kd. 149, p. 29.
- 102. Rice, Mysore Inscrp. p., 329.

- 103. Mysore Arch. Report for 1916, p. 53. The name Malepa is met with so late as A. D. 1722 when the Mysore ruler Cikka Devendra is said to have defeated a great many enemies among whom were the Malepas (Malegas) on the west coast. EC. III. Sr. 64, p. 20.
 - 104. EJ. VII. Sk. 214, p. 129,
 - 105. Ibid, Ci. 37, p. 184.
 - 106. EC. VI. Kd. 148, p. 28.
 - 107. Ibid, Tk. 84, p. 121.
 - 108. EC. VII. Hl. 48, p. 169. The hill chiefs of the north have also figured in the history of the Panjab. Read Irvine, Later Mughals, I. p. 84 seq.
 - 109. EC. VII. Ci. 53, p. 188.
 - 110. EC. VI. Tk. 55, p. 113-4. On Sameya-patra, read, Saletore, Social and Political Life., I. pp 171, 173, and 173, n. (2).
 - 111. EC. XI. Dg. 38, p. 47.
 - 112. Sewell, A Forg. Empire, p. 22, n.
 - EC. VIII. Nr. 29, pp. 131-2. See also Saletore, ibid,
 I. pp. 306, 319.
 - 114. Mys. Arch. Rept. for 1925, pp. 14-5; Saletore, ibid, II. p. 54, n. (6).
 - 115. Saletore, ibid, II. pp. 195-6. On the Bedars in the history of the village organization of Bettadapura, read Mys. Arch. Report for 1925, p. 14.
 - 116. Saletore, ibid, I. 137-8.
 - 117. EC. III. Hl. 6 and 7, p. 116. See also ibid, Intr p. 29.
 - 118. Bellary Gazetteer, p. 249.
 - 119. For a full account of the rulers, read EC. IX. Intr. pp. 28-9; Mysore Gazt. II. p. 501 seq. (rev. ed).
 - 120. Rice, Mysore and Coorg., pp. 159 60.
 - 121. EC. VII. Sk. 210, pp. 128-9.

155

- 122.Rice, Mysore and Coorg., p. 162; EC. IX. Intr. p. 28; Mysore Arch. Rep. for 1929, p. 22.
- 123.Sharma, QJMS. XX. pp. 2, 94, 100, 104. The Bedars have figured in the history of Ceylon where they were called The Veddah method of discharging arrows with the aid of pressure from the left foot on the extremity of the bow resting on the ground, may be compared to an identical method used by the infantry in the Mauryan times. It is not improbable that there were Bedars in the Mauryan army too. Smith, Oxford History of India, p. 64 (1920) where reference is given to Tennent, Ceylon, I. p. 499.
 - 124.Manucci, Storia do Mogor, II. cited by Prof. Sen. Military System of the Mahrattas, pp. 87-8. (Calcutta, 1928). Sen, ibid.
 - 125.
 - 126. Manucci, ibid. (30.480)32]
 - Basava Purāna Ch. 18, 9, 36; Murdoch, Catalogue of 127.Classified Tamil Books, p. 77; Taylor, Cat. Rais. III. pp. 457-8; EC. IV. Intr. p. 37; Mys. Arch. Report for 1925, p. 7.
 - Mys. Arch. Report for 1913-4, p. 19. 128.
 - Ibid, for 1917, p. 20. 129.
 - 130 & 131. Ibid for 1918, pp. 14, 17.
 - Rice, Kanarese Literature, p. 59. (1st ed). 132.
- Taylor, Cat. Rais. III. p. 408. An instance of a Sati 133. by a Bedar woman is recorded in a defaced record of the times of the Hoysala king Vīra Ballāla III. Mys. Arch. Report for 1928, p. 88.

CHAPTER V

MISCELLANEOUS TRIBES

- Ptolemy, VII. 1. 64; IA. LI. p. 219; Oppert, MJLS for 1886, pp. 114-5.
- 2. Geiger-Bode, Mahāvamso, p. 50. and ibid., n. (5).
- 3. Rāmāyaņa, Kiskindha kaņda, XLI. 17.
- 4. Sabhā Parva, XXIX. p. 84. It may have been the Pulindas who spread in the east. These were found around Magadha. Read IA. XLVII. p. 299. On some notices of the Pulindas, see IA. for 1923, p. 24 seq.
 - 5. Sabhā Parva, XXXI. p. 88.
 - 6. Bhīsma Parva, IX. p. 32.
 - 7 & 8. Viṣṇu Purāṇa, pp. 186, ibid, n. (15), 123.
 - 9. Mārkandeya Purāna, p. 335; Garuda Purāna, Ch. 55,
 v. 13, p. 140 (Calcutta, 1890).
 - 10-12. Matsya Purāṇa, pp. 309, 327.
 - 13. Bṛihatsamhitā, IV. 32; V. 39, 77-8; IX. 17, 29, 40; XVI. 2, 33.
 - 14. Bühler, Zeitschrift der deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft XL. p. 138. This error was repeated by Fleet, IA. XVII. p. 187, and again in ibid, XXII. p. 187, and by Smith, EHI. p. 184, n. (2). Dr. Bimala Churn Law unfortunately accepts it. Indian Culture I. p. 384, 390. Dr. Law identifies Pulindanagara with Rupanath. ibid, p. 383,
 - 15 Hultzsch, Cor. Insc. Ind. I. p. 48, and ibid, n. (14).
 - 16. & 17. Sharma, QJMS. XX. p. 90-1, 101.
 - Cennabasava Purāņa, 6, 48, 49 cited by Kittel in his edition of Nāgavarma's Cchandas. pp. 133-4. Mangalore, 1875.

- 19. Burgess-Sastri, Tamil and Sanskrit Inscriptions, I. p. 1135. We may note that Pulinda or Pulina was the name of Bāṇa's son who finished the uttarabhāga of the Kādambarī. S. R. Bhandarkar, Report on the search for Sanskrit Mss. for 1904-6. p. 39.
- 20. Kavicarite, II. pp. 247-8. Oppert quotes a verse from the $P\bar{a}r\bar{a}s'urapaddhati$ which mentions the Bhills, the Pulindas, the Pullas, the Mallas, etc. In this connection he has some pertinent remarks to make concerning the conjectures of Cunningham. Read MJLS for 1886, pp. 110, 112, and 112 n. (70).
 - 21. Manu, X. 8, 18. 48.
 - 22. Garuḍa Purāṇa, Chs. VI. v. 6, LV. v. 15, pp. 16, 140.
 - 23. Harivams'a, XV. v. 27; XXXIV. v. 33; XCIV. v. 32.
 - On Rudradāman's inscriptions, read Burgess, ASW. I, pp. 43, 129, 130-131; J. B. R. A. S. VIII, pp. 234-5; Law, Ancient Indian Tribes, II. p. 63.
 - 25. Pargiter, Dynasties of the Kali Age, p. 54. See also Jayaswal, History of India, pp. 124-131; Law, Ancient Indian Tribes, II. p. 63.
- 26. Vivekacintāmani, pp 422-3. See also Kittel, ibid, p. 134. Dr. Law's attempt to distinguish the Niṣādas from the Niṣādas (Ancient Indian Tribes, II. pp. 61-63) is, in my opinion, difficult to be followed. B. A. S.
 - 27. Fausböll, Jātakas, No. 514.
 - 28. Lassen, Indische Altertumskunde, III. pp. 235-7.
 - 29. McCrindle, Ptolemy pp. 70-1. See also McCrindle, Ancient India, p. 198, ns. (7) & (8). (London, 1901).
 - 30. Gerini, Ptolemy, pp. 116-7, 126.
 - 31. Rāmāyaṇa, Kiskindha kaṇḍa, XLI- 9, p. 1606 (Mudholkar, Bombay, 1912).
 - 32. Sewell, Lists., II. p. 141.
 - 33. Virāţa Parva, I. p. 2. In the same epic we are told that S'ikhandin married the daughter of the king of Das'ārņa named Hiranyavarman. Udyoga Parva, Ch. 190, p. 544.

- 34. Sabha Parva, XXIX. p. 84, XXXII. p. 93.
- 35. Bhīṣma Parva, IX. p. 32; L. p. 186. See also Viṣṇu Purāṇa, II. p. 159, n. where it is said that in the Bengal recension of the Rāmāyaṇa, Kiṣkindhā kanda XLI. 9, the Kukuras are mentioned immediately after the Das'ārṇas as a southern people. (Wilson).
 - 36. Visnu Purāna pp. 186, 192.
 - 37. Mārkandeya Purāna, pp. 296, 341-2, 360. On p. 360, n. Pargiter opines that the Das'ārnas were "altogether out of place here".
 - 38. Kautalaya Arthas' āstra, Bk. II. Ch. II. p. 54.
- 39. Matsya Purāṇa, I. pp. 308-310. Here the Matsya Purāṇa relates that the Mandākini, the Das'ārṇa, the Citrakāṭa, the Tāmasā, and other rivers have their source in the Rṣyamākha mountains. Ibid, I. Ch. CXIV. vv. 20-32, p. 308. Pargiter assumes that the Mandākini, the Das'ārṇa, and the Tāmasā rise in the Vindhyas, while the S'oṇa, the Mahānadi, and the Narmadā rise in the Rṣṣa mountains. Mārk. Purāṇa, p. 298, n.
 - 40. Harivains'a, Ch. XXIV. v. 20, XXXIX vv. 10-20.
 - 41. Brhatsamhita, V. 40, X. 15, XIV. 8-10, XVI 26, XXX.
- 11. The Bhāgavata Purāna also mentions the Das'ārna country but calls the Das'ārna people Das'ārha. I., 11, 11,; II, 14, 23; III.
- 1, 29; X. 45, 15; X. 47, 44; X. 78, 39; XI. 30, 18.
 - 42-44. Meghadūta, Purv. vv. 24, 25, 28.
 - 45. Cunningham, Stupa of Barhut, p. 132 (1879).
 - 46. Pargiter, Mārk. Purāṇa, pp. 296, and ibid, n., 297, and ibid. n. According to Pargiter, Vidis'ā appears to be the modern Bhilsa itself. Ibid, p. 295, n.
 - 47. Viṣṇu Purāṇa, pp. 186, n. (17) 187.
 - 48. Rājākānta Deva Bahadār, S'abdakalpadruma, VI. p. 696.
 - 49. EC. VII. Sk. 136, p. 101.
 - 50. The Sources of Vijayanagara History, Sāluvābhyudayam, Canto, VI. pp. 91, 94, 98.
 - 51. IA. III. p. 224.

- 52. Rāmāyana, Aranya kanda, LXXVII, vv. 19-21, pp. 313-4
- 53. JRAS for 1894, pp. 253-4.
- 54. Vana Parva, LXXXIV. p. 272.
- 55. Matsya Purāņa, I. p. 319.
- 56. Bṛhatsamhitā, LXXX, p. 7.
- 57. Cowell, The Jātaka or Stories of the Buddha's Former Births. IV. p. 235. (Cambridge, 1895)
- 58. Cariyapītaka p. 19. (B. C. Law, Lahore, 1924)
- 59. Raghuvains'a, V. 53.
- 60. Kādambarī, Purv. p. 8.(Ridding).
- 61. Das'akumāracarita, pp. 126-7, op. cit.
- 62. IA. V. op. 71-2, VIII. p. 243; Rice, Mysore Gaz. I. p. 321 (1st. ed); Mysore and Coorg, p. 63.
- 63. EC. IX. Dg. 1, p. 21.
- 64. & 65. IA. V. p. 72, & ibid, n.
- 65. JRAS for 1910, p. 429 seq.; See also QJMS, III. p. 63.
- 69. Das'akumāracarita, Ucch. VIII. pp. 200-204.
- 68. JRAS for 1910, p. 447.
- 69. On Māhismati, read, Kālidāsa, Raghuvams'a, VI. 42-44; Harivams'a, XXXIII. 1-16; XCIV; A southern Māhismatī is mentioned in the Mahā-Bhārata as having been conquered by Sahadeva after beholding the celebrated caves of Kiskindhyā. Sabhā Parva, XXXI, pp. 88-9. Wilson identified the southern Māhismati with Culi Maheswar on the Narmadā, Viṣnu Purāna, p. 189, n. (54). Fleet rejected this for Māndhāta an island in the same river. JRAS for 1910, p. 447. See also Rice, Mysore Inscr. Intr. p. xxviii; IA VI. pp. 79-80; LI. p. 219; EI. IV. p. 86 n. (5). On Māhismatimandala and Mysore, see Saletore, Social and Political Life, I. p. 40, n. (2).
- 70. There were the Kalacuriyas of Kalañjara, a hill fortress in modern Bundelkand; those of Tripura, modern Tewar, a village about six miles to the west of Jabalpūr; and the later Kalacuriyas. Fleet, Dyn. Kan. Dist. p. 57 seq. Rice takes no

notice of the early Kalacuriyas at all but starts with Kṛṣṇa. Mysore and Coorg, p. 78 seq.

- 71. EC. V. Cn. 179, p. 203.
- 72. EC. VII. Hn. 8, p. 159.
- 73. EC. XII Pg. 53, p. 125.
- 74. Sharma, QJMS. XX. pp. 201-211.
- 75. Taylor, Cat. Rais. III. p. 180; Saletore, ibid, I. p. 114.
- 76. Mysore Arch. Report for 1929 p. 169.
- 77. 33 of the Madras Epigraphical Report for 1901; South Indian Inscriptions, VII. No. 202, p. 95, line 13.
- 78. Sewell, Lists. I. p. 174.
- 79. Mysore Arch. Report for 1918, p. 14.
- 80. Madras Epigraphical Report for 1921, p. 7. Oppert writes that S'yāmalā-daṇḍaka, ascribed to Kālidāsa, contains a s'loka concerning Mātaṅgi. MJLS for 1886-7, p. 45, n.
 - 81. Garuda Purāņa, Ch. 68, vv. 17, seq. p. 173 seq. (Calecutta, 1890); Oldham, JBORS, XII, p. 197.
- 82 & 83. JASB for 1873, No. 187; IA. III. p. 178. Pargiter gave the following as the boundaries of the kingdom of the Pundras: They had the Kās'is on their north; the Angas, the Vangas, and the Suhmas on their north-east; and the Odras on their south-west. Hence their territory corresponded to modern Chota Nagpur with the exceptions of the southern portions. Their boundary on the south was no doubt the land of the Utkalas. The territory of the Pundras extended to the Chambal river. Mārkandeya Purāna p 327, n.
 - 84. B. C. Law, Indian Historical Quarterly, XII. pp. 1349-353; Ancient Indian Tribes, II. pp. 16-17.
 - 85. Adi Parva, CIV. 4217-21. Cf. Harivams'a, where Pundra and Kalinga are said to be Kṣatriya sons of Bali. Ch. XXXVI vv. 34-35.
 - 86 & 87. Markandeya Purana, pp. 329, n; 331, and ibid, n.
 - 88 & 89. Brhatsamhitā, XIV. 6 7; XVI. 3, pp. 88, 100

- 90. Mys. Arch. Report for 1921, p. 21,
- 91. *Ibid* for 1919, p. 29.
- 92. EC. IX. Dg. 41, p. 52.
- 93. EC. VI. Kd. 51, p. 11.
- 94. Pliny, II. Bk. VII, Ch. 2, p. 134.
- 95. Geiger-Bode Mahāvamso, pp. 247-9
- 96. Read, ibid, 247 seq.; 260 seq. Codrington, A Short History of Ceylon, p. 29. (London, 1926.)
- 97. Mandeville, Travels, p. 134
- 98. Nāgavarma, Kannada Cchandas, p. 22, n. (1).
- 99. Wilson, Viṣṇu Purāṇa, p. 187, n. (22)
- 100. Mandeville, ibid.
- 101. McCrindle, Ancient India as described in Classical Literature, p. 62.
- 102. Sabhā Parva, III. p. 145.
- 103. Ibid, XXXI. p. 92. What relationship these Karnapravarnas had with the Karnasuvarnas of eastern India, cannot be made out. S'as'ānka, king of Karnasuvarna in eastern India, and the contemporary and murderer of Rājyavardhana II of Kanouj, is mentioned by Hiuen Tsiang as a persecutor of Buddhists. Beal, Buddhist, Records, I. p. 210 seq; II. pp. 42, 91, 118 & 121; Fleet, Cor. Insr. Ind. III. p. 284.
 - 104. Rāmayaņa, Kişkindha kanda, XL.
 - 105. Gerini, *Ptolemy*, p. 256, n. (1). Read also *ibid*, pp. 160-162.
 - 106. McCrindle, India as described by Megasthenes and Arrian, pp. 75-6; Ancient India as described in Classical Literature, p. 62. and ibid, n.(3).
 - 107. Pliny, 11, Bk. 11. Ch. 2, p. 130.
 - 108. Sabhā Parva, LI. 142.
 - 109. Ibid, XXI, p. 92.
 - 110 & 111. Mārkandeya Purāna, pp. 358, 383.
 - 112. Bṛhatsamhitā. XIV. 5-7.

- 113. Mandeville, Travels, p. 134. Certain tribes recall the Ekapādas. These are the Todas of the Niligiris. Read Rice, Mys. Inscr. pp. xxxvi, 123, 222. see also N. G. Ranga, The Tribes of the Niligiris. (Andhra Economic Series, Bezwada. 1933 (?))
 - 114. Mandeville, ibid, p. 133.
 - 115. Mārkandeya Purāna, p. 374.
 - 116. EC. VII. Sk. 118, p. 86. This inscription is dated in A. D. 1054 in the Mys. Inscr. p. 123.
 - EC. V. Bl. 3, p. 45. This record is dated in circa 1380.
 Mys. Inscr. p. 222.
 - 118. Risley, Castes and Tribes of Bengal, I. p. 490; Pargiter Markandeya Purana, p. 322, 335, op. cit.
 - 119. Matsya Purāna, P. I. p. 327, op. cit.
 - 120-122 Bhagavat Gītā, X. 23; XI. 22; XVII. 4.
 - 123. Cannibalism does not seem to have died out altogether from the Pais'āca country, according to the opinion of some. Read JRAS for 1905.
 - 124. Cowell, The Jataka., VI. pp. 46-47.
 - 125. Ibid, III. p. 97.
 - 126. Ibid, V. p. 18.
 - 127. Ibid, V. p. 51.
 - 128. Ibid, III. p. 106.
 - 129. Ibid, p. 132.
 - 130. Geiger-Bode, Mahāvamso, p. 262.
 - 131. Cowell, *ibid*, III, p. 298.
 - 132 & 133. Geiger-Bode ibid, pp. 56-7.
 - 134. Ibid, pp. 72-73.
 - 135 & 136. Ibid, pp. 3-4, 57.
 - 157. Cariyapīţika, p. 20.
 - 138. Cowell, *ibid*, III. pp. 96-37.
 - 139-142. Kalhana, Rājatarangiņī, I. vv. 159, 184, 319, pp. 29, 33, 48; III. v. 349, p. 102. (Stein's trans.)
 - 143. Meghadūta, Parv. I, 1.
 - 144. Das'akumāracarita, Purv. p. 19. This Tārāvalī,

daughter of Manibhadra, again appears in Ucch. IV, pp. 126-27.

- 145. Ibid, Ucch. IV. pp. 39; Ucch. VII, p. 178.
- 146. Abhinava Pampā, Rāmāyana. VII. 127.
- 147. Cowell, The Jataka, VI. p. 43.
- 149. *Ibid*, IV. p. 159. Cf. Kādambari, III, p. 194, seq. where Bāṇa describes Candrāpiḍa enchanted by the music of a pair of Kinnaras. (Kane, Bombay. 1914)
 - 149. Cunningham, Barhut Stupa Pl. XXVII, p. 5; IHQ, X, p. 347.
 - 150. Cowell, ibid, III. p. 259.
- 151. Ibid, VI. p. 217. A Kinnara ascetic is also introduced in the Khadirangara Jātaka, as advising in vain a great merchant named Anāthapiṇdika against giving charity to the Boddhisattva. Ibid, I. p. 100.
 - 152. Fausböll, Jātaka, No. 485.
 - 153. IHQ. X. pp. 344-347.
 - 154 & 155. Meghadūta, Purv. 59; Uttar. 10.
 - 156. Kādambari, Purv. v. 351, p. 143, (Ridding). See also Kāne's ed. p 55

It is regretted that some of the best editions of a few of the Sanskrit works referred to above, were inaccessible to me while writing the book. I had access to them only when the major part of the Ms. had passed through the press. B. A. S.

INDEX

Abaortae, 39 Abbinava Pampa, 22, 65, 131, 133 $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ bhiras, the 10, 14, 41 Acyuta, 34 Adams Peak, 97 Adhama Kirātas, 18 Adhirājas, the 21 Adityas, the 127 Adonda Cakravarti, 62 Agara, 43 Agni, 24, 29 Agrahara Amrtakesavapura aliasKūtanamaduvu, 88 Agrahara Bellür alias Visnuvardhana Caturvedi-mangalam, 58 Agrahara Jambur, 73, 74 Agrahara Kūdali, 67, 68 Agrahara Kuppagade, 73 Agrahara Kuppattūr, 74 Agrahara marasur, 87 Aggada Raya, 86 Ahmad Shah, ruler, 78 Ahmedabad, 77 Ahobalam, 54 Aihole, I12, 113 Airavāt, 24, 29 Airrhadci, 16 Aisikas, 41 Aiyangar, Dr. S. Krishnaswami, scholar, 56, 109 Aja, ruler, 112 Akrosa, sage, 105 Alaka, City, 136 Alavva, 69 Alexander the Great, 33 Aliya Rāma Rajayya, 90, 91 Allahabad Pillar Inscription, 34, 35,

Amara Simha, 39

Amvastas, the, 15, 105

Anamalai Hills, 63 Anarta, 113 Anaveri-nād, 89 Andhras, the, 9, 39, 118, 119, 120 Andhrakas, the, 32 Andige Mādaya, 67 Anga, ruler, 11 Anga, 107 Angada Rajamalla Mahaprabhu, 89 Angadi, see Sosevuru Angas, the, 21 Anhil, 78 Anhilwarah (Anhilwad), 78 Animadras, the 18 Afijana, 24 Anna, 58 Annadārakas, the, 125 Anupakas, the, 32, 106 Aparakās'is, the, 106 Appaduvür, 94 Aprameya, General, 80 Āraga Eighteen Kampana, 89 Arasimayya, 70 Aravali Hills, 19, 28, 67 Arcot, 61, 92 Ardhapura, 55 Arjuna, hero of the epic, 16, 20, 23, 24, 32, 68, 127 Arjuna Sahasrabāhu, the Haihaya king, 43 Arkalgād, 79 Arni district, 95 Aryavarta, see also India, 28, 49 Aryans, the, 22, 107 Arvukas, the, 93 Asandi-nad, 86 As'oka, ruler, 1, 7, 9, 11, 99 Asmakas, the, 98 Astakarnas, the, 122 Asuras, the, 127 Asvins, the, 127

Aṭaviyas, the, 41
Atithi, 101
Audumvas, the, 15
Aundra, 107
Ausnikas, the, 125
Avalokitesvara, 26
Avanti, 105
Avantis, the, 106
Ayaraculu, 64
Aybarasa, chieftain, 72
Ayogava, 51

В

Bāceva, 74 Bahlikas, the, 15, 84, 41 Baka, 46 Balavarma, 34 Bali, ruler, 118 Ballala Deva II, ruler, 84, 86, 114 Ballal Sen, 54 Balligave, 70 Bamma, citizen, 85 Bāṇa, author, 20, 28, 29, 30,43, 112, 136 Banavāse, 12,000, Banavāse-nādū, 67, 68, 69, 70, 74, 87 Banerjee, Prof. Jitendra Nath, scholar, 135 Banerjee, Rangalal, scholar, 117 Bankut, 22 Banraj, Bansraj, see Vana Raja Bappa, 79 Barbaras, the, 14, 17, 29, 33 Bardes, 94 Bardwan, 117 Barhut, 134 Bärkur, 31 Barmma Deva. 71 Basavas'ringa, mount, 116 Baudhāvana, 52 Bayalnād, see also Wynād, 83 Beda, 98 Bedars, the, (Bedas, Bedues), 38, 60-62 66-72, 74-5, 84, 86-96, 127 Bedarabanki forest, 86 Bedara Rāya, 67 Bednür, 92 Belagavati, 70, 72, 87 Benares, 102, 111, 129, 134

Bengal, 53, 54, 75, 117, 118, 119 Bengal, Gulf of, 16 Berar, 102 Besnagar, 108 Betwa, the, 108, 109 Bhadrakāsas, the, 19 Bhagadatta, 16 Bhāgalpūr. 65 Bhagadulu (Bedars?), 64 Bhārgas, the 17 Bhārgavas, 17 Bharatavarsa, 17, 18, 19, 99 Bharavi, 32 Bhatta, chieftain, 69 Bhava, 58 Bhills, the, 10, 11, 75, 76, 77, 79 Bhilsa, 108 Bhima, hero of the epic. 16, 65, 98, 105 Bhima, poet, 66 Bhisma, 46 Bhojas, the, 9, 98, 106 Bhoja country, 107 Bhopal, 108 Bhunda fair, 60 Bhūtas, 128 Bhuvanaikamalla Somes'vara, ruler, 81 Bibaya Nāyaka, 72 Bidire, 116 Bijjala Deva, ruler, 74 Bijjala Nayaka, 71 Bilaspur, 41 Billahalli Billa Gauda, 86 Billavars, see Bhills Billoja, 73 Birbhum, 117 Bittiga, citizen, 68 Bitteya, 74 Bitteyur, 74 Buddha Gayā, 180 Boddhisatta, the, 102, 111, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132 Boddhisattvas, the, 25 Boleya Mummeya Nāyaka, chieftain, 89 Bommayya Nāyaka, citizen, 87 Bomma Deva Gauda, 89 Brahmā 30, 58, 100, 115

Brahmadatta, ruler, 111, 129, 134,135
Brahma Kavi, poet, 47
Brāhmans, the, 14, 18, 52, 54, 55, 58, 74, 76, 88
Brahmaputra, the, 16
Buddhabhatta, 111, 117
Buddhas, the, 26
Buddhism, 26
Buddhists,,128
Bundelkanda, 35

Cakras, 17

Calingae, see Kalinga Cālukya Ganga Permmāṇadi Vikramāditya, see Vikramāditya VI Campa, citizen, 77 Cama Raja IV, 37 Canda, a Kinnara male, 135 Canda, a Kinnara female, 134 Candapabbata, 134, 135 Cāndāla, a, 111, 112 Candālas, the, 38, 59, 61, 121Candāli, a, 112 Canda district, 43 Candā Sahib, ruler, 92 Canda Sena, see Candra Gupta I, ruler Candika, 25-32, 36, 45, 47 Candradeva I, ruler, 132 Candragupta, (Candagutta) Maurya, 34, 49-51 Candra Gupta I, ruler, 51, 52 Candrakulyā, river, 132 Candrāpida, 20, 30, 136 Candragutti, 57 Candravarman, ruler, 34 Candrāvatī, 132 Cangalaraya chief, 90 Canakya, see Kautalya Cāsi-Kaivarta tribe, 54 Caudapa Nāyaka, 57 Caundikas, the, 14 Cauras, the, 14 Cāwura, see Vana Raja Cchadanta, elephant, 102 Cchattisgarh, 103 Cedi, country, 105 Cedis, the, 21

Celas, the, 93 Cencuvandlu, 63 Cengiri Raya, 84 Cen Sabaras. Cen-suaras, Centsus. Cencu, Cenju, 54 Central Provinces, 37, 43 Ceras, the, 75, 76 Ceylon, 97, 121, 122, 130-132 Chikmagalur taluka, 85 Chinese, 124 Chingleput district, 62, 117 Chitaldroog, 91, 92 Chittoor, 62 Chirotisagi, Chisiotosagi, 16 Codas, 9 Chota Nagpur, 42 Chunar, 117 Cikka, 72 Cikka Deva, rular, 37 Cikka Devarāyapura, see Jadakanadurga Cikkanāyakanahalli 95, 117 Cikka Urakala gudda, 59 Cila Gauda, 86 Cina, country, 41 Cinnaya Sāhani, 87 Cins, the, 16 Cirkars, Eastern, 43 Citrakūta, the, 106, 107, 108, 109 Citrakūtadurga, 99 Citraratha, city, 136 Civukas, the, 39 Cocanada, 35 Cola, country, 109 Colas, the, 79, 82, 112 Cole mountains, 125 Colombo, 97 Coorg, 90 Coya, the, 64 Culla Subhadda, 102 Cunningham, scholar, 41, 42, 65, 108 Cuttack, 106

D

Dadayya, chief, 92 Daradas, the, 14, 15, 17, 32, 33 Darapuram, 63 Darvas, the, 14, 15 Das'an, the, 109 Das'aratha Dandanatha, 74 Das'ārņas, the, 32, 40, 97, 103, 105, 106. 107 Das'ārņa, country, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110 Dasārņa, king, 56, 105, 107 Dasārņa, river, 106, 107, 108 Dāsas, 51, 53 Dāserakas, the, 32, 106 Dasyu, 39, 40, 56, 57 Damodara Suda II, ruler, 132 Damoh, 42 Dānamula, 89 Dandin, 21, 25, 28, 38, 47, 112, 113 Danaur, 22 Dandakā, 44 Darvabhisaras, the, 32 Deccan, the, 40, 78, 90, 98 Delhi, 8, 116 Dese, chieftain, 72 Devas, the, 128 Devagarh, 22 Deva Gāvunda, 73 Devagiri, 87, 99 Devanampriya Priyadars'in, 7, 8, 9 Deva Rāya I, ruler, 89, 90 Deva Rāya II, ruler, 116 Deva Raya of Kanouj, 77 Devasrava, 101 Dhabhala, see Bundelkand Dhamma, 130, 131 Dhanananda, 49 Dharani Boyi, 114 Dharanikota, 54 Dharma, 111 Dharmapsrtha, 111 Dharmaraja, 21 Dharwar district, 95 Dhauli, 7 Diliparasa, ruler, 36 Divya, Divyoka, ruler, 53, 54 Dinājpur, 117 Dindigul Hills, 63

Doanai, the, 104

Dondum, 126

Dodda Ballapura, 95

Dosārene, 103 Dosaron, the, 104 Dramila, 119 Draupadī, 114 Dravidas, the, 14, 39, 59 Dud Kosi, the, 22 Duggi Setti, 88 Dulcidan, 33 Durga, see Candika Durvibhagas, the, 15 Duryodhana, ruler, 21, 24, 32 Dūsana, 44 Dvividha, ruler, 98, 123 Eca Gavuda, 86 Ekajatā, see also Tārā Bhagavati, 27 Ekaksanas, 126 Ekalavya, 44, 101 Ekapadas, the, 97, 120, 124-126 Ekapadapas, the, 125 Ekkalarasa Deva, ruler, 73 Enātoitai, 122 F Fleet, scholar, 40, 41, 53, 82, 113, 114 Gaddemane, 67 Gaitus, the, 64 Galle, 97 Ganapatinaga, 34 Gandhakas, the, 105 Gandharvas, the, 112, 127 Gandharva, chiefs, 48 Gandharva world, 136 Ganges, the, 16, 19, 40, 65, 99, 107, 111 Ganga, country, 109 Ganga Rāja, General, 82 Ganga, ruler of, 120 Gangavadi, Ninety -six Thousand, 55, 70 Ganjam, 35, 42 Gariwal, 22 Garuda, 46 Gavare S'etti, 68 Gauda, 118 Gävundas, the, 73, 74 Gāvundasvāmi, Chief. 74 Gayā, 111

Gayas, the, 15

INDEX

Gedrusi, 23 Gerini, scholar, 16, 41, 104, 123 Ghatas, the, 99 Ghazipur, 42 Ghorakas, the, 15 Girnar, mount, 76, 113 Goa, 94 Goadel, 33 Goggi S'etti, 70 Golangulas, the, 119, 126 Gomsoor wilds, 54 Gonarasa, 69, 70 Gonds, the, 65 Gondwana, 22 Gooty, 64 Gosrnga, mount, 21 Gotamulu, 64 Grierson, scholar, 22 Graha Ripoo (Grha Ripu), 76 Guha, 58 Gujarat, 76, 77, 79, 113 Gulliyappa Nāyaka, 91 Gumbiya, 129 Gundapa Dandanatha (Gunda-Dandadhipa), great minister, 89, 126 Guptas, the, 51, 52, 53, 102 Gwalior, 42

н

Haidar Ali, ruler, 93 Haima, 117 Hainan, 124 Hale Paikas, the, 76 Hallinādu Keyuru Nādiga, 89 Hampe, see Pampā Hamsamārgas, the, 17 Han period, 124 Hansakayanas, the, 15 Harātālu Medi Gauda, 89 Haribara I, ruler, 89 Harihara II, ruler, 126 Harihara Dannayaka, Mahapradhana, 88 Har Govind, 22 Hārikas, the, 106 Hariya Rāmaya Gauda, 86 Har Rai, 22

Harpanhalli, 91, 92

Haruvanahalli, 71, 72 Hayu, the tribe, 22 Hemajakutas, the, 20 Hemakuta mount, 30, 40, 116, 136 Hemmādi, 86 Hemmādi Joyis'a, 31 Henjuru, 79 Himalayas, (Himavat), 19, 20, 22-24. 131-136 Himavat, god, 30 Hindus, the, 28, 90 Hingol, see Tomeros, the Hiranyakasipu, 47 Hiriyūr, 91 Hladini, Ganges, the, 19 Hosavalli, 74 Hoysalas, the, 10, 82, 83, 84, 112 Honnāvuru, 76 Holalkere-sime, 91 Hultzsch, scholar, 99 Hunas, the, 20, 48

Ilanaga, ruler, 121 Ina-vamsa, 115 India, see also Bharatavarsa, 17, 19, 20, 22, 24, 27, 28, 34, 35, 37, 40, 41 49, 51, 60, 100, 101, 102, 107, 118, 120, 121, 126 Indra, god, 26, 29 Indra, mount, 16 Indraprastha, 17 Indo-Aryans, the, 26 Indo-China, 124 Indo-Chinese, 123 Indo-Chinese Mālavā, 104 Indus, the, 39, 40 Irala, see Cen Sabaras Iraluvandlu, 63 Irumban, 95 Is'vara Devarasa, 87 Is'varavamsa, 36

Ichthyophagi Oritae, 33

J

Jadakanadurga, 37 Jagadekamelia I. see Jayasimha III Jagadekamalia II Permma, ruler, 120 Jagannath, 41 Jagrata Deva, 47 Jakkayya, 71 Jamadagni, sage, 14, 15 Jambudvipa, 18, 49 Jamnā, see Yamunā Janaka, 55, 58 Janamejaya, ruler, 91 Janghal Mahāls, 117 Jarāsandha, ruler, 107 Jātharas, the, 106 Jatila, 111 Javanais'a, 68 Jayasingha Deva, citizen, 69 Jayasimha Deva III, ruler, 69 Jeypore Agency, 64 Jhad-Khanda, 35 Jiddulige, 70, 72, 73 Jimūtayanas, the, 99 Jūnāgadh, 102

K

Kabandhaka, 110, 115 Kaca, 16 Kācāris, the, 22 Kacchavikula, 67 Kāci S'etti, 71, 81 Kādambarī, princess, 136 Kāginele, 95 Kaikeyas, the, 15 Kailāsa, 20 Kaivāra, 95 Kaivartas, the, 51, 53, 54 Kākamukhas, 126 Kakudmi, see Raivata, 113 Kāla, 58 Kalacuriyas, the, 73, 113, 114 Kälahastigiri, district, 94 Kālajangha, 58 Kālamukhas, the, 123, 125 Kālanjara, 19 Kālarasa, 73, 74 Kalas, the, 126 Kalatura, 97 Kalavūr (mod. Kaleyūr), 80 Kaleya Nāyaka, 71 Kalhana, poet, 132 Kālidāsa, author, 20, 107, 109, 112, 131, 136

Kāliga, 74 Kāļi, goddess, see also Candikā, 26, 45 Kalinga, 17, 65, 107, 109, 117, 118, 119 Kalingas, the, 40, 59, 123 Kalkalas, the, 98 Kallūr, 89 Kāllarasa, 73 Kallars, 63 Kama Hoysala, see Nrpa Kama Kāmageti Kastūri Medakeri Nāyaka, Kāmageti-vams'a, 91 Kāmāyaka, lakes, 123 Kamathas, the, 32 Kāmboja, country, 41, 55 Kāmbojas, (Kamboyas), 9, 20, 34, 99 Kampila, 116 Kanaka Dāsa, saint, 95 Kanasoge Yara Kavi Gavuda, 86 Kānci, 36, 59 Kancis, the, 39 Kañciyagere, 88 Kanga Lindiga, 67 Kannara Deva, ruler, 67, 68 Kannapatti, 63 Kannappa-Nāyanār, the S'aiva saint, 94, 95 Kanouj, 77 Kapota, 55 Karbata, 109 Karamanjakas, the, 17 Karanams, the, 70 Kāraskaras, the, 52 Karīkāla Cola, 115 Karki, the, 22 Karnapravarnas, the, 97, 120, 122, 123, Karnātaka, country, 10, 36, 37, 54, 56, 61, 67, 75, 76, 79-82, 92, 95, 100, 109, 122 Karnātakas, the, 36, 99, 105 Kārtikeya, 105 Kārtavīrya, Sahasrabāhu-Arjuna, 14

Karusas, the, 23, 106, 107

Kās'mīras, the, 15

Kās'mir, 127, 132 Kāsis, the, 21, 106 INDEX VII

Bhūvi-

Kassapa, 130 Kondali, 43 Katakasthala, see Cuttack Konda S'avarulu, 47 Kattala jati, 63 Konkana (Kongana), 81, 120 Kaukuras, the, 15 Kongala jāti, 63 Kautalya (Cānakya), 1, 2, 3, 6, 34, Kongalvas, the, 82 38, 49, 50, 90, 107 Konguni Mahārājādhirāja Kaveri, the, 90 krama, ruler, 36 Kedāra, 71, 72 Korakoda, 73 Koragars (Soppu or Toppu), 43, 75 Keladi, 37, 92 Keladi Basava Rāja, 57 Kos'ala, country, 35, 109, 117, 120 Kos'alas, the, 20, 21, 53, 65 S'omasekhara Nāyaka II, ruler, 92 Kotanattam, 62 Kelale, 89 Kottamandala, 80 Ken, the, 109 Krsna, Lord, 15, 16, 17, 29, 32, 44, 45, Keraka, 125 Kerala, 61, 109 127 Keralaputra, 9 Krsna (black serpent), 66 Keralas, the, 106 Kṛṣṇa Deva Rāya, ruler, 1, 10, 11, 12, Kern, scholar, 41 57, 90, 91, 96 Ketaya Nāyaka, 75 Ksatriyas, the, 13-15, 78 Kerwat, See Casi Kerwat Caste Ksudrakas, the, 15 Kevalas, the, 119 Ktesias, writer, 1, 2, 124 Khambu, 22, 127 Kubera, god, 30, 127, 133, 136 Küdlür, 119 Khandes, 79 Kukurus, the, 106 Khara, 44 Kukkutaka, 101 Kharggaketaka, 80 Kulindapatyakas, the, 106 Khasas, the, 32, 39, 48, 125 Kulottunga Cola Deva, ruler, 62, 75, 76 Khonds, the, 65 Kulūta, 48 Kinnara, country, the, 136 Kulyas, the, 19 Kinnaras, the, 15, 20, 97, 126, 127, 128, Kumāra Kampila, ruler, 93, 100, 115 133, 134, 135 Kumara Paikas, 76 Kirātas, the, 13-25, 29, 29, 32-34, 36-39 Kumāra Devi, the Licchavi princess, 47, 49, 50, 52, 57, 58, 60, 75, 99, 103, 52 106, 112, 118, 124, 127, 134 Kirāta, a deity, 27 Kumaun, 22 Kirata-Canda, 22 Kummata, 116 Kiranta-des'a, 19, 22, 27 Kuntis, the, 106 Kiratpur, 22 Kuntīrāstra, 105 Kirrvadai, see Kirātas, the, 16, 33, 103 Kurugodu, 114 Kirtivarma, ruler, 112, 113 Kurumbars, the, 61, 62, 69 Kiriyamāgunda, 71 Kurus, the, 32, 105 Kiskindhakas, the, 106 Kuravakas, the, 22 Kuruvarnakas, the, 17 Kiskindhya, 98, 115, 116, 123 Kodihalli Basa, 92 Kus, mount, 33 Kus'a, 101 Kokala, the, 103 Kolār, district, 37 Kus'avindus, the, 106 Kollan-Kotai, 63 Kus'inara, 66 Konavisaras, the, 14 Kusumapura, see Pataliputra

Kutavacalendratatavāsin, 56 Kynokephaloi, Kynomolgoi, 2

Laksmana, hero, 55, 110 Laksmana, General, 81 Laksmi-Sandhyā, 47 Lambakarnas, the, 97, 120, 121, 126 Lambakarna des'a, 122 Lankāpura, 97 Lanka, 121, 130 Lāos, the, 104 Lassen, scholar, 103 Lāta, 109 Lathas, the, 14 Lāu, eastern or white bellied, the, 104 Law, Bimala Churn, Dr., scholar, 118 Lestai, the, 124 Li, Le, Loi, Loi, the, 124 Limbu, 22, 127 Lohitya, mount, 23 Luddhakas, 102

M

Māci (Mācaya) Gāvunda, 70 Mādanabāga Bedars, 87 Madgura, the, 51 Mādhava, minister, 116 Madvācārya, the Great, 95 Madhura Khan, 116, Madhya-des'a, 40, 104 Madhamākhyas, the, 105 Madi Gauda, 86 Madras, the, 15, 54, 62 Madrabhujingas, the, 106 Maghada, 53, 119 Magadhas, the, 123 Mahādeva, see S'iva Mahākāntāra, 35 Mahāmandalesvara Bijjalabhüpa or Bijjarasa, 69 Mahāmandales'vara Permmānadi Deva Mahāmātrās, 8, 9, Mahānāga garden, 130 Mahanadi, the, 42, 65 Mahārāja Hastin, ruler, 35 Mahārāja Sanksobha, ruler, 35

Mahāsāmanta Sovanna Dannāyaka, 83 Mahāsāmanta Uttama Cola, 31 Mahās'iva Tīvararāja, ruler, 53 Mahāthūpa, 121 Mahāsvetā, 136 Mahāvarāha, 47 Mahāvidyās, the, 26 Mahāyāna, 26 Mahendravādi, 62 Mahettha, 105 Mabīpāla II, ruler, 54 Mahisa, demon, 25, 26 Māhis'matī, 25, 113, 114, 123 Mahi (Mahya) uttaras, 17 Mainda, ruler, 98, 123 Malā, Mālā, Mālavā, 104 Malabar, district, 61 Mālava, 109 Mālavas, the, 15, 98, 105 Malei, See Mallas, the, Malepas, Malevar, Maleyavar, the, 69, 70, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85 Maler, 65 Male Rājya, 89 Male Thousand, 80 Maleus, see also Mandar hill, 39 Mālwā, Mālawā, 79, 102, 104, 107, 110 Malaya, 97 Malayaketu, Prince, 48 Malayalam kings, 61 Malijala S'avarulu, 47 Mālingi, 80 Malla Gāvunda, 73 Malla Nrpāla, 87 Mallaru, the, 65 Mallas, 41, 65, 66 Mallavas, the, 98 Mallayya Kariyaka Nāyaka, 72 Malli, see Mallas, the Mallus, see Mandār hill Mālyavanta hill, 116 Manada, see Mahānadi, the Manavālamahā-muni, 58 Manca Barmana, chief, 92 Mandākinī, the, 106, 107, 108 Mandalikas, 69 Mandasāle Kāļarasa, 73

Mandalae, the, 65 Mandar hill, 65, Mandei, the, 65 Mandeville, traveller, 121, 122, 125 126 Mangala, ruler, 36 Mangales'a, ruler, 112, 113 Mangarasa, chief, 90 Māngs, see Mātangas, Mangi, ruler, 115 Manneyar, 69 Manu, 9, 13, 14, 49, 50, 51, 52, 101, 118 Manucci, traveller, 93.94 Marale, 85, 86 Mārapa, ruler, 116 Marathas, the, 92, 93 Märasimha, ruler, 119 Märasingha Permmanadi, 55 Margava, 15 Māri, 75 Maruts, the, 127 Māsa, 107 Maseyara, 68 Mātanga, a. 111, 112 Mātanga, diamond centre, 111, 117 Matanga, the Elephant, 111 Mātaiga, a forester, 25 Mātanga, forest, 110 Matanga, Hill (Matanga Parvata) 114, 116, 117 Mātanga Jatila, 111, 112 Mātanga, Rsi, 40, 110, 111, 112, 114, 115, 117 Mātanga, a S'abara leader, 44 Mātanga tīrtha, 111 Mātangas, the, 97, 110, 112, 113, 114, Mātanga, goddess, 117 Mātaṅga, leader, 116 Matila, 34 Matsyas, the, 19, 21, 41, 105 Mathura, 101 Mattamay urakas, the, 105 Mauleyas, the, 15

Mauryan Empire, 34, 48, 49

Maurya, 9, 10

Māyakonda, 92 Māyi Deva Dannāyaka, 87 Māyile, 73 Mayūramale, 55 McCrindle, scholar, 16, 103, 104 Medakeri Nayaka, 92 Megasthenes, 24, 39, 40, 122 Mekalas, the, 14, 106, 107 Melkote, 59 Melpādu, 62 Meru, 127 Mewar, 79 Midnāpur, 117 Mihirakula, ruler, 132 Mleccha-des'a, 51 Mlecchas, the, 3, 13, 19, 21, 24, 32, 33, 34, 39, 49, 101, 107 Mleccha kings, 48 Modur, 89 Moghuls, the, 92 Monocoli, 124 Monedes, see Mallas, 39, 65 Mon-Khmer, 124 Morases, the, 37 Moriyas, the, 49 Muda Gauda, 89 Mūdugere, taluka, 82 Mūdukeri, 31 Mudyangeri, 70 Muhammadans, the, 88 Mukunti Pallava, ruler, 54 Mukadānava, 58 Mukkanua, see Kannappa Näyanar Mummudi Singha, 100 Mundas, the, 65 Mustika, 37 Matib:3, 39 Mysore, 37, 61, 117 Nabha, ruler, 101 Nabhakas, the, 9 Nācinas, the, 98 Nadiyā, 117 Naf, the, 16 Nāgadatta, 34 Nāgamangala, 56, 68

Naganna, General, 80, 83

Nāgārjuna, 27 Nāgasena, 34 Nagna Parna S'abaras, 40-43, 134 Nakula, 21, 105 Naikapratas, the, 122 Naisadhi, 101 Nahrwalah, See Anhilwarah. Nala, ruler, 102 Nālanda, 27 Nanda, (Nandas), the, 34.49 Nandi, ruler, 34 Nandivarman, ruler, 53 Nañja Rāja, ruler, 90 Nañjarāyapaṭṭana, 90 Nañjunda, poet, 93, 99, 115 Nanna Deva, 53 Naraga, 55 Narmadā, the, 43, 112, 113, 114 Narasinga, General, 93 Narasimha I, ruler, 120 Narasimha Deva II, ruler, 31, 84, 85 Nārāyānavanam, 62 Nārāyani, see also Candikā, 29 Nava Kāma, ruler, 36 Narwar, 42 Nelamangalam, 79 Nelavatti, 72 Nelkudure, 87 Nemi Malluka, 116 Nepala, 22, 66, 126 Netrārpaka, See Kannappa Nāysnar Nidugal, 79 Nijagunayogi, 22, 102 Nilakantha, a tribal leader, 64 Nis'āda, country, 19, 101, 102 Nisādas, the, 21, 40, 44, 45, 5 100, 101, 102, 107, 123, 125 Nolambādhirāja, 36 Nolambavādi, 36, 120 Nonabakere Twelve, 31 North Arcot, district, 54, 61, 62

North Kanara, 76

Nürpür valley, 60

North Western Frontier, 136

Nurmudi Tailapa, See Taila

Nṛpa Kāma, ruler, 82, 83

Okupedes, Okypedes, see Ekāpadas Opāṇi, 35 Organagae, 39 Oriya, 35 Orissa, 34, 35, 42, 43 Oṣṭakarṇas, the 122, 123 Oxus, the, 33

Pachete, 117 Padevedu, 62 Padma, citizen, 72 Pālada, 9, 99 Palamow, 117 Pālanpūr, 77 Palavamcheha-bhadracalam, 64 Pallava, king, 36 Palibothra, see Pataliputra Palida, see Palada Palmaner plateau, 62 Pallavas (Pahlavas), 15, 17, 21, 39, 41, 53, 54, 63 Pampa, author, 21 Pampā, sacred place, 40, 110, 115, 116, 117 Pāncāla, 105 Pancala, Būta 86 Pancalas, the, 105, 106 Panca-jana, 107 Pandan, 95, 96 Pandrya, ruler, 98 Pandukabhya, ruler, 130 Pandyas, the, 9, 15 Pankajanahalli, 95 Panjab, the, 19, 20, 22 Pans, see Nagna Parna S'abaras Paradas, the, 15 Pārasi, Parasa, 120, 126 Pārasikas, the, 20, 34 Parasurama, 54, 60 Pargiter, scholar, 19, 106, 110, 118, 119 Parinda, see Palada, Parivrājakā, 66 Pärna S'abaras, see Nagna Parna S'abaras

Parvates'vara, ruler, 34

Ptolemy, 16, 33, 40, 41, 65, 97, 103, Parvati, 58 104, 124 Pasires, the 33 Pukkasa, 101 Pattacara, 105 Pulicat, 54 Patacharas, the 19, 21 Pataliputra, 34, 48, 49, 65 Patan, 78 Pātappa, 117 Cinnaya Patta Sāhani Cinna, see the Sāhani Pāvaka, 127 99, 127 Paundras, the, 14 Pundi, 95 Pendharis, (Pindaris), 93 Penugonda, 56 Perggade Nārāyana-ayya, 70 Pettani Satyanka, 67 Phanivams'a, 87 Phyllital, see Nagna Parna S'abaras 119, 120 Pinaka, 29 Piriyapattana, 90 Pisacas, the 58 ram, 62 Piştapuri, goddess, 35 Pithapuram, 35 Puttoor, 62 Pitinikas, the, 9 Pliny, 16, 33, 39, 41, 65, 120, 121, 124 Polur, 62 Poona, 111 Posakas, the 17 Poysala Deva, see Vinayaditya Poysala Deva Poysala (Hoysala) ruler, 80, 83 Prajapati, 30 Pravarasena II, ruler, 132 Pragjyotisa, 16 Prasii, 39 Pratipanna Sārasūrya Devā Dannayaka, 86 Praviseyas, the 17 Kanouj Prāvgas, the 32, 106 Pretas, 58, 128 Pracandavarman, 113 Rajim, 53 Prtha, 17 Prthugiri, 56 Prthvivyāghra, ruler. 36, 53 Priyadars'ana ruler, 112 Priyamvāda, 112

Priyamyadaka, 48

Pulikesin, II ruler, 112, 114 Pulinda, king, 17 Pulindae, see Pulindae, the Pulindai Agnaphagoi, see Pulindas Pulindas the, 19, 29, 32, 39, 41, 97, 98, Pūnisa, General, 37 Pundra, diamond centre, 117 Pundra kingdom, 117, 118, 119, 120 Pundras, Paundras, Paundrikas, Paundrakas, the, 17, 39, 97, 117, 118, Punnaka, 128 Puralur, mod. Poral alias Madhaya-Purikas, the, 107 R Raghava, a tribal leader, 63 Raghu, hero, 20, 136 Rahavati, 134 Raivata, see, Kakudmi, Rajamalla I, see Satyavākya, Rakkasa Ganga, 80 Rāksasa-Tangadi, 90, 91, 92 Raksasa, minister, 34, 48 Raksasas, the 123 Rājmahāl hill, 65 Rajanatha Dindima, 55, 56 Rajarāja Deva, ruler, 36, 75, 79, 80 Raja Phur (Porus), see Deva Raja of Raja Sil Deva, 77 Rājavāhana, prince, 133 Rajendra Cola, ruler, 79 Rajputana, 42, 76 Rajshahi, 117 Rama Deva, ruler, 99 Rama, Das'aratha's son, ruler, 44, 55, 101, 110, 115

Ramagadh, 117 Ramagiri, mount, 133 Rama, Jamadagni's son, sage, 14, 15 Rāmaka, mount, 125 Rāma Rāya, ruler, see Aliya Rāma Rājayya, ruler Rāmanna, 31 Ramathas, the, 32 Ramosi, the, 60 Rangpur, 117 Rāstrakutas, the, 31, 67, 68 Rastramukhya, 4 Batakkf, 129 Rattas, the, 71 Raudrabhuti, ruler, 22 Rayagonda Gopala, see Laksmana, General Raya Murāri, Soyi Deva, ruler, 74 Rāvpur, 41 Red Hills, 63 Revā, see also Narmada, 113 Revati-dvipa, 113, 114 Rice, scholar, 43, 75, 76, 82 Rohitaka, mount, 105 Romakas, the, 125 Romanas, the, 106 Rsyamükha, mount, 111 Rudra, god, 58 Rudras, the, 127 Rudradāman, Mahāksatrapa, ruler, 102 Rudradeva, ruler, 34

S'abara, a, 75
S'abaras, the, 14, 29, 31, 88-44, 46-52, 54, 55, 57-60, 63-65, 77, 99, 104, 107, 127
Sabaragamuva, 98
Sabarae, see Sabaras, the Sabarai, 40
S'abarendra, chief, 109
Sabari, see S'ramana Sabari, Sadasiva Raya, ruler, 91
Sadās'iva Raya Nāyaka, ruler, 57
Sadhyas, the, 127
Sagar, 43
Sāgara hobli, 67
Sagidivandalu, 64

Sahadeva, hero, 21, 98, 123, 126 Saindhavas, the, 101 Sairisaka, 105 S'akas, the, 21, 33, 34, 41, 48 S'akka, 128, 132, 135 Sāktas, the, 26 Sala, ruler, 83 Salva, country, 105 Sālva, poet, 100 Saluva Nrsimha, ruler, 55, 56, 109, 110 Samantas, 69 Samant Singh, 77 Sambhalpur, 42 Sambulā, 128 Samiya, 119 Sāmtoo, minister, 79 Samayanathar, 96 Samudra Gupta, ruler, 34, 35 Sanavatyas, the, 15 Sante Bennur, 91, 92 S'arabha, 21 Sarajas, the, 106 Saraj Nirmand, Outer, 60 Sarasvati, the, 107 S'ardūlasya, 58 S'ārikā, goddess, 132 S'aritaka, 132 Satārā, 92 Satiyaputra, 9 Satyaki, 23, 24, 32 Satyavakya Kongunivarma I, ruler, 36, 54, 80, 119 Satyavedu, 117 Sauertae, 39 Saugor, 42 Saukars, 43 Saurāstra, 107 S'avari Nārāyan, 41, 42 S'avarulu, see S'abaras, the Savyasācin, see Arjuna Sciapodae, see Ekapadas ecyrites, the, 16 Seringapatam, 79, 93 Ses'igala Būva, 68 Seven Kombu, 81 Seven Male, 70, 81

Sewell, scholar, 105 Shah Alam, Prince, 94 Shahbazgarhi, 7, 8, 9 Sholapur, 111 Siam, 104 Sibarae, 39 Siddhas'the, 27 Siddha Rāja, ruler, 79 S'ikhandi, 46 Sikhs, the, 22 S'iladitya, ruler, 67 Silhet (Sylhet), 16 Simhabhūpati, see Jayasingha Deva, citizen Sindhas, the, 98 Sindhi Devarasa, chieftain, 73 Sindhu, the, 20, 107 Sindhus, the, 24 Sindhu Pulindas, the, 106 Singada Manca Gauda, 74 Singhalas the, 39 Singhbhum, 42 Siptakhora, 2 Sīrā, 92 Sirisangabodhi, 129 Sirisavatthu, 130 S'is'upāla, 21, 58 Sītā, 114 S'iva, god, 26, 32, 46, 54, 94, 116, 117 S'ivājī, ruler, 94 S'ivamāra, ruler, 36 S'ivis, the, 15, 105 Skiratai, see Kirātas Snätaka, 50 Sogdiana, 33 Somayya, citizen, 88 Somes'vara I Frailokyamalla, Ahavamalla, ruler, 70 Somes'vara III, ruler, 81 Sondivandalu, 64 Sonuttara, 102, 103 Soppu Koragars, the, 75 Sora arcati regia, 44 Sorae Nomades, see S'abaras, Sosevuru (S'asākapura), 82 South Arcot district, 54, 61 South Kanara, see Tuluva

S'ramana S'avari, 40, 42, 110 Srenimat, mount, 21 Sriharikottai, 63 Srirangavaram, 64 Sri-Rudres' vara, god, 57 Sris'ailam. 54 Srivaisnava, 58 Strīrājyas, the, 101, 126 Suars, Suari, Suirs, see Sabaras Sudesanas, the, 17 Sudellas, the, 65 Sudharma, ruler, 105 S'ūdraka, ruler, 112 S'ūdras, the, 14, 51, 118 Suhma, country, 29, 118 Suhmas, the, 41 Suirkaraj, 42 S'ukrācārya, 1, 6, 7 S'uktimatī, (Cedi), 21 Sukumāra, ruler, 21, 98 Sumanas, ruler, 17 Sumantakūta, see Adam's Peak Sumitra, ruler, 21, 98 Surabhipattana, 125 Suragiri, 56 Surāstra, 105, 109, 117 Sūrasenas, 21, 105 S'ürpāra, 117 Surris, the S'abaras Surus, 15 Sutlei Valley, 60 Suvarnapura, 20 Swat Valley, 136 Tagare-nād, 54, 58 Tailama, citizen, 71, 81 Tailapa, Trailokyamalla, ruler, 73 Taila, Western Cālukya, ruler, 31 Taladi, 86 Talakād, 79, 80, 82 Tāmasas, the, 18, 107 Tamraliptas, the, 33, 125 Tamrapanni, 9 Tan-erh, 124

Tanganas, the, 21, 32

Tārā Bhagavati, 26, 27, 28

Tarangatama, a leader, 55

Tārāvali, 133 Tarksyas, 15 Telingana, 61 Tellumanināthar, 96 Temple of Bhimes'vara, 95 Temple of Candramaulis'vara, 95 Temple of Devi Ambā (Ambakkā, Ambika), 60 Temple of Gopāla Krsna, 91 Temple of Haribara, 88 Temple of Krsna, 95 Temple of Mallikārjuna, 95 Temple of Somanātha, 31, 32 Temple of Venkataramana, 95 Thāmi tribe, 22 Tibet, 26-28 Timingala, ruler, 125 Tipperah, see Tripura, Firaka Gauda, 89, 90 Tirumala Rajayya Deva Maha-arasu, ruler, 91 Tiruvadis'ulam, 62 Tiruvallur, 117 Tissa, tank, 121 Tivara Deva, see Mahās'iva, Tivararāja, 53 Toki, 47 Tomaras, the, 17 Tomeros, the, 33 Tondaimandalam, 61, 62 Toragale, 69 Torahara Mari, see Mahamandales'vara Bijjalabhūpa Trailokyamalla Ahavamalla Dava. ruler, 109 Tribhuvanamalla Kāma Deva, ruler, Trigartas, the, 15, 105 Trinayana, 36 Tripura, 16, 22, 136 Tripurantaka, 71 Trisualbhupati, 64 Troglodytae, 124 Tuluva, 31, 43, 53, 54, 75, 76, 95 Tunga, 90 Tungabhadrā, the, 110, 114, 115 Tungadhanva, ruler, 29

Tusaras, the, 32 U Udaya Candra, 53 Udayana, 53 Udipi, 95 Udupura, see Appaduvur Ujjaini, Ujjain, 108 Upaka, 19 Umā, 46 Uruvelā, 130 Usmapas, the, 127 Utkalas, the, 106, 107 Utta Gavunda, 73 Uttamarnas, the, 106 Uttamas, the, 98, 106 Utsavasanketas, the, 20, 136

Turuskas, 56, 99, 109

Vadera Ecarasa, 72 Vahyas, the, 19 Vaijarasa, 86 Vainyā river, 117 Vais'ampāyana, 43, 44 Vais'yas, the, 51 Vaitarani, the, 103 Vaiyāmakas, the, 15 Vāii-Kes'as, the, 126 Valakhilya, ruler, 22 Välmiki, 96 Vālmikī-gotra, 91 Vamanasthali, 76 Vanniyars, 62 Vanavāsa kingdom, 101 Vanavāsi, 101 Vanga, country, 118, 119 Vangas, the, 41 Vankhu, 125 Vana Rāja, ruler, 77, 78, 79 Vanarāja Rāma, a Bova, 114 Varāļa, 109 Vardhamānas, the, 125 Varuna, god, 29 Vasatyas, the, 15 Vastrapas, the, 15 Vasantikā, goddess, 83 Vas'istha, sage, 39 Vasubhūti, 58

Vasus, the, 127 Vātadhānas, the, 105 Vātādhipa, 98 Vātāyanas, the, 116 Vedars, see Bedars, Vedic Aryans, the, 27 Vellore, 62 Vellurappa, 58-59 Venkatappa Nāyaka, ruler, 37, 57 Vetravati, the, 108 Vibharasa, chieftain, 71, 72 Videha, 16 Videhas, the, 105 Vidhyādharas, the, 99 Vidis'a, capital, 108 Vijaya, ruler, 97, 129 Vijayanagara, city of, 10, 71, 90, 114, Vijayanagara, Empire of, 88 Vikramāditya VI, Vikramānka, Tribhuvanamalla II, ruler, 70, 71, 81 Vilāsavati, 30 Villavars, see Bhills Villiyans, the, 54 Vinayaditya, Tribhuvanamalla, Poysala Dava, ruler, 10, 83, 84, 85 Vindyācala, see Vindhyas, the Vindhyācūlikas, the, 98 Vindhyas, the, 21, 22, 24, 28, 29, 36, 43, 45, 67, 99, 101, 106, 107, 109, 112, 132, 133 Vindhya forests, 19, 22, 45 Vindhyāvasini, see Candikā, 29 Vira Ballala II, 84, 88, 93 Vira-Banjas, 126 Virabhadra Nāyaka, ruler, 37 Virabhadrarāja, poet, 25 Virādhagupta, 34 Vira Ganga Hoysala Deva, see Vinayādityā Poysala Deva Viranna Odeyar, Viceroy, 89 Vira Narasimha III, ruler, 84 Vira Pandya Deva, ruler, 120 Vira Pratapa Harihara Mahārāya, 89

XV Virūpakṣa Pandita, author, 100 Virāta-rāja, 114 Virupaksa, poet, 66 Vis'ākhadatta, writer, 48, 49 Visnu, god, 26, 42, 58 Visnuvardhana Bittiga Deva, ruler. 37, 84, 85, 91 Visnuvardhana Visnurāja, Eastern Calukya ruler, 36, 53 Vis'vakarmā, 30 Visvāmitra, sage, 39 Visve Devas, the, 127 Vizagapatam, 85, 42, 64 Vṛsāla, 49, 50 Vṛsāli, 50 Vṛsalas, the, 14 Vyāghrarāja, ruler, 35 W Waeddas, see also Bedars, 97, 98 Walajapet, 62 Western Calukyas, the, 31, 68, 70, 71, 73, 112 Western Ghats, 82 Wilson, scholar, 109, 117 Wynad, 61 Yadava Narayana Simhala Dava. ruler, 87 Yādavas, the, 87 Yaksa, Yakka, 22, 107, 198 Yākkhā, 127

Yadava Narayana Simhala Dava, ruler, 87

Yādavas, the, 87

Yakṣa, Yakka, 22, 107, 198

Yākkhā, 127

Yakrillomanas, the, 65

Yakṣas, the (Yakkhas), 97, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133

Yama, god, 87

Yamunā, the, 44, 48, 109

Yanādulu, Yānāda jāti, 63, 64

Yanapeyas, the, 15

Yavanas, the, 14, 17-21, 32-34, 41, 48, 101

Yonas, the, 9, 132

Yudhistira, ruler, 15, 17, 23, 32, 106, 123, 124, 126

Yughandhara, country, 105



Select Opinions on the SOCIAL AND POLITICAL LIFE IN THE VIJAYANAGARA EMPIRE

BY

Dr. B. A. Saletore, M. A., Ph. D.

Dr. L. D. Barnett, British Museum, London, writes:—"...I heartily congratulate you on the publication of the work, and cordially thank you for doing me the honour of inscribing my name on the page of dedication..."

John Allan, British Museum, London, writes:—"...It is an admirable summing up of all the sources presented in a readable fashion...an excellent piece of work..."

Luzac's Oriental List for 1934:—"...One of the most useful works on Indian History that has appeared for a considerable time...this sweeping survey ... is a work of constructive scholarship, in which the raw materials have been transmuted so as to form a live picture of an age which in some respects was one of the greatest in the long panorama of Indian history..."

Professor Benoy Kumar Sarkar, Calcutta, writes:-"...Since two decades ago, we have been used to look upon South Indian Scholarship as a dynamic factor in the remaking of our knowledge regarding Hindu Culture. Saletore's work, dealing as it does with three centuries of South Indian life, such as correspond roughly to the Reformation and Renaissance epochs, has but confirmed our long-standing conviction. ... A very valuable feature of the study consists in the attempt to place the land laws. revenue system and administrative machinery of the fourteenth to the seventeenth century in the perspective of previous Hindu political and economic institutions well as theories. The two volumes can be consulted with profit not only by persons interested in medieaval Indian history and culture but by everybody who has something to do with economics, politics and sociology in general."

Dr. Suniti Kumar Chatterji noticing the work in the Modern Review for October 1934:—"A rich feast for the student of Indian history and culture has been spread...

in this work which may be described as one of capital importance ... This work...has enriched the historical literature on India.... We have here a fine book, the production of fine scholarship ... On the whole, it is a real scholarly work, bearing the stamp of the best distinction, and we can congratulate the author on this fine production; and we hope that many more of this kind are to come from him in the future."

The Indian Culture, Calcutta, in its July issue, 1934:—
"...the wealth of details with which he has enriched his narrative is truly amazing and deserves special recognition ...these...excellent volumes..."

Dr. R. C. Majumdar, Dacca University, writes:—"...The book is a monument of industry and scholarship...These magnificent volumes give a comprehensive review of the life of the people under the famous Empire of Vijayanagara, and are a distinct contribution to our knowledge of the medieaval history of India..."

The Times of India, Bombay, in its Literary Supplement dated 25th of May 1934, writes:— "... a detailed and exhaustive study..."

Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, N. S. Vol. X., 1934:—"... His attempt is the first of its kind in bringing before the reader classical and mediaeval Hindu theory in harmony with Vijayanagara maxims and practice... we congratulate Dr. Saletore on the success achieved by him in his enterprise...".

Henry Heras, S. J., M. A., Bombay, writes in his letter of 5th March 1934:—"...This is a very creditable achievement of yours, indeed...my heartiest congratulations..."

The Journal of Indian History, Madras, April, 1934, writes: - "...a voluminous and detailed study of the political and social institutions of the Empire of Vijayanagara..."

The Hindu, Madras, in its issue of 9th May 1934:— "...Dr. Saletore's work deserves to be warmly welcomed by all serious students of South Indian History."

The same author's forthcoming book

The unique work on Ancient Indian history Published for the first time

AN IMPERIAL HISTORY OF INDIA

DERIVED FROM A SANSKRIT TEXT (c. 700 B. C.-c. 770 A. D.)

With a special commentary on Later Gupta Period

BY

THE LEADING INDIAN HISTORIAN K.P. Jayaswai Esqr., M.A., Patna.

WITH THE SANSKRIT TEXT REVISED BY Ven. Rahula Sankrityayana

BEAUTIFULLY PRINTED. CLOTH BOUND WITH GOLD LETTERS. PRICE Rs. 8-0-0

An indispensable book for every lover of Ancient Indian History which settles many controversies. This book will be in every student's, Professor's and Scholar's hand.

"This new work is a corrected and co-ordinated edition of a part of the well-known Mahayana text Manjusri Mulakalpa, written over 1200 years ago. The text was preserved both in its Sanskrit original and in Tibetan translation, made in the eleventh century and incorporated in the Kangyur Series. The present text, edited by Mr. Jayaswal, has been carefully compared and co-ordinated with the Tibetan translation with the help of Tripitakacharya Mahapandita Rahula Sankrityayana. The momentous discovery of a remarkable chronicle of the succession of Imperial Powers in India from 700 B. C. to 750 A. D., without a break stands entirely to the credit and historical acumen of Mr. Jayaswal. This Manjusri Mulakalpa history is a Unique work in Hindu history, While Paranas stop at the beginning of the Gupta period, our present text supplies, in addition, the account of the Early Gupta and Later Gupta periods which had been a blank in Hindu history so far written. Necessary commentaries to elucidate the system and substance of the text are a feature which makes the book more useful than Pargiter's Purana Text of the Dynasties of the Kali Age to which it now makes an indispensable companion and supplement as a source-book. It throws new light on several chapters on Indian history. One of the most remarkable features consist in the personal touches that characterise the estimates of the characters and rules of Emperors and their ministers which for the first time humanize the History of India."

PUBLISHED BY

MOTILAL BANARSI DASS

GRIENTAL BOOKSELLERS & PUBLISHERS SAIDMITHA, LAHORE,

INTRODUCTORY

History of India from the pre-Mahabharata War down to 320-348 A.D. (the beginning of the Gupta Period) is chronicled in the *Puranas*. For the subsequent period we have been dependent on inscriptions; and it had been believed that there was no text or written history for it. The inscriptions left certain 'blanks', and gave us a fragmentary view. It is therefore a matter of no small satisfaction to recover a text which turns out to give a connected history where we needed it most. And what is still more gratifying is the Imperial feature of that history. Some of the matters of great importance that this written record discloses are:—

- (1) a full and complete history of the IMPERIAL GUPTA epoch (348 A. D. to 500 A. D.), describing its break-up(500 A. D.),
- (2) imperial history from (a) VISHNUVARDHANA (520-535 A. D.), then following the (b) imperial family of the MAUKHARIS (555-600 A. D.) down to (c) his descendants' re-rise in the person of Prabhakaravardhana and HARSHA-VARDHANA (606-647 A. D.), [with a full history of Sasanka, who was a Brahmin by caste and a popular leader rising from Bengal].
- (3) history of a REVIVED GUPTA EMPIRE, following the death of Harshavardhana, with its decline and fall,
- (4) then a vivid description of two popular elections of kings in Bengal and the rise of Gopala.

Numerous important details, e. g., that MAHA-PADMA NANDA had been the Prime Minister of Magadha before his kingship, that there was a short-lived republic in Bengal after Sasanka-come in as additions to our knowledge. Further, Indian Imperial history for the first time receives personal touches when the author gives his estimate of character of individual emperors. All this welcome information is stored in a long section-which is a book by itself, being in 1000 ślokas-in the Mahayana work entitled Arya-Manjusri-Mulakalpa, published (Part III) in the year 1925 by the late MM. Ganapati Shastri.

Possibly some of the Indian scholars, trained to suspect every written book in Sanskrit, would have darkly hinted that 'the book was a 'Southern forgery'.' But fortunately the book was translated in Tibetan in the eleventh century A. D. and the present text agrees word for word with that translation.

Bhadanta Rahula Sankrityayana has collected a unique library from Tibet, including an original Sanskrit manuscript which had been taken from India and translated there. He was fortunate enough to obtain a complete set of Buston's works from the Dalai Lama, which is not available even in the rich Russian collection. With the help and co-operation of Rev. Sankrityayana and his valuable Tibetan library, the learned author has been able to compare the text of Manjusri-Mulakalpa with the Tibetan text and to derive benefit from Buston (b. 1289 A. D.-d. 1363 A. D.) for the purpose of following some passages and obtaining additional light on the history of SKANDA GUPTA.

According to the Manjusri-Mulakalpa, Indian history is a succession of empires from the time preceding the Buddha to c. 750 A. D. where it stops. To take the period from 78 A. D., it consists of the following successions:

- (a) Saka dynasty (Sakavamsa, i. e., Kushans)
- (b) Naga-Senas, or Nagas and Prabha-Visnu, i. s., Vishnuvriddha Pravara-sena (Vakataka),
 - (c) Guptas (up to Buddha Gupta),
 - (d) Vishnu (vardhana) and one descendant,
 - (e) Maukharis,
- (f) Srikantha dynasty, [Aditya (vardhana), Rajya (vardhana) etc.],
 - (g) Imperial Valabhi dynasty (two generations),
- (h) Imperial 'Gauda dynasty' i. e. Later Guptas: Adityasena to Vishnu-Gupta.

It will be atonce noticed that (d), (e) and (g) go to fill up blanks in Indian History.

Thus it is clear from the above facts that the present Imperial History of India by Mr. K. P. Jayaswal is a unique one.

Our other important Historical Publications

- 1. History of India (150 A. D. to 350 A. D.) by the leading Indian historian Mr. K. P. Jayaswal M. A. The so-called Dark Period is disclosed as a Bright one of the Hindu History. It is a very substantial contribution to the Early History of India. Very few copies remain more. Price Rs 10-0-0
- 2. Ancient Indian Colonies in the far East-Vol. I Champa by Dr. R. C. Majumdar with several illustrations, inscriptions and a map. Highly appreciated all over the world. This is the Glorious Period of Hindu History. Price Rs. 15-0-0
- **3. Ancient Indian Colony of Siam** by Dr. P. N. Bose. An up-to date history of Siam, Illustrated 3-8-0
- 4 Ancient Indian Tribes by Dr. B. C. Law M. A., Containing history of five tribes, the Kasis, the Kosalas, the Assakas, the Magadhas and the Bhojas. 3-8-0
- **5** Land system in South India between c. 800 A. D. and 1200 A. D. (in the light of the epigraphic and literary evidence) by Dr. K. M. Gupta 10-0-0
- 6. Ramayana in Greater India (in Hindi) by Dr. K. N. Sita Ram M. A., Ph. D. Curator, the Central Museum, Lahore. Illustrated. The Pb. Govt. has awarded a prize to the author. Bound Rs 2-12-0

GOLDEN OPPORTUNITY

We are glad to announce that we have bought the whole old stock of 'INDIAN ANTIQUARY' (A Journal of Oriental Research in Archæology, Epigraphy, Ethnology, Geography, History, Folklore, Languages, Literature, Numismatics, Philosophy, Religion etc.,) The following complete vols. are available in stock. Price Rs 20/– per vol. Vols. Nos. 15 to 37, 46 to 50 & 52 to 61 are available.

INDEX to Vol. 1-50 Indian Antiquary. Subject Rs 5, , , , 1-50 , , , Authors Rs 4

Please apply for all kinds of books on Indian Antiquity to-

MOTILAL BANARSI DASS

ORIENTAL BOOKSELLERS & PUBLISHERS SAIDMITHA, LAHORE.

TRICHUR, HOCHIN STATE.





LAHORE

Motilal Banarsi Das Panjab Sanskrit Book Depôt